

Citation only in your IR: The end of the IR as we know it or the shape of things to come?

Jim Cunningham

Joe Zumalt

April 13, 2017



**ILLINOIS STATE
UNIVERSITY**

Illinois' first public university

Statement of Problem

Institutional Repositories were conceived to collect and disseminate the intellectual output of the institutions that sponsor them. That output is full content of some form—text, graphics, multimedia, datasets, and more. As IRs have evolved, however, the possibility of allowing or even requiring citations only without content has gained attention. The full content/citation only question is not without controversy. This presentation will examine the issues involved with both ideas and discuss advantages and disadvantages of each.

Point/Counterpoint: To Require Full Content Only Records or Allow Citation Only Records

- In this corner, Jim Cunningham advocating for only allowing full content records, be they text, audio, video, visual, or any number of formats
- In this corner, Joe Zumalt advocating for inclusion in the repository not only complete records with their original content, but also records containing only metadata

Timeline

- Late 1990s-Fedora Started at Cornell
- 2002-DSpace Started at MIT
- 2003-Lynch, “Institutional Repositories: Essential Infrastructure for Scholarly in the Digital Age”
- 2004-Digital Commons Announced at ALA, 2007-Sold Directly to Subscribers
- 2007-Schwartz and Stoffel. Building an Online Faculty Publications Database: An Alternative to the Institutional Repository (ISU Online Faculty Database)
- 2008-**Library Trends**, Fall issue, “Institutional Repositories: Current State and Future”
- 2012-ISU ReD opened
- 20??-The Singularity



ILLINOIS STATE
UNIVERSITY

Illinois' first public university

Current State of Repositories

- Many reviews in the literature
 - Lynch & Lippincott 2005
 - Dubinsky 2014
 - Nykanen 2011 (focused on small institutions)
 - Quite a few SPEC Kits involving Scholarly Communications and Repositories



**ILLINOIS STATE
UNIVERSITY**

Illinois' first public university

Full Content is What People Want to See

- OED definition of repository: “A place or receptacle in which things are or may be deposited, esp. for storage or safe keeping.”
- User discontent with non-full content library resources
- Ideal for supplemental intellectual content
- There are other library systems and resources for citation only/ bibliographic records
- The IR should not be used as a publicity tool at the expense of its repository function



ILLINOIS STATE
UNIVERSITY

Illinois' first public university

Citation Only Records-What's Not to Like?

- This is an “Institutional” Repository, we can put in it whatever we want
- These records are in databases, why not in the repository too
- Citations are consistent, full-text compliance is not!

What are some of the issues involved

- Faculty Resistance
- Copyright Clearance
- Staffing

Faculty Resistance

- “Never enough time”
- “I gave away my copyright to the journal”
- “IR interface does not help me answer my questions about copyright”
- Fearful of University Administration motives in using systems like Digital Measure or Sedona
- Open Access Mandate or No Mandate
 - February 12, 2008-Harvard Faculty of Arts and Sciences, first in US
 - Effort to bring faculty on board
 - Good in theory, difficult in practice (California system experience)
 - Zhang, et. al. “It Takes More than a mandate”



ILLINOIS STATE
UNIVERSITY

Illinois' first public university

Copyright Clearance

- Get Subject liaison librarians to help
 - Helps them understand their faculty's research activities better
 - As with busy faculty, may see it as an additional time commitment
- Batch Uploading Process
 - Well-defined by IR platforms like Digital Commons
- Institutional Searching and Downloading of Records from Web of Science, Scopus
 - Ability to populate large portion of faculty output in one go (best at IR start-up)
 - For example, ISU has just over 9,000 records in Web of Science



**ILLINOIS STATE
UNIVERSITY**

Illinois' first public university

Staffing

- “Never enough”
- Wide variety of solutions
 - Each institution has their own staffing levels
 - Larger institutions generally have more specialization
- Workflow issues

The Way Forward

- Learn from Other Standout institutions
 - It is easy to search their IR content, both at the institution level and also through webinars, listservs, conferences, personal contact
- Continue to educate campus community
 - Meet with Students, Faculty, and Staff to help highlight their output
- Seek out partners
 - Liaison librarians
 - University offices (sponsored programs, research, etc.)



**ILLINOIS STATE
UNIVERSITY**
Illinois' first public university

Questions??



**ILLINOIS STATE
UNIVERSITY**

Illinois' first public university

Resources Used

- Adamick, J., Lewellen, R., Reznik-Zellen, R., & Association of Research Libraries. (2013). *Library management of disciplinary repositories: SPEC kit 338*. Washington, DC: Association of Research Libraries.
- Bailey, C. W. (2006). *Institutional repositories: SPEC kit 292*. Washington, DC: Association of Research Libraries, Office of Management Services.
- Bruns, T., Brantley, S., & Duffin, K. (2015). Scholarly communication coaching: Liaison librarians' shifting roles. In B. L. Eden (Ed.), *Partnerships and new roles in the 21st-century academic library: Collaborating, embedding, and cross-training for the future* (pp. 9-29). Lanham, Maryland: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Bruxvoort, D., & Fruin, C. (2014). *Library support for faculty/researcher publishing: SPEC kit 343*. Washington, DC: Association of Research Libraries.
- Burns, C. S., Lana, A., & Budd, J. (2013). Institutional repositories: Exploration of costs and value. *D-Lib Magazine*, 19(1/2), February 27, 2017. doi:10.1045/january2013-burns
- Chen, Xiaotian. "A Middle-of-the-Road Proposal Amid the Sci-Hub Controversy: Share "Unofficial" Copies of Articles without Embargo, Legally." *Publications*, vol. 4, no. 4, 2016, pp. 29-32.
- Dubinsky, E. (2014). A current snapshot of institutional repositories: Growth rate, disciplinary content and faculty contributions. *Journal of Librarianship & Scholarly Communication*, 2(3), 1-22.
- Flynn, S. X. (2013). Kickstart your institutional repository with content from publishers. *Association for College and Research Libraries 2013 Conference*, Indianapolis, IN. doi:<http://www.sxflynn.net/journal/2013/4/14/kickstart-your-institutional-repository-withcontent-from-pu.html>.

Resources Used (Continued)

- Leary, H., Lundstrom, K., & Martin, P. (2012). Copyright solutions for institutional repositories: A collaboration with subject librarians. *Journal of Library Innovation*, 3(1), 101-110.
- Li, Y. (2016). Harvesting and repurposing metadata from web of science to an institutional repository using web services. *D-Lib Magazine*, 22(3), September 16, 2016. doi:10.1045/march2016-yuanli
- Lynch, C. A. (2003). Institutional repositories: Essential infrastructure for scholarship in the digital age. *Portal: Libraries & the Academy*, 3(2), 327-336.
- Lynch, C. A., & Lippincott, J. K. (2005). Institutional repository deployment in the united states as of early 2005. *D-Lib Magazine*, 11(9), March 28, 2017.
- Madsen, D. L., & Oleen, J. K. (2013). Staffing and workflow of a maturing institutional repository. *Journal of Librarianship & Scholarly Communication*, 1(3), 1-12. doi:10.7710/2162-3309.1063
- Mulligan, R., & Association of Research Libraries. (2016). *Supporting digital scholarship: SPEC kit 350*. Washington, DC: Association of Research Libraries.
- Newman, K. A., Blečić, D. D., & Armstrong, K. (2007). *Scholarly communication education initiatives : SPEC kit 299*. Washington, D.C: Association of Research Libraries.
- Nykanen, M. (2011). Institutional repositories at small institutions in america: Some current trends. *Journal of Electronic Resources Librarianship*, 23(1), 1-19. doi:10.1080/1941126X.2011.551089

Resources Used (Continued)

- Radom, R., Feltner-Reichert, M., Stringer-Stanback, K., & Association of Research Libraries. (2012). *Organization of scholarly communication services: SPEC kit 332*. Washington, D.C.: Association of Research Libraries.
- Schwartz, V., & Stoffel, B. (2007). Building an online faculty publications database: An alternative to the institutional repository. *College & Undergraduate Libraries*, 14(3), 1-25. doi:10.1300/J106v14n03=01
- Verstak, A., Acharya, A., Suzuki, H., Henderson, S., Iakhiaev, M., Lin, C. C. Y., . . . Bjork, B. C. (2015). On the shoulders of giants: The growing impact of older articles. *Bmc Medicine*, 13
- Walters, T. O. (2007). Reinventing the library -- how repositories are causing librarians to rethink their professional roles. *Portal: Libraries & the Academy*, 7(2), 213-225.
- Walz, A., Jensen, K., Salem, J. A., & Association of Research Libraries, . (2016). *Affordable course content and open educational resources: SPEC kit 351*. Washington, DC: Association of Research Libraries.
- Wesolek, A. (2014). Bridging the gap between digital measures and digital commons in support of open access: Or, how I learned to stop worrying and love human mediation. *Collection Management*, 39(1), 32-42. doi:10.1080/01462679.2014.860856
- Zhang, H., Boock, M., & Wirth, A. (2015). It takes more than a mandate: Factors that contribute to increased rates of article deposit to an institutional repository. *Journal of Librarianship & Scholarly Communication*, 3(1), 1-16. doi:10.7710/2162-3309.1208