12-21-2009

Selective Complexation and Reactivity of Metallic Nitride and Oxometallic Fullerenes with Lewis Acids and Use as an Effective Purification Method

Steven Stevenson
University of Southern Mississippi

Mary A. Mackey
University of Southern Mississippi

Jane E. Pickens
University of Southern Mississippi

Melissa A. Stuart
University of Southern Mississippi

Bridget S. Confait
University of Southern Mississippi

See next page for additional authors

Recommended Citation

Stevenson, S., Mackey, M., Pickens, J., Stuart, M., Confait, B. S., Phillips, J. P. (2009). Selective Complexation and Reactivity of Metallic Nitride and Oxometallic Fullerenes with Lewis Acids and Use as an Effective Purification Method. *Inorganic Chemistry, 48*(24), 11685-11690.
Available at: https://aquila.usm.edu/fac_pubs/8937

Authors
Steven Stevenson, Mary A. Mackey, Jane E. Pickens, Melissa A. Stuart, Bridget S. Confait, and J. Paige Phillips

Published in final edited form as:
Inorg Chem. 2009 December 21; 48(24): 11685–11690. doi:10.1021/ic9017147.

Selective Complexation and Reactivity of Metallic Nitride and OxoMetallic Fullerenes with Lewis Acids and Use as an Effective Purification Method

Steven Stevenson*, **Mary A. Mackey**, **Jane E. Pickens**, **Melissa A. Stuart**, **Bridget S. Confait**, and **J. Paige Phillips**
Contribution from the University of Southern Mississippi, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Hattiesburg, MS 39406 USA

Abstract

Metallic nitride fullerenes (MNFs) and oxometallic fullerenes (OMFs) react quickly with an array of Lewis acids. Empty-cage fullerenes are largely unreactive under conditions used in this study. The reactivity order is $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80} > $Sc_3N@C_{78}$ > $Sc_3N@C_{68}$ > $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} > $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}. Manipulations of Lewis acids, molar ratios and kinetic differences within the family of OMF and MNF metallofullerenes are demonstrated in a selective precipitation scheme, which can be used either alone for purifying $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} or combined with a final HPLC pass for $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80}, $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80}, $Sc_3N@C_{68}$, or $Sc_3N@C_{78}$. The purification process is scalable. Analysis of experimental rate constants versus electrochemical band gap explains the order of reactivity among the OMF and MNFs.

Keywords

Lewis Acid; Metallic Nitride Fullerene; OxoMetallic Fullerene; Endohedral; Metallofullerene

Introduction

Metallic Nitride Fullerenes (MNFs, e.g., $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}) consist of a trimetallic nitride cluster trapped within the carbon housing of fullerene cages.[1-5] In contrast, the newly discovered OxoMetallic Fullerenes (OMFs) consist of encapsulated metal oxide clusters within fullerene cages.[6,7] For the OMFs, little is known with regard to their chemical reactivity. The dominant representatives of MNF and OMF compounds are $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} and $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80}, respectively. Of the former, $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} is a structural isomer of minor abundance.[8,9]

The separation of C_{60} from other empty-cages fullerenes (e.g., C_{70}) using Lewis acids has been previously described[10] based on C_{60} being the more inert species. For our metallofullerene system, we hypothesize a dramatic increase in reactivity for MNFs and OMFs based on their cages having formal charges of -6 versus the neutrality of empty-cage fullerenes.[11]

The major hurdle to MNF and OMF experimentation is poor availability of isomerically pure samples. This paucity of materials is due to inefficient separation technologies which

*Steven Stevenson, 118 College Dr., #5043, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Hattiesburg, MS, 39406, 601-266-5142 (phone), 601-266-6075 (fax), Steven.Stevenson@usm.edu.

Supporting Information Available. MALDI data at various reaction times. Supplemental data is available free of charge at http://pubs.acs.org historically include classical HPLC methods. Recent reports of non-chromatographic methods for isolating MNFs are based on their resistance to reaction with solid supports such as cyclopentadiene immobilized on Merrifield resin[12] or aminocapped silica.[13,14] A support free method of separating MNFs from empty-cage fullerenes has been achieved using molten 9-methylanthracene.[15] Another approach to separate MNFs is an electrochemical, oxidation-based differentiation between I_h and D_{5h} isomers of $Sc_3N@C_{80}$.[16] An alternative method to isolate non-MNF metallofullerenes (e.g., $Gd@C_{70}$, $Gd@C_{82}$) from empty-cage fullerenes exploits differences in solubility and redox reactivity.[17]

Herein we report the reactivity of OMF and MNF as new Lewis bases and their selective complexation with Lewis acids. Subsequent manipulation of kinetic differences between these species can be used in development of a new separation scheme, which permits isolation of individual OMF and MNF compounds.

Experimental Section

Synthesis and characterization of soot extracts containing OMF and MNFs

Soots containing OMF and MNFs were prepared using a cylindrical electric-arc reactor as previously described.[18] Cored rods were then packed with Cu (Cerac) or $Cu(NO_3)_2 \cdot 2.5\ H_2O$ (Aldrich) and vaporized using the CAPTEAR process[6,19] for enhanced yield of OMF and MNF compounds. The soot was extracted with CS_2 or *o*-xylene, filtered, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to furnish a dried extract, which was washed with diethyl ether or acetone. Soot extracts were weighed and characterized by HPLC to determine the type and amount of fullerene material present. HPLC peak areas were obtained using standard chromatographic integration software (Vernier, Logger Pro). HPLC separations were as follows: PYE column (4.6 mm × 250 mm), flow rates of 0.3 mL/min toluene or 0.5 mL/min xylenes as the mobile phase, and UV detection at 360 nm.

A. Reaction of Metallofullerenes with Lewis Acids

For comparison of C_{60}, C_{70}, and $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} reactivities, 1.0 mg of each fullerene type was dissolved in 3 mL of carbon disulfide. To each solution was added 40 mg of $AlCl_3$. Time lapse photography was used to monitor and compare the loss of color (i.e., removal of fullerene from solution via precipitation of the fullerene-Lewis acid complex). After 2 min of reaction time the solution in the vial containing $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} was colorless.

B. Array of Lewis Acids

7.1 mg $MgCl_2$, 10 mg $AlCl_3$, 12 mg $FeCl_3$ or 20 mg $AlBr_3$ were added to four stirring solutions containing 15 mg each of fullerene extract dissolved in 150 mL carbon disulfide. Equimolar amounts of Lewis acids were used to compare the speeds of reactions, which were allowed to proceed for a minimum of 3 minutes. Reaction mixtures having slower kinetics were monitored for longer times.

C. Metallofullerene Selectivity and Kinetic Study

C.1 $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} versus $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}—A 1 g sample of extract (~1.2 mmol fullerenes) was dissolved in 1 L of carbon disulfide. While stirring, 217 mg $FeCl_3$ was added to the fullerene solution. Aliquots of the reaction mixture were taken and analyzed via HPLC, whose conditions were 0.3 mL/min toluene mobile phase, 360 nm UV detection, and 10 μL injection onto a 4.6 mm × 10 mm PYE column. Conversion from peak area to molarity was performed via use of extinction coefficients and standardized samples of purified fullerenes as previously described.[20]

C.2 Reactivity of OMF versus MNFs—To a 2 mg fullerene sample enriched in OMF and MNFs was added 15 mL CS_2. While stirring, 10 mg of $AlCl_3$ was added to generate a reaction mixture, from which aliquots were analyzed as described in experimental section C.1.

D. Scalability and Recovery of Metallofullerenes

Extract solutions containing ~1.3 g of fullerenes dissolved in 500 mL of carbon disulfide were prepared. To each of these 3 solutions was added separately, while stirring, 1.75 g $AlBr_3$, 240 mg $FeCl_3$, and 198 mg $AlCl_3$. The reactions were allowed to proceed a minimum of 3 hours. The reaction mixtures were filtered, and the precipitate contained primarily OMF and MNF fullerenes complexed to the Lewis acid. Upon addition of ice water, sodium bicarbonate and carbon disulfide to the solid material remaining on the paper filter from a Buchner funnel, these fullerenes were released from the complex and readily dissolved in the CS_2 layer (i.e., bottom layer in a separatory funnel). After several washes with deionized water, this CS_2 fullerene solution was filtered by membrane filtration. Solvent was removed via rotary evaporation, and the solid material (i.e., recovered fullerenes) was ether-washed, dried and weighed. The filtrate from the reaction mixture was also washed with water and sodium bicarbonate as described above. The masses of dried fullerenes obtained from the filtrate and precipitate were added and compared to the original extract mass for percent recovery calculations.

E. $Sc_4O_2@I_h\text{-}C_{80}$ and $Sc_3N@D_{5h}\text{-}C_{80}$ enrichment and isolation of isomerically pure $Sc_3N@I_h\text{-}C_{80}$

E.1 Enrichment of $Sc_4O_2@I_h\text{-}C_{80}$—982 mg of Sc fullerene extract was dissolved in 500 mL carbon disulfide. While stirring, 340 mg $AlCl_3$ was added to this solution. Reaction progress was monitored by loss of HPLC peak area for $Sc_4O_2@I_h\text{-}C_{80}$. The reaction was stopped at 44 hours. Upon filtration the precipitate containing $Sc_4O_2@I_h\text{-}C_{80}$ OMF and contaminant MNFs was treated as described in experimental section D. A second step using 45 mg of this OMF and MNF enriched fullerene material dissolved in 250 mL carbon disulfide was used. While stirring, 135 mg of $AlCl_3$ was added. The reaction time was 4 h 40 min, at which time the resulting precipitate was processed as described in experimental section D to recover the enriched $Sc_4O_2@I_h\text{-}C_{80}$ fullerene sample.

E.2 Enrichment of $Sc_3N@D_{5h}\text{-}C_{80}$—An extract solution was prepared by dissolving ~1 g fullerenes in 500 mL of CS_2. To this extract solution was added 340 mg $AlCl_3$. The reaction proceeded for 44 h, at which time the collected precipitate was processed as described in experimental section D.

E.3 Isolation of isomerically pure $Sc_3N@I_h\text{-}C_{80}$—This experiment used the filtrate obtained from step 1 (see $AlCl_3$ chemistry, Figure 6e). To the filtrate, with stirring, was added 150 mg $FeCl_3$. After 70 minutes of reaction time, the I_h isomer of $Sc_3N@C_{80}$ had complexed with the Lewis acid and was precipitated from solution. Upon filtration, the collected precipitate was processed as described in experimental section D to obtain 27 mg of isomerically purified $Sc_3N@I_h\text{-}C_{80}$ (Figure 6f).

F. Isolation of gram quantities of $Sc_3N@I_h\text{-}C_{80}$

An extract solution of 1340 mg fullerenes was dissolved in 500 mL carbon disulfide. While stirring, 245 mg $AlCl_3$ was added. After 21 h, the reaction mixture was filtered to remove OMF and MNF contaminants of $Sc_3N@C_{68}$, $Sc_3N@C_{78}$, and $Sc_3N@D_{5h}\text{-}C_{80}$. The filtrate, containing 1.072 g fullerenes, of which $Sc_3N@I_h\text{-}C_{80}$ is the primary metallofullerene in addition to empty-cage fullerenes (e.g., C_{60}, C_{70}, C_{84}), was diluted to 1 L with CS_2. To this solution, while stirring, was added 217 mg $FeCl_3$ to precipitate the I_h isomer of $Sc_3N@I_h\text{-}C_{80}$. After stirring for 55 minutes, the $Sc_3N@I_h\text{-}C_{80}$ complex was precipitated from solution.

The reaction mixture was filtered, and the precipitate was processed as described in experimental section D. An isolated sample of 0.107 g of $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} was obtained.

Results and Discussion

A. Reaction of Metallofullerenes with Lewis Acids

For comparing reactivity differences between empty-cage fullerenes and metallofullerenes having a C_{80}^{6-} cage (e.g., OMFs, MNFs), an experiment was performed in which 1.0 mg each of C_{60} (1.4 μmol), C_{70} (1.2 μmol), and $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} (0.9 μmol) was dissolved in 3 mL carbon disulfide with a large molar excess of $AlCl_3$ (40 mg, 300 μmol). Results from Figure 1 demonstrate removal of $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} within 2 minutes. In contrast, empty-cage fullerenes C_{60} and C_{70} were more resistant to complexation and precipitation.

B. Array of Lewis Acids

Given this difference in reactivity, we expanded the palette of Lewis acids. Weaker Lewis acids such as $MgCl_2$ were nonresponsive under our experimental conditions, with the stronger Lewis acids of $AlCl_3$, $FeCl_3$ and $AlBr_3$ being much more reactive to MNFs. For these experiments, equimolar ratios of Lewis acids were used for direct comparison. Assuming pseudo-first order kinetics, graphs obtained from the log of fullerene concentration versus time (Figure 2) resulted in linear plots, from which k_{obs} rate data was readily obtained. These rate constants for reaction of $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} with Lewis acids were 0.605 min^{-1} ($AlBr_3$), 0.302 min^{-1} ($FeCl_3$), 0.0124 min^{-1} ($AlCl_3$), and ~0 min^{-1} ($MgCl_2$). The data indicate that $AlBr_3$ and $FeCl_3$ react much more quickly with MNFs than does $AlCl_3$.

C. Metallofullerene Selectivity and Kinetic Study

C.1 $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} versus $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}—It is well known that the I_h isomer of $Sc_3N@C_{80}$ is less reactive than $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} for other types of reactions (i.e., non-Lewis acid reactions) such as cycloadditions.[21–26] To determine whether a similar trend occurs with Lewis acids, an experiment was performed with a stronger Lewis acid such as $FeCl_3$ to ensure sufficient reaction with both isomers. Aliquots at arbitrary times were collected to monitor loss of peak area for both $Sc_3N@C_{80}$ isomers from solution. Using 1st order kinetics of uptake (Figure 3a), the ratio of k_{obs} ($Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80}) to k_{obs} ($Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}) was 1.6. Our finding of $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} being more reactive than $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} to Lewis acids is consistent with literature reports of $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} being more reactive than $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}.[21–26] The data in Figure 3b clearly shows isomeric purity can be achieved at only 55 minutes of reaction time. This more rapid removal (55 min) is compared with the 13 h of reaction time for $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} removal with the SAFA process, which uses aminosilica to selectively bind reactive fullerene and metallofullerene species.[14]

C.2 Reactivity of OMF versus MNFs—For reactivity comparisons among other MNF and OMF metallofullerenes, we selected $AlCl_3$ based on its slower reaction kinetics. Of particular interest was probing the reactivity of the OMF $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80} species relative to MNF compounds such as $Sc_3N@C_{68}$, $Sc_3N@C_{78}$, $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80}, and $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}. For these experiments, 2 mg of a fullerene sample enriched in these compounds was dissolved in 15 mL of CS_2. While stirring, 10 mg of $AlCl_3$ was added to generate a reaction mixture from which aliquots were taken at arbitrary times to monitor fullerenes remaining in solution (i.e., fullerenes not bound and precipitated by the Lewis acid). The amount of C_{60} and C_{70} remaining in solution is relatively constant. The logarithm of fullerene concentration was plotted as a function of time and 1st order kinetics were observed. Results comparing the reactivities of OMF and MNFs are summarized in Table 1. The significance of these results is the notion that one could manipulate these reactivity differences and develop a new method for purifying these metallofullerenes.

The variation in rate constants among these metallofullerenes may be related to the electrochemical (EC) band gap. When our experimental kinetic data (i.e., k_{obs}) from Table 1 is plotted versus published electrochemical data for C_{70},[27,28] $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80},[9,16,29] $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80},[29] $Sc_3N@C_{68}$,[30] and $Sc_3N@C_{78}$,[31] a correlation can be made as shown in Figure 4. Based on this proportionality between rate constant and band gap, a predicted EC band gap of ~1V for $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80} can be made.

D. Scalability and Recovery of Metallofullerenes

Several key issues include the scalability and ability to release the fullerene from the precipitated Lewis acid complex. To address these concerns, several gram scale reactions were performed using three different types of Lewis acids. Solutions of ~1300 mg Sc-fullerene extract in 500 mL CS_2 were prepared for reaction with 1.75 g $AlBr_3$, 240 mg $FeCl_3$, or 198 mg $AlCl_3$. After 3 hours of reaction time, the reaction mixture was filtered to yield a precipitate, to which was added ice water, sodium bicarbonate, and CS_2. Under these conditions, OMF and MNF fullerenes were released from the Lewis acid-fullerene complexes and dissolved in CS_2. As a representative example, HPLC chromatograms from the $FeCl_3$ experiments are shown in Figure 5. The HPLC results indicate selective precipitation and removal of OMF and MNFs from the unreacted empty-cage fullerenes remaining in solution (Figure 5b). The OMF and MNFs are readily recovered from the precipitate (Figure 5c) using the procedure in Experimental section D. Fullerene recoveries were 83-86%, regardless of which Lewis acid was utilized. Results from these scale-up and recovery experiments are provided in Table 2.

E. $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80} and $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} enrichment and isolation of $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}

E.1 Enrichment of $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80}—Moving to other species beyond the more chemically inert $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}, it would be advantageous to develop and optimize this new method of selective Lewis acid precipitation toward the more reactive MNFs and OMFs. The advantage of determining the reactivity order of OMF and MNFs is the ability to subsequently manipulate the kinetics such that the more reactive species can be precipitated, at which time the reaction can be stopped, thereby leaving the majority of the more chemically inert species still in solution (Figure 6a). To demonstrate this concept, 982 mg of fullerene extract obtained from the vaporization of Sc_2O_3 packed graphite rods were dissolved in 500 mL CS_2. While stirring, 340 mg of $AlCl_3$ were added. Reaction progress (i.e., fullerene loss from solution) was monitored at arbitrary times. Mass spectral data (supporting information) indicates $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80} is the first of the OMF and MNF species to be precipitated from solution (t = 20 h). This result is consistent with the reactivity comparisons described above (e.g., $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80} > $Sc_3N@C_{78}$ > $Sc_3N@C_{68}$ > $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} > $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}). Reaction beyond 20 h to 44 h results in further precipitation of $Sc_3N@C_{78}$, $Sc_3N@C_{68}$, $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80}, and a small quantity of $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} as shown in Figure 6b. A 2nd step with Lewis acid chemistry to enrich this sample in $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80} also utilizes $AlCl_3$. Shown in Figures 6c, 6d are the HPLC and MALDI mass spectrum for the fullerene recovered precipitate obtained after 4 h 40 min for a reaction mixture of a 45 mg of the sample from Figure 6b, 250 mL CS_2 and 135 mg $AlCl_3$. Based on the MALDI data (Figure 6d), $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80} is the dominant species.

E.2 Enrichment of D_{5h} isomer of $Sc_3N@C_{80}$—Reaction conditions for isolating enriched samples of $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} involve stirring a fullerene solution of ~1 g fullerene extract in 500 mL CS_2 with 340 mg $AlCl_3$ for 44 h. The data in Figure 6b clearly indicate isolation of an enriched fraction of $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} (50 mg sample). Note that the dominant peak in the HPLC chromatogram (Figure 6b) is the D_{5h} isomer of $Sc_3N@C_{80}$. With the overwhelming majority of fundamental science focusing on the I_h isomer, a benefit of this Lewis acid approach is the ability to obtain samples in which the dominant species is $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80}. If desired, a final HPLC pass of this sample would yield a purified sample of

$Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80}, and HPLC details for isolating $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} have been published elsewhere.[8,9]

E.3. Isolation of isomerically pure $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}—For isolation of isomerically pure $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}, the filtrate obtained after $AlCl_3$ chemistry (Step 1, Figure 6a) can be mixed with 150 mg $FeCl_3$ as shown in Figure 6e. With other MNFs previously precipitated as described in E.1, this filtrate contains empty-cage fullerenes along with $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} as the predominant metallofullerene. Hence, precipitation of this MNF via reaction with $FeCl_3$ (70 min) and subsequent workup results in isomerically purified $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} as confirmed by HPLC. (Figure 6f). To determine the purity of $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} relative to other fullerenes, a MALDI mass spectrum (Figure 6g) indicates a sample of >99% metallofullerene purity.

F. Isolation of gram quantities of $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}

With $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80} OMF being a "bookend" compound with the highest reactivity, one can manipulate the kinetics in favor of the other "bookend" species, the more inert $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}. Isolation of the I_h isomer of $Sc_3N@C_{80}$ is accomplished by monitoring loss of peak areas for the other MNFs and OMF species (i.e., selective precipitation of $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80}, $Sc_3N@C_{78}$, $Sc_3N@C_{68}$, and $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80}) and stopping the reaction when these contaminant peaks disappear from the HPLC plot. Optimal chromatographic conditions include use of a PYE (pyrenyl-ethyl) stationary phase with slow flow rates such that elution of the D_{5h} and I_h isomers of $Sc_3N@C_{80}$ are at least 60 min. Since $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} is adjacent to $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} in the reactivity trend for Lewis acids, monitoring loss of peak area for the D_{5h} isomer is critical for knowledge on when to cease the reaction. Upon loss of HPLC peak area for the D_{5h} isomer, the filtrate contains only unreacted empty-cage fullerenes (e.g., C_{60}, C_{70}, C_{76}, C_{78}, C_{84}) and predominantly $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}. The $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} can then be rapidly precipitated via reaction with a stronger Lewis acid (e.g., $AlBr_3$, $FeCl_3$). An overview of the sequential separation of metallofullerenes is provided in Scheme 1.

To demonstrate this concept in Scheme 1 and isolate large quantities of $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}, an extract solution of 1340 mg fullerenes was dissolved in 500 mL carbon disulfide. While stirring, 245 mg $AlCl_3$ was added. After 21 h, the reaction mixture was filtered to remove OMF and MNF contaminants of $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80}, $Sc_3N@C_{68}$, $Sc_3N@C_{78}$, and $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80}. The filtrate (1.072 g fullerenes), of which $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} is the primary metallofullerene, was diluted to 1 L with CS_2. To this stirring solution, was added 217 mg $FeCl_3$ to precipitate the $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}. After 55 min of reaction, $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} was precipitated from solution. The precipitate containing $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} complexed to $FeCl_3$ was processed as described in experimental section D. Upon solvent removal and drying, 0.107 g of purified $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} was obtained. The resulting HPLC trace and MALDI mass spectrum for this isolated sample are of equivalent purity to those shown in Figure 6 f,g.

Conclusions

OxoMetallic fullerene (OMF) and metallic nitride fullerene (MNF) endohedral metallofullerenes react quickly with Lewis acids. The empty-cage fullerenes are largely unreactive under the molar ratios used in this study. The reactivity order is $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80} > $Sc_3N@C_{78}$ > $Sc_3N@C_{68}$ > $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} > $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}. Graphical analysis of experimental rate constants versus electrochemical band gap explains the order of reactivity among the OMF and MNFs. Manipulation of Lewis acids and kinetic differences result in a selective precipitation scheme, which can be used alone for $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} or combined with a final HPLC pass for $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80}, $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80}, $Sc_3N@C_{68}$, $Sc_3N@C_{78}$. The purification process is scalable. Efforts to expand this approach to other homometallic nitride fullerenes (e.g., $Gd_3N@C_{80}$) and mixed-metal nitride fullerenes (e.g., $LaSc_2N@C_{80}$) are underway.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Prof. Stevenson thanks the NSF CAREER (CHE-0547988), Lucas Research Foundation, and MALDI instrumentation grant NSF 0619455 for financial assistance. Prof. Phillips thanks the NSF CAREER (CHE-0847481) and NIH R15AG028408. MAM thanks the NSF GRFP program.

References

1. Stevenson S, Rice G, Glass T, Harich K, Cromer F, Jordan MR, Craft J, Hadju E, Bible R, Olmstead MM, Maitra K, Fisher AJ, Balch AL, Dorn HC. Nature 1999;401:55–57.
2. Stevenson S, Fowler PW, Heine T, Duchamp JC, Rice G, Glass T, Harich K, Hajdu E, Bible R, Dorn HC. Nature 2000;408:427–428. [PubMed: 11100715]
3. Olmstead MH, de Bettencourt-Dias A, Duchamp JC, Stevenson S, Marciu D, Dorn HC, Balch AL. Angew Chem Int Ed 2001;40:1223–1225.
4. Dunsch L, Yang SF. PCCP 2007;9:3067–3081. [PubMed: 17612731]
5. Dunsch L, Yang S. Small 2007;3:1298–1320. [PubMed: 17657757]
6. Stevenson S, Mackey MA, Stuart MA, Phillips JP, Easterling ML, Chancellor CJ, Olmstead MM, Balch AL. J Am Chem Soc 2008;130:11844–11845. [PubMed: 18702488]
7. Valencia R, Rodriguez-Fortea A, S S, Balch AL, Poblet JM. Inorg Chem. 2009 in press.
8. Duchamp JC, Demortier A, Fletcher KR, Dorn D, Iezzi EB, Glass T, Dorn HC. Chem Phys Lett 2003;375:655–659.
9. Krause M, Dunsch L. Chemphyschem 2004;5:1445–1449. [PubMed: 15499866]
10. Olah GA, Bucsi I, Ha DS, Aniszfeld R, Lee CS, Prakash GKS. Fullerene Sci Technol 1997;5:389–405.
11. Kobayashi K, Sano Y, Nagase S. J Comput Chem 2001;22:1353–1358.
12. Ge ZX, Duchamp JC, Cai T, Gibson HW, Dorn HC. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:16292–16298. [PubMed: 16287323]
13. Stevenson S, Harich K, Yu H, Stephen RR, Heaps D, Coumbe C, Phillips JP. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:8829–8835. [PubMed: 16819876]
14. Stevenson S, Mackey MA, Coumbe CE, Phillips JP, Elliott B, Echegoyen L. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:6072–6073. [PubMed: 17458966]
15. Angeli CD, Cai T, Duchamp JC, Reid JE, Singer ES, Gibson HW, Dorn HC. Chem Mater 2008;20:4993–4997.
16. Elliott B, Yu L, Echegoyen L. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:10885–10888. [PubMed: 16076194]
17. Raebiger JW, Bolskar RD. J Phys Chem C 2008;112:6605–6612.
18. Stevenson S, Mackey MA, Thompson MC, Coumbe HL, Madasu PK, Coumbe CE, Phillips JP. Chem Commun 2007:4263–4265.
19. Stevenson S, Thompson MC, Coumbe HL, Mackey MA, Coumbe CE, Phillips JP. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:16257–16262. [PubMed: 18052069]
20. McCluskey DM, Smith TN, Madasu PK, Coumbe CE, Mackey MA, Fulmer PA, Wynne JH, Stevenson S, Phillips JP. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2009;1:882–887.
21. Cai T, Ge ZX, Iezzi EB, Glass TE, Harich K, Gibson HW, Dorn HC. Chem Commun 2005:3594–3596.
22. Cai T, Slebodnick C, Xu L, Harich K, Glass TE, Chancellor C, Fettinger JC, Olmstead MM, Balch AL, Gibson HW, Dorn HC. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:6486–6492. [PubMed: 16683814]

NIH-PA Author Manuscript
NIH-PA Author Manuscript

23. Cardona CM, Kitaygorodskiy A, Ortiz A, Herranz MA, Echegoyen L. J Org Chem 2005;70:5092–5097. [PubMed: 15960509]
24. Cardona CM, Elliott B, Echegoyen L. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:6480–6485. [PubMed: 16683813]
25. Cardona CM, Kitaygorodskiy A, Echegoyen L. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:10448–10453. [PubMed: 16028959]
26. Pinzon JR, Plonska-Brzezinska ME, Cardona CM, Athans AJ, Gayathri SS, Guldi DM, Herranz MA, Martin N, Torres T, Echegoyen L. Angew Chem Int Ed 2008;47:4173–4176.
27. Yang YF, Arias F, Echegoyen L, Chibante LPF, Flanagan S, Robertson A, Wilson LJ. J Am Chem Soc 1995;117:7801–7804.
28. Xie Q, Arias F, Echegoyen L. J Am Chem Soc 1993;115:9818–9819.
29. Cai T, Xu LS, Anderson MR, Ge ZX, Zuo TM, Wang XL, Olmstead MM, Balch AL, Gibson HW, Dorn HC. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:8581–8589. [PubMed: 16802825]
30. Yang SF, Rapta P, Dunsch L. Chem Commun 2007:189–191.
31. Zhang L, Chen N, Fan LZ, Wang CR, Yang SH. J Electroanal Chem 2007;608:15–21.



Figure 1.
Selective complexation of MNF with Lewis acid ($AlCl_3$)



Figure 2.
Effect of Lewis acid on $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} complexation rate



Figure 3.
(a) semi-log plot comparing loss of D_{5h} and I_h $Sc_3N@C_{80}$ from solution and (b) increase in isomeric purity for $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} with reaction time.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript
NIH-PA Author Manuscript



Figure 4.

Correlation of rate constants from metallofullerene reactions with Lewis acids versus electrochemical band gap



Figure 5.
HPLC of (a) starting fullerene extract, (b) the resulting filtrate after 3 h of reaction with $FeCl_3$ and (c) fullerenes recovered from the precipitate. HPLC conditions are 0.5 mL/min Xylenes, 360 nm, 4.6 × 250 mm PYE column, and 50μL injection.



Figure 6.

(a-d) scheme, HPLC, and MALDI data demonstrating isolation of enriched samples of $Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80}, $Sc_3N@C_{68}$, $Sc_3N@C_{78}$,and $Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80} using complexation with $AlCl_3$ and subsequent decomplexation via addition of water, (e,f,g) isolation scheme, HPLC and MALDI mass spectrum of isomerically purified $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} using the complexation/ decomplexation approach. HPLC flow rates are (b) 0.3 mL/min Toluene and (c) 0.5 mL/min Xylenes with 360 nm detection, a 4.6 × 250 mm PYE column, and injections volumes of 50μL.



Scheme 1.

Removal of non-$Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} metallofullerene with $AlCl_3$ (Stage 1) and subsequent isolation of isomerically purified $Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80} with $FeCl_3$ (Stage 2)

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 1

Kinetic data for Lewis acid reactions with OMF and MNFs

	k_{obs} (min^{-1})	$t_{½}$ (min)	R^2	Relative Rate (D_{5h})
$Sc_4O_2@I_h$-C_{80}	0.035 ± 0.004	20	0.989	3.5
$Sc_3N@C_{78}$	0.029 ± 0.003	24	0.971	2.9
$Sc_3N@C_{68}$	0.019 ± 0.002	36	0.967	1.9
$Sc_3N@D_{5h}$-C_{80}	0.016 ± 0.002	43	0.952	1.6
$Sc_3N@I_h$-C_{80}	0.010 ± 0.001	69	0.993	1.0

Table 2

Summary of data from fullerene recovery experiments

Lewis Acid	mg fullerenes (filtrate)	mg fullerenes (from precipitate)	Fullerene Recovery
$AlBr_3$	883	205	83 %
$FeCl_3$	1040	76	86 %
$AlCl_3$	973	56	83 %