

10-1-2012

Ecology of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and *Vibrio vulnificus* in the Coastal and Estuarine Waters of Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, and Washington (United States)

Crystal N. Johnson

Louisiana State University, cnjohnson@lsu.edu

John C. Bowers

U.S. Food and Drug Administration, john.bowers@cfsan.fda.gov

Kimberly J. Griffitt

University of Southern Mississippi

Vanessa Molina

Louisiana State University

Rachel W. Clostio

Louisiana State University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://aquila.usm.edu/fac_pubs

 Part of the [Marine Biology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Johnson, C. N., Bowers, J. C., Griffitt, K. J., Molina, V., Clostio, R. W., Pei, S., Laws, E., Paranjpye, R. N., Strom, M. S., Chen, A., Hasan, N. A., Huq, A., Noriea, N. F., Grimes, D. J., Colwell, R. R. (2012). Ecology of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and *Vibrio vulnificus* in the Coastal and Estuarine Waters of Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, and Washington (United States). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 78(20), 7249-7257.

Available at: https://aquila.usm.edu/fac_pubs/287

Authors

Crystal N. Johnson, John C. Bowers, Kimberly J. Griffitt, Vanessa Molina, Rachel W. Clostio, Shaofeng Pei, Edward Laws, Rohinee N. Paranjpye, Mark S. Strom, Arlene Chen, Nur A. Hasan, Anwar Huq, Nicholas F. Noriea III, Darrell Jay Grimes, and Rita R. Colwell

1 Ecology of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and *Vibrio vulnificus* in the coastal and estuarine
2 waters of Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, and Washington, United States
3
4 Crystal N. Johnson^{1#}, John C. Bowers², Kimberly J. Griffitt³, Vanessa Molina¹, Rachel
5 W. Clostio¹, Shaofeng Pei¹, Edward Laws¹, Rohinee N. Paranjpye⁴, Mark S. Strom⁴,
6 Arlene Chen⁵, Nur A. Hasan⁵, Anwar Huq⁵, Nicholas F. Noriega III³, D. Jay Grimes³, and
7 Rita R. Colwell^{5,6}

8

9 ¹Louisiana State University, Department of Environmental Sciences, Baton Rouge, LA;

10 ²U.S. Food and Drug Administration, College Park, MD; ³University of Southern

11 Mississippi Gulf Coast Research Laboratory, Ocean Springs, MS; ⁴Northwest Fisheries

12 Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric

13 Administration, Seattle, WA; ⁵University of Maryland, College Park, MD; and ⁶Johns

14 Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health

15

16 Running Title: Coastal ecology of the vibrios

17 Key Words: *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, *Vibrio vulnificus*, *Crassostrea virginica*, water,

18 sediment, thermostable direct hemolysin, *tdh*-related hemolysin, temperature, turbidity,

19 salinity, plankton

20

21 [#]Corresponding author mailing address: Louisiana State University, Department of

22 Environmental Sciences, The Energy, Coast, and Environment Building, Mailroom

23 #1273, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, 225-578-9422, cnjohnson@lsu.edu

24 Submitted to: *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*

25

26 **ABSTRACT**

27

28 *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* (*Vp*) and *Vibrio vulnificus* (*Vv*), native to estuaries globally, are
29 agents of seafood-borne or wound infections, both potentially fatal. Like all vibrios
30 autochthonous to coastal regions, their abundance varies with changes in
31 environmental parameters. Sea surface temperature (SST), sea surface height (SSH),
32 and chlorophyll have been shown to be predictors of zooplankton and thus factors
33 linked to vibrio populations. The contribution of salinity, conductivity, turbidity, and
34 dissolved organic carbon to the incidence and distribution of *Vibrio* spp. has also been
35 reported. Here, a multi-coastal, 21-month study was conducted to determine
36 relationships between environmental parameters and *Vp* and *Vv* populations in water,
37 oysters, and sediment in three coastal areas of the United States. Because ecologically
38 unique sites were included in the study, it was possible to analyze individual parameters
39 over wide ranges. Molecular methods were used to detect thermolabile hemolysin *tth*,
40 thermostable direct hemolysin *tdh*, and *tdh*-related hemolysin *trh*, as indicators for *Vp*
41 and hemolysin *vvhA* for *Vv*. SST and suspended particulate matter were found to be
42 strong predictors of total and potentially pathogenic *Vp* and *Vv*. Other predictors
43 included chlorophyll-*a*, salinity, and dissolved organic carbon. For the ecologically
44 unique sites included in the study, SST was confirmed as an effective predictor of
45 annual variation in vibrio abundance, with other parameters explaining a portion of the
46 variation not attributable to SST.

47

48

49 **INTRODUCTION**

50

51 It has long been established that *Vibrio* spp. are autochthonous to the marine,
52 estuarine, and riverine environment. Vibrios cultured from environmental samples
53 commonly lack genes coding for functions associated with pathogenicity for humans
54 and marine animals, e.g., the thermostable direct hemolysin (*tdh*) in *Vibrio*
55 *parahaemolyticus*. Yet, pathogenic subpopulations of vibrios are potential agents of
56 disease outbreaks and pandemics (7, 19, 23, 37, 44, 50, 65), notably in developing
57 countries where access to safe drinking water is limited (26, 56) and/or in countries
58 where consumption of raw or undercooked shellfish is common (11, 80). *Vibrio*
59 *parahaemolyticus* is most frequently associated with gastroenteritis and has been linked
60 to annual outbreaks (7, 8, 44). *Vibrio vulnificus* is more frequently associated with
61 wound infections, with a case fatality rate as high as 50% (5, 10, 27). The abundance
62 and distribution of these three human pathogens have been linked to environmental
63 factors most notably temperature and salinity, depending on the pathogen and its
64 habitat, and the geographic location (4, 13, 14, 18, 24, 29, 31, 35, 39, 70, 72, 83).
65 Dissolved oxygen (30, 54, 58), chlorophyll (6, 20, 31, 33), and plankton (2, 31, 41, 59,
66 74) have also been found to be important in describing the ecology of vibrios.
67 Regulatory authorities responsible for oversight of recreational waters and shellfish
68 harvesting areas employ rainfall, fecal coliform counts, river stages, and, more recently,
69 enterococcus counts to determine opening and closing of specific areas to protect
70 public health (21, 25, 62, 76). Standard microbiological approaches to classification and
71 opening/closing of oyster harvest areas, unfortunately not useful for control of exposure

72 to pathogenic *Vibrio*, spp., continue to be used and are generally accepted for
73 regulating exposure to other pathogens in the U.S. (36).

74 Naturally occurring pathogens, notably vibrios, are ubiquitous in the aquatic
75 environment and contribute to carbon and other nutrient cycling (24, 61). Clearly,
76 human exposure to these pathogens cannot be completely eliminated, but incidence of
77 illness can be reduced if environmental conditions that significantly elevate risk could be
78 identified and monitored. Communication of such conditions to stakeholders (regulatory
79 agencies, the shellfish industry, public health officials, at-risk consumers, etc.) would
80 reduce exposure and subsequent disease. An informative, robust system of
81 identification of conditions associated with high risk requires quantifying the association
82 of environmental factors with abundance of total vibrio populations and potentially
83 pathogenic vibrios. Given proven associations as predictors of vibrio abundance the
84 relevant environmental data can be collected by satellite remote sensing (13, 39, 83).

85 Development of models to predict presence of vibrio populations is facilitated by
86 collecting observations over a range of environmental parameters and recognition that
87 predictive relationships may vary across regions due to differences in ecology (for
88 example, models developed for the Gulf of Mexico may not be applicable to the Pacific
89 Northwest). Furthermore, potentially pathogenic subpopulations of environmental
90 vibrios are not necessarily a constant proportion of the total vibrio population (17, 18,
91 31, 32, 55, 83). Here we describe an analysis of environmental factors providing the
92 potential for improving upon existing predictive models for *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V.*
93 *vulnificus*. Specifically, we determined densities of total *V. parahaemolyticus* (*tlh*), and
94 potentially pathogenic *V. parahaemolyticus*, as indicated by the presence of the

95 thermostable direct hemolysin (*tdh*) and *tdh*-related hemolysin genes (*trh*) (48, 64), and
96 total *V. vulnificus* as indicated by the presence of the *V. vulnificus* hemolysin gene
97 (*vvhA*) that has been used as a marker for the species (45, 81, 82). These were
98 determined for water, oyster, and sediment samples collected at sampling stations
99 located in the Pacific Northwest, northern Gulf of Mexico, and Chesapeake Bay.

100

101 MATERIALS AND METHODS

102

103 **Sample collection and processing.** From December, 2008 to August, 2010,
104 water, oyster, and sediment samples were collected in the Pacific Northwest in Hood
105 Canal in Washington (WA), in the northern Gulf of Mexico spanning Louisiana (LA) and
106 Mississippi (MS), and in the Chester River and Tangier Sound of the Chesapeake Bay
107 in Maryland (MD). All samples were collected concurrently in LA, MS, and MD, but in
108 WA, because of logistical problems, oyster and sediment samples were collected inter-
109 tidally and relayed to a dock where the water samples were collected sub-tidally. At all
110 sampling stations, 6 – 12 L of water, 20 – 25 oysters, and 100 g of sediment were
111 collected and transported to the laboratory in coolers containing ice or ice packs.
112 Water, oyster, and sediment samples were processed as described elsewhere (31).
113 Specifically, water samples were shaken as previously described (1), oysters were
114 scrubbed, shucked, and homogenized, and pore water was decanted from sediment
115 then diluted 1:1 and shaken as previously described (31).

116 *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus* were enumerated as follows: 1 mL water,
117 0.1g and 0.01g oyster, and varying wet weights of sediment (0.0005 – 0.1g) were

118 spread plated on T₁N₃ agar (1% tryptone, 3% NaCl, pH 7.2) and VVA agar (2%
119 peptone, 3% NaCl, 1% cellobiose, 0.06% bromthymol blue, pH 8.2). Detection of *tdh*+
120 and *trh*+ *V. parahaemolyticus* was accomplished using 1L, 100mL, and 10mL water
121 enrichments and 10g and 1g oyster enrichments in 10X alkaline peptone water (10X
122 APW, 10% peptone, 1% NaCl, pH 8.5). All samples were incubated at 33 °C for 16 – 18
123 hours, as described previously (31).

124 **Enumeration of vibrios.** To enumerate *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus*
125 by direct plating / colony hybridization (DP/CH), Whatman 541 ashless filters (Whatman,
126 Kent, ME) were used to lift bacterial colonies from plates, as described elsewhere (31).
127 The filters were probed using alkaline phosphatase-conjugated oligonucleotide probes
128 (DNA Technology A/S, Risskov, Denmark) specific for *vvhA*, *tdh*, *trh*, and *tlh* (31). The
129 DP/CH method is most effective for directly enumerating *tlh* and *vvh*, but *tdh* and *trh*
130 populations are often too sparse to rely on DP/CH for enumeration. Therefore, samples
131 were enriched in APW as described above to increase the *tdh* and *trh* populations to
132 higher levels that could be detected and enumerated using the most probable number
133 (MPN) method (49). For both total (*tlh*+) and potentially pathogenic (*tdh*+ and *trh*+) *V.*
134 *parahaemolyticus*, serial MPN dilutions were determined in triplicate for water and
135 oyster enrichments using real-time PCR, as previously described (31, 49). For samples
136 collected in Louisiana and in Mississippi, probes and equipment were used that have
137 been described elsewhere (31). For MD samples, probes were used as described
138 previously (49) and reactions were carried out using an AB 7500 thermal cycler (Applied
139 Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA). For WA samples, a Stratagene Mx300Sp Real-Time PCR
140 System (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) was used for real-time PCR analysis.

141 The *tth* and internal amplification control (IAC) probes were purchased from Integrated
142 DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA), and the *tdh* and *trh* probes were obtained from
143 Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA). Each 25- μ l reaction consisted of 12.5 μ l of 2X
144 Brilliant Multiplex QPCR Master Mix (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) and the
145 following reaction components (final concentrations): all three probes at 150 nM, all six
146 primers at 75 nM, and BSA (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) at 400 ng/ μ l. The
147 remainder of the reactions consisted of 1 μ l of the IAC template at the concentration
148 described above, nuclease-free water, and 5 μ l of template. The two-step thermal
149 profile employed throughout the study consisted of an initial 9.5 min denaturation step at
150 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 30s denaturation at 95°C and a 45s combined
151 annealing/extension step at 58°C. Fluorescence data were collected at the end of each
152 amplification cycle. The primer and probe sequences employed were the same as
153 described previously (49).

154 All sediment samples were analyzed by DP/CH alone to enumerate total and
155 pathogenic vibrios in sediment. The PCR/MPN method was not used because
156 sediment has previously been found to contain very high levels of all four gene targets,
157 and they could therefore be effectively enumerated using DP/CH; in addition, PCR
158 analysis of sediment samples has proven unfruitful based on our previous experiences
159 (data not shown). *V. vulnificus* levels were consistently low in the Pacific Northwest;
160 among the 174 water, oyster, and sediment samples collected there during this study,
161 *V. vulnificus* was detected in only one water and in one sediment sample. Thus,
162 determinations of *vvhA* densities in WA samples were excluded from statistical
163 analyses. In addition, during analyses of samples from the Pacific Northwest using the

164 DP/CH method, cross-reactivity was noted with either another *Vibrio* species or an
165 unknown bacterium. *V. parahaemolyticus tdh* and *trh* data from oyster and water
166 analyses were therefore excluded and only PCR/MPN data from WA were used for
167 water and oyster samples. To correct for possible cross-reactivity at other sites, the
168 DP/CH data were excluded from the analyses for the GC and MD sites when calculating
169 *tdh* and *trh* densities. The resulting data pools are listed in Table 1.

170 **Environmental parameters.** At each collection site, water temperature and
171 salinity were measured at the surface and bottom using a digital handheld conductivity
172 meter (model 30-25FT, Yellow Springs Instruments, Yellow Springs, OH). Chlorophyll-*a*
173 was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography at the University of Hawaii
174 as follows. Triplicate volumes of up to 200 mL were filtered using 25 to 47mm diameter
175 GF/F filters (Whatman, Kent, ME). Filters were stored at -20 °C until shipped overnight
176 on dry ice to the University of Hawaii where concentrations of chlorophyll-*a* (chl-*a*) were
177 measured in methanol extracts on a Cary model 50 UV-visible spectrophotometer, as
178 described previously (38). Suspended particulate matter (SPM) was measured by
179 weighing pre-dried GF/F filters using a high-precision scale and filtering up to 200 mL
180 water; the filters were dried overnight at 65 °C and re-weighed.

181 To determine dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentration, triplicate water
182 samples were pre-filtered using an acrodisc (Pall Acrodisc PSF GHP 0.45 µm) and
183 treated with HCl to convert inorganic carbon to CO₂. The samples were stored at -20 °C
184 until analysis using the method described as follows. CO₂ from inorganic carbon was
185 manually purged from samples by adding additional HCl followed by overnight
186 incubation at room temperature. Total organic carbon was measured using a Shimadzu

187 TOC-V CSN carbon analyzer equipped with an ASI-V autosampler (Shimadzu Scientific
188 Instruments, Columbia, MD).

189 **Statistical analyses.** Multi-level generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) were
190 used to estimate the distribution of vibrio abundance in oyster, sediment, and water and
191 the relationship between abundance and environmental predictors. Underlying (latent)
192 distributions of vibrio abundance were assumed to be lognormal with mean \log_{10}
193 densities generally presumed to be linearly related to environmental parameters being
194 considered as predictors of abundance. However, given the wide range of salinities
195 observed across sampling locations and consequent likelihood of a nonlinear
196 dependence, a quadratic polynomial was used to model the effect of salinity. Estimates
197 of location and scale of latent distributions of abundance for each combination of gene
198 target, sample type, and sampling location were obtained by fitting null (intercept-only)
199 models with no predictor variables. To facilitate identification of associations between
200 abundance and environmental predictors weakly identified when considering each
201 sampling location separately, data were pooled across sampling locations for each
202 combination of gene target and sample type. Raw plate count and real-time PCR-MPN
203 observations for multiple aliquots and dilutions of the same sample were treated as
204 repeated and discrete-valued measurements of the same underlying abundance in the
205 given sample. Raw observations comprise the response variables of GLMM regression
206 with plate counts and PCR-MPN outcomes at each dilution level treated as independent
207 Poisson and binomial outcomes, respectively, conditional on latent distribution of
208 abundance and volume of sample examined in each aliquot or dilution. Given apparent
209 inhibition of the PCR reaction at low dilutions in some samples, the PCR-MPN data

210 were truncated to one dilution, as described elsewhere (31). In regression analyses,
211 temperature and salinity parameters were expressed in units of degrees Celsius and
212 parts per thousand, respectively, while chl-a, DOC and SPM were expressed in base
213 10 logarithms of their respective measurement units. GLMM regression parameter
214 estimates were determined by Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling of
215 posterior Bayesian distributions, conditional on the observed data and noninformative
216 prior distributions. Associations between vibrio abundance and environmental
217 parameters were summarized using McKelvey and Zavoina's pseudo- R^2 (28, 67), as a
218 measure of the proportion of variation in latent distributions of abundance attributable to
219 variation in the environmental parameter. Statistical analyses were conducted using
220 WinBUGS (40) and the R2WinBUGS package of R (57, 71). Statistical significance of
221 associations was assessed by identifying Bayesian 95% credible intervals for
222 regression parameters that were exclusive of zero (51).

223 For graphical presentation of data, the number of *vvhA+*, *tlh+*, *tdh+*, and *trh+*
224 vibrios was determined by dividing the total number of colony-forming units (CFU) on
225 one or more plates by the corresponding total volume of water or weight of oyster and
226 sediment examined. Only CFU counts between 1 and 250 CFU per plate were plotted.
227 Therefore, the limit of detection (LOD) ranges for *V. vulnificus* in water, oysters, and
228 sediment were 1–250 CFU/mL, 10–25,000 CFU/g, and 100–83,333 CFU/g,
229 respectively, because 1 mL water, 0.1 - 0.01 g oyster, and 0.01 to 0.003 g sediment
230 were tested per *V. vulnificus* plate and only 1-250 CFU were counted per plate. The
231 LODs for *V. parahaemolyticus* in water, oysters, and sediment were 1–250 CFU/mL,

232 10–25,000 CFU/g, and 20–83,333 CFU/g, respectively, because 1 mL water, 0.1 - 0.01
233 g oyster, and 0.05 to 0.003 g sediment were tested per *V. parahaemolyticus* plate.

234

235 **RESULTS**

236

237 **Environmental parameters.** Sea surface temperature ranges across the four
238 sample sites were relatively similar (Fig. 1). The lowest temperatures were measured in
239 WA, with LA and MS highest, and MD samples showing the widest temperature range.
240 Based on their similar geography and climate, the two Gulf Coast sites, LA and MS,
241 were combined (GC) for analytical and reporting purposes. Salinities were highest for
242 WA samples and lowest for MD samples; GC samples had the widest salinity range.
243 WA samples contained the lowest median chl-*a* concentration, median DOC, and SPM,
244 and GC samples had the highest medians for the three parameters.

245 **Model-based estimates of abundance.** A large number of negative results
246 were obtained using the DP/CH method for quantitation of *tdh* and *trh* in water samples
247 and in oysters. The DP/CH approach, therefore, was concluded not to be as
248 informative as the MPN approach for *tdh* and *trh* enumeration, and these data were
249 excluded for this reason. Results for the WA samples showed *vvhA* to be very low for
250 all three sample types, an indication that *V. vulnificus*, if present, was below the limit of
251 detection levels in agreement with previous studies (34). At all sampling sites, the
252 largest numbers of vibrios (*tlh*, *tdh*, *trh*, and *vvhA*) were determined when the water
253 temperature was high (Fig. 2). All GC samples had high *tlh* and *vvhA* numbers and
254 temperatures. The GC samples also had the highest *tdh* and *trh* densities in oysters,

255 but the WA samples had the highest *tdh* and *trh* densities in sediment. Overall, trends
256 in vibrio population numbers in water were similar for the all sample types, but WA
257 samples exhibited low *V. vulnificus* densities. MD samples exhibited the lowest mean
258 vibrio densities, with respect to the *tdh* and *trh* gene targets, with relatively large
259 standard deviations.

260 **Intra-sample comparisons of *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus*.**

261 Densities of *V. parahaemolyticus* (*tlh*) were compared to *V. vulnificus* (*vvhA*) on a
262 sample-by-sample basis, i.e., each *tlh* abundance was compared to *vvhA* abundance in
263 the same sample. Comparisons summarizing relative abundance in CFU/mL water or
264 CFU/g of oyster or sediment were used to infer prevalence of one species over the
265 other across sample type and temperature range (Fig. 3). Data are presented in this
266 fashion due to the relatively high rate of non-detection, making calculation of
267 percentages problematic on a sample-by-sample basis. For LA samples, when *tlh* and
268 *vvhA* were detectable by DP/CH, *tlh* outnumbered *vvhA* in most samples (Fig. 3).
269 Specifically, *tlh* outnumbered *vvhA* about 2/3 of the time in water and sediment and
270 about 4/5 of the time in oysters. The reverse was observed for MS and MD samples,
271 where *vvhA* typically outnumbered *tlh*. Thus, overall, in LA samples, *V.*
272 *parahaemolyticus* was dominant more frequently in all sample types than *V. vulnificus*,
273 whereas MS and MD samples were more often dominated by *V. vulnificus* than by *V.*
274 *parahaemolyticus*.

275 **Environmental predictors of abundance.** Multi-level (GLMM) regression
276 models and associated measures of relative importance of predictor variables (pseudo-
277 R^2 values) were applied to data pooled across sampling locations to assess the

278 proportion of variation in vibrio abundance attributable to variation in each
279 environmental parameter. Where identified as statistically significant, DOC accounted
280 for 13% of *tlh* variability in oysters (Table 2), 15% of *tdh* variability in water, and 12% of
281 *trh* variability in sediment; its impact on the other factors were insignificant. Where
282 identified as statistically significant, chl-*a* accounted for 5% of *tlh* variability in sediment,
283 22% of *tdh* variability in sediment, 13% of *trh* variability in oysters, and 9.8% of *trh*
284 variability in sediment. Similarly, where identified as statistically significant, SPM
285 accounted for 6 - 29% of variability in vibrio abundance, depending on sample type, with
286 *tdh* in oysters being the highest. Salinity accounted for 9% of *tlh* variability in oysters
287 and 3.7% of *tlh* variability in sediment. Although the pseudo- R^2 value for salinity in
288 Table 2 was high for *tdh* in the water column (31%), this value was not statistically
289 significant and most probably due to chance. SST accounted for 7.1 – 34% of *V.*
290 *vulnificus* and *V. parahaemolyticus* and was a strong predictor in all samples except for
291 *tdh* in water and oysters and *trh* in oysters. In most sample types for which SST was a
292 significant predictor, SST explained a larger percentage of variability than any of the
293 other parameters measured. In instances where SST was not significant, SPM was the
294 strongest predictor (Table 2). DP/CH detection rates were highest in sediment samples,
295 followed by oysters and water, and the highest *tdh* or *trh* non-detect rates were in water
296 and in oysters (Table 3).

297 Estimates determined by analysis of data pooled across sampling locations were
298 further evaluated by comparing to results of analyses of un-pooled data, considering
299 each site separately. Analysis of un-pooled data was conducted to assess consistency
300 of identified associations in the pooled analyses across each sampling location. Similar

301 patterns of association were observed in analysis of un-pooled data as in the analysis of
302 pooled data, but uncertainty of the identified relationships was much greater. For
303 parameters SST and SPM, which exhibited a relatively strong association with vibrio
304 abundance, the estimated effect size (magnitude and sign of regression coefficients)
305 across sampling locations was more consistent with that of the pooled analysis than
306 was the case with other parameters.

307

308 **DISCUSSION**

309

310 A major goal of this group is to develop ecological models that can be used in
311 conjunction with remotely sensed data collected from and applicable to different
312 geographic regions of the world (i.e., algorithms such as
313 <http://www.eol.ucar.edu/projects/ohhi/vibrio/>). Development of ecological models for
314 bacteria is strengthened by collection and analysis of samples from diverse geographic
315 locations. Inclusion of geographically distinct study sites to maximize understanding of
316 the role of environmental parameters is a unique contribution of this study. An
317 additional strength is the length and intensity of sampling, which included 594 water,
318 oyster, and sediment samples collected weekly to biweekly over 21 months and a range
319 of environmental parameters measured; this was one of the longest and most intensive
320 sampling programs associated with vibrio abundance and distribution (15, 18, 22, 43,
321 46, 52, 54, 73, 78, 83). Furthermore, our sampling was carried out year-round to
322 examine seasonal variations in vibrio densities. Environmental factors associated with
323 incidence and geographic distribution of *V. parahaemolyticus*, potentially pathogenic *V.*

324 *parahaemolyticus*, and *V. vulnificus* at four sampling locations in three U.S. coastal
325 areas were analyzed.

326 Ranges in vibrio densities were wider and detection rates were higher in this
327 study than in our previous study, as were ranges of environmental parameters (31).
328 The current study identified highest SPM levels on the Gulf Coast, a result that was not
329 surprising since the Mississippi River plume contributes to turbidity and eutrophy
330 (<http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/IOTD/view.php?id=4982>, accessed July 4, 2012), and
331 southerly wind events frequently resuspend sediment in the shallow waters of the
332 northern Gulf of Mexico (75). There appeared to be a degree of niche-specific
333 sequestering, as evidenced by the fact that *vvhA* exhibited the highest detection rates in
334 oysters while *tlh* exhibited the highest detection rates in sediment. Thus, *V.*
335 *parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus* differed in their niches. In addition, the intra-sample
336 dominance of *tlh* in LA as compared to that of *vvhA* in MS and MD samples indicated
337 some state-to-state variability that may merit consideration as model-based risk
338 assessments are further developed; i.e., *vvhA* did not consistently outnumber *tlh* on a
339 within-sample basis, and in the current study this ratio varied by geographic location.

340 By extending previous work on both vibrio ecology and ecological models for
341 prediction of *Vibrio* spp. abundance in the aquatic environment, it was reaffirmed that
342 temperature is a strong predictor of abundance and distribution of total vibrios (3, 4, 30,
343 31, 33, 42, 53, 54, 63, 74, 77), and this is particularly useful in the warmer Gulf Coast
344 states included in this study (LA and MS). Though it is clear that temperature is
345 dominant, there is no specific hierarchy among the parameters; environmental factors

346 interact to influence vibrio abundance, but precise details of all such variables and how
347 they interact have yet to be fully described.

348 Despite its dominance with respect to *tlh* and *vvhA*, SST was not a strong
349 predictor for densities of vibrios with the pathogenicity genes *tdh* and *trh* in this study.
350 This finding suggests that environmental factors may differentially affect the abundance
351 of pathogenic subpopulations. This is particularly relevant given previous observations
352 that the percentage of total *V. parahaemolyticus* containing these *tdh* and/or *trh* genes
353 appears to be variable and inversely related to temperature (18, 19, 83).

354 Observed associations between abundance and salinity were minimal despite
355 the relatively wide salinity range of this study. Salinity correlated significantly only with
356 *tlh* in oysters and sediment but with no other measurements in the analysis of data
357 pooled over sampling locations. This was unexpected, given previous observations of
358 significant correlations between salinity and vibrios in samples from Mississippi and
359 Alabama (31). This finding did not appear to an artifact of the pooled data analysis, as
360 analyses of un-pooled data, by sampling location separately, were generally consistent.
361 Specifically, although effects of salinity did not follow the same nonlinear (quadratic)
362 relationship at each sampling location (e.g., due to narrow range of salinity above or
363 below an optimum), the apparent effects at each sampling location were consistent with
364 that of the pooled data, even when the effect overall was identified as not statistically
365 significant. Regression models incorporating an interaction between temperature and
366 salinity were explored but did not significantly improve overall goodness-of-fit or
367 otherwise provide an interpretation for the unexpected findings.

368 Some studies have identified a significant relationship between vibrios and
369 salinity (6, 12, 30, 31, 60, 69, 79), while others did not (47, 60, 66, 68), so the
370 relationship with salinity may be variable and complex. For example, Griffitt and Grimes
371 (manuscript in preparation) report that large salinity shifts, as seen during the opening of
372 the Louisiana Bonnet Carré Spillway following the Mississippi River floods of 2011, can
373 cause detectable and significant change in the relative numbers of pathogenic vibrios. *In*
374 *vitro* growth rates of *V. vulnificus* biotypes 1, 2, and 3 (9) have been related to distance
375 from shore (47) with respect to salinity and analyzed to determine the salinity of the
376 coastal ocean and estuaries with respect to vibrio abundance and distribution.
377 Observed differences between studies may also be attributable to different salinity
378 ranges or other factors such as the nutrients sparing the salinity requirement for growth
379 (66).

380 The statistically significant contributions of chlorophyll and DOC to the vibrios in
381 this study were minimal, but findings for SPM were suggestive of a stronger effect. This
382 is consistent with the significant relationships previously identified between turbidity and
383 *V. parahaemolyticus* abundance (30, 31, 33, 47, 54). A positive association with
384 turbidity is consistent with expectations because vibrios, like many bacteria, are
385 frequently attached when in the aquatic environment (16). A higher density of
386 particulate matter suspended in the water column logically provides habitat for a greater
387 density of vibrios. The current study represents initial efforts to quantify that
388 relationship.

389 It was surprising that SST was the only factor that was a statistically significant
390 predictor of *vvhA* density in any sample type, even when accounting for the paucity of

391 *vvhA* in Washington. We and others have previously demonstrated relationships
392 between *vvhA* and environmental parameters including temperature, salinity, and
393 chlorophyll (31). Also interesting, WA samples exhibited the lowest median chl-*a*, DOC,
394 and SPM levels, as well as the highest salinities.

395 The proportions of *tdh* and *trh* in the Pacific Northwest as measured by DP/CH
396 were high compared to total *V. parahaemolyticus* (i.e., *tlh+*), suggesting that *tdh+* and/or
397 *trh+* *V. parahaemolyticus* are present in very large numbers. The relatively high
398 salinities in the Pacific Northwest were concluded to be unrelated to the high *tdh/trh*
399 rates because similar salinities observed at the other sampling sites in this study were
400 not associated with high rates of *tdh/trh* detection (data not shown). To investigate the
401 possibility of cross-reactivity with other vibrios in the Pacific Northwest, a small subset of
402 vibrios in the GC collection was queried. Of the 23 vibrios containing *trh*, only two were
403 identified as *V. alginolyticus*, with the remaining 21 identified as *V. parahaemolyticus*;
404 *tdh* was only found in *V. parahaemolyticus* (Rachel Clostio, personal communication).

405 Other studies of WA *tdh+* and *trh+* strains, including strain genotyping
406 (Paranjpye, et al., manuscript in preparation) and both multilocus sequence typing and
407 complete genomic sequencing (Turner, et al., manuscripts in preparation), demonstrate
408 that strains in the Pacific Northwest carrying *tlh*, *tdh*, and *trh* are indeed *V.*
409 *parahaemolyticus*. Thus, the explanation for the high *tdh/trh* rates in the Pacific
410 Northwest as measured by DP/CH remains unknown.

411 The unforeseen need for the asymmetrical treatment of DP/CH results from
412 Washington due to unexpectedly high rates of *tdh* and *trh* DP/CH results was deemed
413 acceptable and as contributing minimal artifacts because both DP/CH and PCR/MPN

414 methods target the same genes (49). The PCR/MPN method does include additional
415 regions of specificity by its nature because it includes two oligonucleotide primers and a
416 fluorescent probe while DP/CH only includes an alkaline phosphatase-conjugated probe
417 that binds to the region targeted by the forward PCR primer. However, potential
418 variability and artifacts were minimized by treating all three sites in the same manner
419 where possible, i.e., only including PCR/MPN results for *tdh* and *trh* gene targets.

420 Future studies will address the impact of individual parameters on vibrio
421 abundance, for which microcosm studies have been initiated at the University of
422 Maryland that address molecular genetic determination of the vibrios indigenous to the
423 respective geographic regions of this study, and these results will be presented
424 elsewhere. Additional data will also be analyzed as a result of a recently concluded
425 concurrent sampling regime in the four sampling states. A focus of analysis of these
426 data will be exploring possible differences in relationships between vibrio abundance
427 and predictor variables across sampling locations, and this will provide further insight
428 about the initial assessment based on pooling of data. Findings from microcosm study
429 will be evaluated to better inform model selection in the analysis of field study
430 observations. Zooplankton and phytoplankton densities and relationships with
431 additional pigments indicative of phytoplankton will also be analyzed. A sufficiently
432 large complement of data will facilitate identification of statistical models that are both
433 interpretable and provide for the best possible predictive value.

434 In conclusion, the microbial ecology of selected *Vibrio* spp. has been extensively
435 studied to determine the importance of specific environmental parameters influencing
436 the incidence, distribution, and abundance of total and pathogenic vibrios. This study

437 builds upon existing data sets and findings by including an exceptionally wide range of
438 geographic regions, vibrio densities, seasons, and environmental parameters not
439 studied previously. Maximizing the size of the study made it possible to study
440 parameter ranges that cannot be investigated by studying only a single study site. This
441 study confirmed some previously reported findings (e.g., the impact of temperature) but
442 also identified some new findings (e.g., the differences in the strength of correlation of
443 *V. parahaemolyticus* and *V. vulnificus* densities to environmental parameters).
444 Diversifying the geographic niches included in this study improves the chances of
445 identifying environmental signatures that can be used to predict and possibly prevent
446 vibrio outbreaks in a wide and possibly global range of geographic locations.

447

448 **Acknowledgments**

449

450 This work was supported by NSF RAPID grant #1043126 and NSF grant EF-
451 0813285/EF-0813066 as part of the joint NSF-NIH Ecology of Infectious Diseases
452 program and by NASA grant NNX09AR57G. Additional support was provided by the
453 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Oceans and Human Health Initiative
454 (NA04-OAR-4600214) and NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service. The authors are
455 indebted to Dr. Robert Gambrell, Dr. Bob Bidigare, Stephanie Christensen, Drusilla
456 Cowan, Erica Stephens, Pete Cable, Atticus Finger, Conor Smith, William Nilsson,
457 Gladys Yanagida, Asta Stojanowski, Andy DePaola, Adrienne Flowers, Halley Murray,
458 The Olympia Oyster Company in Shelton, WA, and the Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe in
459 Kingston, WA for sample analyses, technical support, intellectual assistance, and

460 logistical assistance, to the anonymous reviewers for a thorough review of the
461 manuscript, and to Dr. Estelle Russek-Cohen for reviewing the manuscript and
462 providing statistical advice.

463

464

465

REFERENCES

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

1. **Andrews, W. H., and T. S. Hammack.** 2003. Food Sampling and Preparation of Sample Homogenate *in* Bacteriological Analytical Manual Online, Chapter 1.
2. **Asplund, M. E., A.-S. Rehnstam-Holm, V. Atnur, P. Raghunath, V. Saravanan, K. Härnström, B. Collin, I. Karunasagar, and A. Godhe.** 2011. Water column dynamics of *Vibrio* in relation to phytoplankton community composition and environmental conditions in a tropical coastal area. *Environmental Microbiology* **13**:2738-2751.
3. **Azandegbe, A., M. Garnier, F. Andrieux-Loyer, R. Kerouel, X. Philippon, and J. L. Nicolas.** 2010. Occurrence and seasonality of *Vibrio aestuarianus* in sediment and *Crassostrea gigas* haemolymph at two oyster farms in France. *Dis Aquat Organ* **91**:213-21.
4. **Blackwell, K. D., and J. D. Oliver.** 2008. The ecology of *Vibrio vulnificus*, *Vibrio cholerae*, and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in North Carolina estuaries. *J Microbiol* **46**:146-53.
5. **Bross, M. H., K. Soch, R. Morales, and R. B. Mitchell.** 2007. *Vibrio vulnificus* infection: diagnosis and treatment. *Am Fam Physician* **76**:539-44.
6. **Caburlotto, G., B. J. Haley, M. M. Lleò, A. Huq, and R. R. Colwell.** 2009. Serodiversity and ecological distribution of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in the Venetian Lagoon, Northeast Italy. *Environmental Microbiology Reports* **2**:151-157.
7. **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.** 1999. Outbreak of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* infection associated with eating raw oysters and clams

- 489 harvested from Long Island sound -- Connecticut, New Jersey, and New York.
490 Morb Mort Wkly Rep **48**:48-51.
- 491 8. **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.** 1998. Outbreak of *Vibrio*
492 *parahaemolyticus* infections associated with eating raw oysters--Pacific
493 Northwest, 1997. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep **47**:457-62.
- 494 9. **Chase, E., and V. J. Harwood.** 2011. Comparison of the effects of
495 environmental parameters on growth rates of *Vibrio vulnificus* biotypes I, II, and
496 III by culture and quantitative PCR analysis. Appl Environ Microbiol **77**:4200-7.
- 497 10. **Chatzidaki-Livanis, M., M. A. Hubbard, K. Gordon, V. J. Harwood, and A. C.**
498 **Wright.** 2006. Genetic distinctions among clinical and environmental strains of
499 *Vibrio vulnificus*. Appl Environ Microbiol **72**:6136-41.
- 500 11. **Chen, C. H., T. Shimada, N. Elhadi, S. Radu, and M. Nishibuchi.** 2004.
501 Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics and epidemiological significance of *ctx+*
502 strains of *Vibrio cholerae* isolated from seafood in Malaysia. Appl Environ
503 Microbiol **70**:1964-72.
- 504 12. **Chen, M.-X., H.-Y. Li, G. Li, and T.-L. Zheng.** 2011. Distribution of *Vibrio*
505 *alginolyticus*-like species in Shenzhen coastal waters, China. Brazilian Journal of
506 Microbiology **42**:884-896.
- 507 13. **Colwell, R. R.** 1996. Global climate and infectious disease: the cholera
508 paradigm. Science **274**:2025-31.
- 509 14. **Colwell, R. R.** 2005. Global Microbial Ecology of *Vibrio cholerae*. In Belkin and
510 Colwell (ed.), Oceans and Health: Pathogens in the Marine Environment.
511 Springer, New York, NY.
- 512 15. **Constantin de Magny, G., R. Murtugudde, M. R. Sapiano, A. Nizam, C. W.**
513 **Brown, A. J. Busalacchi, M. Yunus, G. B. Nair, A. I. Gil, C. F. Lanata, J.**
514 **Calkins, B. Manna, K. Rajendran, M. K. Bhattacharya, A. Huq, R. B. Sack,**
515 **and R. R. Colwell.** 2008. Environmental signatures associated with cholera
516 epidemics. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **105**:17676-81.
- 517 16. **Cooksey, K. E., and B. Wigglesworth-Cooksey.** 1995. Adhesion of bacteria
518 and diatoms to surfaces in the sea : a review. Aquatic Microbial Ecology **9**:87-96.

- 519 17. **DePaola, A., J. L. Jones, K. E. Noe, R. H. Byars, and J. C. Bowers.** 2009.
520 Survey of postharvest-processed oysters in the United States for levels of *Vibrio*
521 *vulnificus* and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. *J Food Prot* **72**:2110-3.
- 522 18. **DePaola, A., J. A. Nordstrom, J. Bowers, J. G. Wells, and D. W. Cook.** 2003.
523 Seasonal abundance of total and pathogenic *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in
524 Alabama oysters. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **69**:1521-1526.
- 525 19. **DePaola, A., J. Ulaszek, C. A. Kaysner, B. J. Tenge, J. L. Nordstrom, J.**
526 **Wells, N. Pühr, and S. M. Gendel.** 2003. Molecular, serological, and virulence
527 characteristics of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* isolated from environmental, food, and
528 clinical sources in North America and Asia. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **69**:3999-4005.
- 529 20. **Deter, J., S. Lozach, A. Derrien, A. Véron, J. Chollet, and D. Hervio-Heath.**
530 2010. Chlorophyll a might structure a community of potentially pathogenic
531 culturable Vibrionaceae. Insights from a one-year study of water and mussels
532 surveyed on the French Atlantic coast. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*
533 **2**:185-191.
- 534 21. **Dyble, J., P. Bienfang, E. Dusek, G. Hitchcock, F. Holland, E. Laws, J.**
535 **Lerczak, D. J. McGillicuddy, Jr., P. Minnett, S. K. Moore, C. O'Kelly, H. Solo-**
536 **Gabriele, and J. D. Wang.** 2008. Environmental controls, oceanography and
537 population dynamics of pathogens and harmful algal blooms: connecting sources
538 to human exposure. *Environ Health* **7 Suppl 2**:S5.
- 539 22. **Eiler, A., M. Johansson, and S. Bertilsson.** 2006. Environmental influences on
540 *Vibrio* populations in northern temperate and boreal coastal waters (Baltic and
541 Skagerrak Seas). *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**:6004-11.
- 542 23. **Gonzalez-Escalona, N., V. Cachicas, C. Acevedo, M. L. Rioseco, J. A.**
543 **Vergara, F. Cabello, J. Romero, and R. T. Espejo.** 2005. *Vibrio*
544 *parahaemolyticus* diarrhea, Chile, 1998 and 2004. *Emerg Infect Dis* **11**:129-31.
- 545 24. **Grimes, D. J., C. N. Johnson, K. S. Dillon, A. R. Flowers, N. F. Noriega, 3rd,**
546 **and T. Berutti.** 2009. What genomic sequence information has revealed about
547 *Vibrio* ecology in the ocean--a review. *Microb Ecol* **58**:447-60.

- 548 25. **Gronewold, A. D., M. E. Borsuk, R. L. Wolpert, and K. H. Reckhow.** 2008. An
549 assessment of fecal indicator bacteria-based water quality standards. *Environ Sci*
550 *Technol* **42**:4676-82.
- 551 26. **Guerrant, R. L., B. A. Carneiro-Filho, and R. A. Dillingham.** 2003. Cholera,
552 diarrhea, and oral rehydration therapy: triumph and indictment. *Clin Infect Dis*
553 **37**:398-405.
- 554 27. **Gulig, P. A., K. L. Bourdage, and A. M. Starks.** 2005. Molecular Pathogenesis
555 of *Vibrio vulnificus*. *J Microbiol* **43 Spec No**:118-31.
- 556 28. **Hox, J. J.** 2010. Multilevel analysis. Techniques and applications, 2 ed.
557 Routledge, New York, NY.
- 558 29. **Huq, A., P. A. West, E. B. Small, M. I. Huq, and R. R. Colwell.** 1984. Influence
559 of water temperature, salinity, and pH on survival and growth of toxigenic *Vibrio*
560 *cholerae* serovar 01 associated with live copepods in laboratory microcosms.
561 *Appl Environ Microbiol* **48**:420-4.
- 562 30. **Igbinosa, E., C. Obi, and A. Okoh.** 2011. Seasonal abundance and distribution
563 of *Vibrio* species in the treated effluent of wastewater treatment facilities in
564 suburban and urban communities of Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. *The*
565 *Journal of Microbiology* **49**:224-232.
- 566 31. **Johnson, C. N., A. R. Flowers, N. F. Noriega, III, A. M. Zimmerman, J. C.**
567 **Bowers, A. DePaola, and D. J. Grimes.** 2010. Relationships between
568 environmental factors and pathogenic vibrios in the northern Gulf of Mexico.
569 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **76**:7076-7084.
- 570 32. **Johnson, C. N., A. R. Flowers, V. C. Young, N. Gonzalez-Escalona, A.**
571 **DePaola, N. F. Noriega, 3rd, and D. J. Grimes.** 2009. Genetic relatedness
572 among *tdh+* and *trh+* *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* cultured from Gulf of Mexico
573 oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) and surrounding water and sediment. *Microb Ecol*
574 **57**:437-43.
- 575 33. **Julie, D., L. Solen, V. Antoine, C. Jaufrey, D. Annick, and H. H. Dominique.**
576 2010. Ecology of pathogenic and non-pathogenic *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* on the
577 French Atlantic coast. Effects of temperature, salinity, turbidity and chlorophyll a.
578 *Environ Microbiol* **12**:929-37.

- 579 34. **Kaysner, C. A., C. Abeyta, M. M. Wekell, A. DePaola, R. F. Stott, and J. M.**
580 **Leitch.** 1987. Virulent strains of *Vibrio vulnificus* isolated from estuaries of the
581 United States West Coast. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **53**:1349-
582 1351.
- 583 35. **Kelly, M. T.** 1982. Effect of temperature and salinity on *Vibrio (Beneckea)*
584 *vulnificus* occurrence in a Gulf Coast environment. *Appl Environ Microbiol*
585 **44**:820-4.
- 586 36. **Lee, R., and L. Murray.** 2010. Components of microbiological monitoring
587 programmes, p. 91-108. *In* G. Rees, K. Pond, J. Kay, J. Bartram, and J. Santo
588 Domingo (ed.), *Safe Management of Shellfish and Harvest Waters*, London, UK.
- 589 37. **Lee, W., M. Lee, J. Kim, and S. Park.** 2001. Foodborne illness outbreaks in
590 Korea and Japan studied retrospectively. *J Food Prot* **64**:899-902.
- 591 38. **Letelier, R. M., R. R. Bidigare, D. V. Hebel, M. Ondrusek, C. D. Winn, and D.**
592 **M. Karl.** 1993. Temporal Variability of Phytoplankton Community Structure
593 Based on Pigment Analysis. *Limnology and Oceanography* **38**:1420-1437.
- 594 39. **Lobitz, B., L. Beck, A. Huq, B. Wood, G. Fuchs, A. S. Faruque, and R.**
595 **Colwell.** 2000. Climate and infectious disease: use of remote sensing for
596 detection of *Vibrio cholerae* by indirect measurement. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
597 **97**:1438-43.
- 598 40. **Lunn, D. J., A. Thomas, N. Best, and D. J. Spiegelhalter.** 2000. WinBUGS -- a
599 Bayesian modelling framework: concepts, structure, and extensibility. *Statistics*
600 *and Computing* **10**:325-337.
- 601 41. **Martinez-Urtaza, J., V. Blanco-Abad, A. Rodriguez-Castro, J. Ansedo-**
602 **Bermejo, A. Miranda, and M. X. Rodriguez-Alvarez.** 2011. Ecological
603 determinants of the occurrence and dynamics of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in
604 offshore areas. *ISME J*.
- 605 42. **Martinez-Urtaza, J., J. C. Bowers, J. Trinanes, and A. DePaola.** 2010. Climate
606 anomalies and the increasing risk of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and *Vibrio*
607 *vulnificus* illnesses. *Food Research International* **43**:1780-1790.
- 608 43. **Martinez-Urtaza, J., A. Lozano-Leon, J. Varela-Pet, J. Trinanes, Y. Pazos,**
609 **and O. Garcia-Martin.** 2008. Environmental determinants of the occurrence and

- 610 distribution of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in the rias of Galicia, Spain. Appl Environ
611 Microbiol **74**:265-74.
- 612 44. **McLaughlin, J. B., A. DePaola, C. A. Bopp, K. A. Martinek, N. P. Napolilli, C.**
613 **G. Allison, S. L. Murray, E. C. Thompson, M. M. Bird, and J. P. Middaugh.**
614 2005. Outbreak of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* gastroenteritis associated with
615 Alaskan oysters. N Engl J Med **353**:1463-70.
- 616 45. **Morris, J. G., Jr., A. C. Wright, D. M. Roberts, P. K. Wood, L. M. Simpson,**
617 **and J. D. Oliver.** 1987. Identification of environmental *Vibrio vulnificus* isolates
618 with a DNA probe for the cytotoxin-hemolysin gene. Appl Environ Microbiol
619 **53**:193-5.
- 620 46. **Motes, M. L., A. DePaola, D. W. Cook, J. E. Veazey, J. C. Hunsucker, W. E.**
621 **Garthright, R. J. Blodgett, and S. J. Chirtel.** 1998. Influence of water
622 temperature and salinity on *Vibrio vulnificus* in Northern Gulf and Atlantic Coast
623 oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*). Appl Environ Microbiol **64**:1459-65.
- 624 47. **Nigro, O. D., A. Hou, G. Vithanage, R. S. Fujioka, and G. F. Steward.** 2011.
625 Temporal and spatial variability in culturable pathogenic *Vibrio* spp. in Lake
626 Pontchartrain, Louisiana, following hurricanes Katrina and Rita. Appl Environ
627 Microbiol **77**:5384-93.
- 628 48. **Nishibuchi, M., A. Fasano, R. G. Russell, and J. B. Kaper.** 1992.
629 Enterotoxigenicity of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* with and without genes encoding
630 thermostable direct hemolysin. Infect Immun **60**:3539-45.
- 631 49. **Nordstrom, J. L., M. C. Vickery, G. M. Blackstone, S. L. Murray, and A.**
632 **Depaola.** 2007. Development of a multiplex real-time PCR assay with an internal
633 amplification control for the detection of total and pathogenic *Vibrio*
634 *parahaemolyticus* bacteria in oysters. Appl Environ Microbiol **73**:5840-7.
- 635 50. **Noriea, N. F., 3rd, C. N. Johnson, K. J. Griffitt, and D. J. Grimes.** 2010.
636 Distribution of type III secretion systems in *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* from the
637 northern Gulf of Mexico. J Appl Microbiol **109**:953-62.
- 638 51. **Ntzoufras, I.** 2009. Bayesian Modeling Using WinBUGS. Wiley Series in
639 Computational Statistics, Hoboken, USA.

- 640 52. **O'Neill, K. R., S. H. Jones, and D. J. Grimes.** 1992. Seasonal incidence of
641 *Vibrio vulnificus* in the Great Bay estuary of New Hampshire and Maine. Appl
642 Environ Microbiol **58**:3257-62.
- 643 53. **Oberbeckmann, S., A. Wichels, K. Wiltshire, and G. Gerdt.** 2011.
644 Occurrence of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and *Vibrio alginolyticus* in the German
645 Bight over a seasonal cycle. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek **100**:291-307.
- 646 54. **Parveen, S., K. A. Hettiarachchi, J. C. Bowers, J. L. Jones, M. L. Tamplin, R.**
647 **McKay, W. Beatty, K. Brohawn, L. V. Dasilva, and A. Depaola.** 2008.
648 Seasonal distribution of total and pathogenic *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in
649 Chesapeake Bay oysters and waters. Int J Food Microbiol **128**:354-61.
- 650 55. **Phillips, A. M. B., A. DePaola, J. Bowers, S. Ladner, and D. J. Grimes.** 2007.
651 An evaluation of the use of remotely sensed parameters for prediction of
652 incidence and risk associated with *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in Gulf Coast oysters
653 (*Crassostrea virginica*) J Food Prot **70**:879-884.
- 654 56. **Qadri, F., A. M. Svennerholm, A. S. Faruque, and R. B. Sack.** 2005.
655 Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* in developing countries: epidemiology,
656 microbiology, clinical features, treatment, and prevention. Clin Microbiol Rev
657 **18**:465-83.
- 658 57. **R Development Core Team.** (2011). R: A language and environment for
659 statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria,
660 ISBN 3-900051-07-0, <[http : \ www R-project.org](http://www.R-project.org)>.
- 661 58. **Ramirez, G. D., G. W. Buck, A. K. Smith, K. V. Gordon, and J. B. Mott.** 2009.
662 Incidence of *Vibrio vulnificus* in estuarine waters of the south Texas Coastal
663 Bend region. Journal of Applied Microbiology **107**:2047-2053.
- 664 59. **Rehnstam-Holm, A. S., A. Godhe, K. Harnstrom, P. Raghunath, V.**
665 **Saravanan, B. Collin, and I. Karunasagar.** 2010. Association between
666 phytoplankton and *Vibrio* spp. along the southwest coast of India: a mesocosm
667 experiment. Aquatic Microbial Ecology **58**:127-139.
- 668 60. **Reyes-Velázquez, C., R. Castañeda-Chávez, C. Landeros-Sánchez, I.**
669 **Galaviz-Villa, Lango-Reynoso, F., M. Minguez-Rodriguez, and I. Nikolskii-**

- 670 **Gavrilov.** 2010. Pathogenic vibrios in the oyster *Crassostrea virginica* in the
671 lagoon system of Mandinga, Veracruz, Mexico. *Hidrobiologica* **20**:238-245.
- 672 61. **Riemann, L., and F. Azam.** 2002. Widespread N-acetyl-D-glucosamine uptake
673 among pelagic marine bacteria and its ecological implications. *Appl Environ*
674 *Microbiol* **68**:5554-62.
- 675 62. **Rose, J. B., P. R. Epstein, E. K. Lipp, B. H. Sherman, S. M. Bernard, and J.**
676 **A. Patz.** 2001. Climate variability and change in the United States: potential
677 impacts on water- and foodborne diseases caused by microbiologic agents.
678 *Environ Health Perspect* **109 Suppl 2**:211-21.
- 679 63. **Schets, F. M., H. H. van den Berg, S. A. Rutjes, and A. M. de Roda Husman.**
680 2010. Pathogenic *Vibrio* species in dutch shellfish destined for direct human
681 consumption. *J Food Prot* **73**:734-8.
- 682 64. **Shirai, H., H. Ito, T. Hirayama, Y. Nakamoto, N. Nakabayashi, K. Kumagai, Y.**
683 **Takeda, and M. Nishibuchi.** 1990. Molecular epidemiologic evidence for
684 association of thermostable direct hemolysin (*TDH*) and *TDH*-related hemolysin
685 of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* with gastroenteritis. *Infect Immun* **58**:3568-73.
- 686 65. **Siddique, A. K., K. Zaman, K. Akram, P. Mutsuddy, A. Eusof, and R. B. Sack.**
687 1994. Emergence of a new epidemic strain of *Vibrio cholerae* in Bangladesh. An
688 epidemiological study. *Trop Geogr Med* **46**:147-50.
- 689 66. **Singleton, F. L., R. W. Attwell, M. S. Jangi, and R. R. Colwell.** 1982. Influence
690 of salinity and organic nutrient concentration on survival and growth of *Vibrio*
691 *cholerae* in aquatic microcosms. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **43**:1080-5.
- 692 67. **Snijders, T. A. B., and R. J. Bosker.** 1999. *Multilevel Analysis: An Introduction*
693 *to Basic and Advanced Multilevel Modeling.* Sage Publications, London.
- 694 68. **Sobrinho, P. d. S. C., M. T. Destro, B. D. G. M. Franco, and M. Landgraf.**
695 2010. Correlation between Environmental Factors and Prevalence of *Vibrio*
696 *parahaemolyticus* in Oysters Harvested in the Southern Coastal Area of Sao
697 Paulo State, Brazil. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **76**:1290-1293.
- 698 69. **Soto, W., J. Gutierrez, M. Remmenga, and M. Nishiguchi.** 2009. Salinity and
699 temperature effects on physiological responses of *Vibrio fischeri* from diverse
700 ecological niches. *Microbial Ecology* **57**:140-150.

- 701 70. **Stauder, M., L. Vezzulli, E. Pezzati, B. Repetto, and C. Pruzzo.** 2010.
702 Temperature affects *Vibrio cholerae* O1 El Tor persistence in the aquatic
703 environment via an enhanced expression of *GbpA* and MSHA adhesins.
704 Environmental Microbiology Reports **2**:140-144.
- 705 71. **Sturtz, S., U. Ligges, and A. Gelman.** 2005. R2WinBUGS: A Package for
706 Running WinBUGS from R. Journal of Statistical Software **12**:1-16.
- 707 72. **Tamplin, M., G. E. Rodrick, N. J. Blake, and T. Cuba.** 1982. Isolation and
708 characterization of *Vibrio vulnificus* from two Florida estuaries. Appl Environ
709 Microbiol **44**:1466-70.
- 710 73. **Thompson, J. R., M. A. Randa, L. A. Marcelino, A. Tomita-Mitchell, E. Lim,**
711 **and M. F. Polz.** 2004. Diversity and dynamics of a north atlantic coastal *Vibrio*
712 community. Appl Environ Microbiol **70**:4103-10.
- 713 74. **Turner, J. W., B. Good, D. Cole, and E. K. Lipp.** 2009. Plankton composition
714 and environmental factors contribute to *Vibrio* seasonality. ISME J **3**:1082-92.
- 715 75. **Ufnar, D. F., J. A. Ufnar, T. W. White, D. M. Rebarchik, and R. D. Ellender.**
716 2005. Environmental influences on fecal pollution in the Mississippi Sound. Trans
717 Gulf Coast Assoc Geol Soc **55**:835–843.
- 718 76. **Valiela, I., M. Alber, and M. LaMontagne.** 1991. Fecal coliform loadings and
719 stocks in Buttermilk Bay, Massachusetts, USA, and management implications.
720 Env. Management **15**:659-674.
- 721 77. **Vezzulli, L., I. Brettar, E. Pezzati, P. C. Reid, R. R. Colwell, M. G. Hofle, and**
722 **C. Pruzzo.** 2011. Long-term effects of ocean warming on the prokaryotic
723 community: evidence from the vibrios. ISME J **6**:21-30.
- 724 78. **Watkins, W. D., and V. J. Cabelli.** 1985. Effect of fecal pollution on *Vibrio*
725 *parahaemolyticus* densities in an estuarine environment. Appl Environ Microbiol
726 **49**:1307-13.
- 727 79. **Whitaker, W. B., M. A. Parent, L. M. Naughton, G. P. Richards, S. L.**
728 **Blumerman, and E. F. Boyd.** 2010. Modulation of Responses of *Vibrio*
729 *parahaemolyticus* O3:K6 to pH and Temperature Stresses by Growth at Different
730 Salt Concentrations. Applied and Environmental Microbiology **76**:4720-4729.

- 731 80. **Wong, H. C., M. C. Chen, S. H. Liu, and D. P. Liu.** 1999. Incidence of highly
 732 genetically diversified *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in seafood imported from Asian
 733 countries. *Int J Food Microbiol* **52**:181-8.
- 734 81. **Wright, A. C., G. A. Miceli, W. L. Landry, J. B. Christy, W. D. Watkins, and J.**
 735 **G. Morris, Jr.** 1993. Rapid identification of *Vibrio vulnificus* on nonselective
 736 media with an alkaline phosphatase-labeled oligonucleotide probe. *Appl Environ*
 737 *Microbiol* **59**:541-6.
- 738 82. **Wright, A. C., J. G. Morris, Jr., D. R. Maneval, Jr., K. Richardson, and J. B.**
 739 **Kaper.** 1985. Cloning of the cytotoxin-hemolysin gene of *Vibrio vulnificus*. *Infect*
 740 *Immun* **50**:922-4.
- 741 83. **Zimmerman, A. M., A. DePaola, J. C. Bowers, J. A. Krantz, J. L. Nordstrom,**
 742 **C. N. Johnson, and D. J. Grimes.** 2007. Variability of total and pathogenic
 743 *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* densities in northern Gulf of Mexico water and oysters.
 744 *Appl Environ Microbiol* **73**:7589-96.

745
 746
 747 **Tables.**

Table 1. Pooled data as pooled for WA, GC, and MD according to gene target.

Gene	Sample	PCR/MPN method	DP/CH method
<i>tlh</i>	water	WA, GC, MD ¹	GC, MD
	oyster	WA, GC, MD	GC, MD
	sediment		WA, GC, MD
<i>tdh</i>	water	WA, GC, MD	
	oyster	WA, GC, MD	
	sediment		WA, GC, MD
<i>trh</i>	water	WA, GC, MD	
	oyster	WA, GC, MD	
	sediment		WA, GC, MD
<i>vvhA</i> ²	water		GC, MD
	oyster		GC, MD
	sediment		GC, MD

¹WA = Washington; GC = Gulf Coast; MD = Maryland; MPN = most probable number; DP/CH = direct plating/colony hybridization; ² *vvhA* data for all WA sample sources for WA were omitted.

748

Table 2. Relative importance of environmental parameters for all sampling locations combined.

	<i>tlh</i>			<i>vvhA</i>			<i>tdh</i>			<i>trh</i>		
	wat	oys	sed	wat	oys	sed	wat	oys	sed	wat	oys	sed
log DOC	1.88	13.1	0.96	1.56	2.05	4.36	15.3	4.17	5.05	7.77	5.89	12.4
log Chla	1.98	0.63	5.01	1.29	2.55	1.38	12.1	6.31	22.0	3.11	12.9	9.80
log SPM	15.6	5.99	7.40	2.38	2.80	3.80	22.9	28.9	1.91	8.64	17.4	10.1
SalBoth	2.45	8.98	3.70	3.56	3.72	2.60	30.7	5.24	4.17	5.78	4.72	4.79
SST	11.0	18.0	34.3	11.5	27.6	9.00	4.65	3.65	11.2	14.2	7.72	7.05

Relative importance of environmental parameters based on pseudo-R² statistics derived from GLMM analysis. SalBoth = both salinity and quadratic salinity combined; bold = $P < 0.05$.

749

750

	Probe	Range in CFU/mL or CFU/g for respective DP/CH probe (Median)	DP/CH Detects (%)
Water	<i>vvh</i>	<1 - >250 (6.0)	79.2
	<i>tlh</i>	<1 - 204 (1.5)	69.5
	<i>tdh</i>	<1 - 66 (<1)	18.1
	<i>trh</i>	<1 - 39 (<1)	19.7
Oysters	<i>vvh</i>	<10 - >2.5E4 (673.9)	86.3
	<i>tlh</i>	<10 - 2.2E4 (186)	81.5
	<i>tdh</i>	<10 - 241 (<10)	24.8
	<i>trh</i>	<10 - 982 (<10)	34.9
Sediment	<i>vvh</i>	<100 - >8.3E4 (525)	61
	<i>tlh</i>	<20 - >8.3E4 (715)	89.7
	<i>tdh</i>	<20 - 2.4E3 (25)	61.3
	<i>trh</i>	<20 - 3.5E3 (50)	64.2

tlh, thermolabile hemolysin; *tdh*, thermostable direct hemolysin; *trh*, *tdh*-related hemolysin; *vvh*, *V. vulnificus* hemolysin; DP/CH, direct plating/colony hybridization. CFU/mL and CFU/g data are from DP/CH.

751




Figure 1.

1 Figure 1. Box plots of sea surface temperature (SST), salinity (Sal), chlorophyll-a
2 (Chl-a), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), and SPM (suspended particulate
3 matter) in Washington (WA), Mississippi + Louisiana (Gulf Coast, GC), and
4 Maryland (MD). Box plots summarize distribution by indication of the maximum,
5 75th percentile, median, 25th percentile, and minimum values. Additional circles
6 indicate outlier values identified by the statistical package R. Points more than
7 1.5 times the interquartile range above the third quartile or below the first quartile
8 were plotted individually as outliers. Median values are indicated below the
9 graphs.
10




Figure 2.

1 Figure 2. Model-based estimates of mean and standard deviation (SD) of log
2 abundance by location and gene target. Estimates of mean and SD of log
3 CFUs/mL (water) and log CFUs/g (oysters and sediment) are based on
4 measurements from DP/CH (all *vvhA* and sediment data points), from real-time
5 PCR/MPN (*tdh* and *trh* in all water/oyster, and *tlh* in WA water/oyster), or from
6 both (*tlh* in GC water/oyster and MD water/oyster). Means are presented with
7 standard deviation of the distributions and not standard error of means.
8

Figure 3A. The *tlh*:*vvhA* densities for water samples.




Figure 3B. The *tlh*:*vvhA* densities in oyster samples.





Figure 3C. The *tlh*:*vvhA* densities in sediment samples.



1 Figure 3. The *tlh:vvhA* relative densities by habitat and location. The DP/CH-
2 derived *tlh* densities were compared to DP/CH-derived *vvhA* densities on a
3 sample-to-sample basis for water (A), oysters (B), and sediment (C). Black bars
4 = *tlh* densities; gray bars = *vvhA* densities; diamond lines = sea surface
5 temperature in °C plotted on secondary (right) y-axis. WA data were excluded
6 from these graphs because of the lack of *vvhA* counts.
7