

2-26-2020

Editorial: Deep Learning for Toxicity and Disease Prediction

Ping Gong

U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center

Chaoyang Zhang

University of Southern Mississippi

Minjun Chen

U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Follow this and additional works at: https://aquila.usm.edu/fac_pubs



Part of the [Genetics and Genomics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Gong, P., Zhang, C., Chen, M. (2020). Editorial: Deep Learning for Toxicity and Disease Prediction. *Frontiers in Genetics*.

Available at: https://aquila.usm.edu/fac_pubs/17364

This Editorial is brought to you for free and open access by The Aquila Digital Community. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of The Aquila Digital Community. For more information, please contact Joshua.Cromwell@usm.edu.



Editorial: Deep Learning for Toxicity and Disease Prediction

Ping Gong^{1*}, Chaoyang Zhang² and Minjun Chen³

¹ Environmental Laboratory, U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS, United States, ² School of Computing Sciences and Computer Engineering, University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS, United States,

³ Division of Bioinformatics and Biostatistics, National Center for Toxicological Research, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Jefferson, AR, United States

Keywords: deep learning, disease diagnosis or prognosis, chemical toxicity prediction, deep neural networks, conventional machine learning

Editorial on the Research Topic

Deep Learning for Toxicity and Disease Prediction

Deep learning (DL), also called deep structured learning or hierarchical learning, is an important subset of machine learning (ML). The distinction between DL and conventional “shallow” ML is that DL algorithms allow computational models composed of multiple processing layers to be fed with raw data and automatically learn multiple levels of abstract representations of data for detection and classification (LeCun et al., 2015). The history of DL can be traced back to the 1940s when the first neural network model was developed (McCulloch and Pitts, 1943). It wasn't until recently that DL evolved into and reemerged as a prominent discipline within the artificial intelligence domain, thanks to such revolutionary advances as backpropagation, parallel computing with GPUs, availability of massive labeled data, improved architectures, robust optimizers, regularization techniques, and activation functions (see <https://www.import.io/post/history-of-deep-learning/> and https://beamandrew.github.io/deeplearning/2017/02/23/deep_learning_101_part1.html for more info). Over the past decade DL has regained popularity and has been successfully applied to such diverse fields as image (Zeiler and Fergus, 2014) and speech (Hinton et al., 2012) recognition, visual art (Huang et al., 2016) and natural language (Xiong et al., 2016) processing, drug discovery (Gawehn et al., 2016), chemical toxicity prediction (Mayr et al., 2016), and computational biology (Angermueller et al., 2016). For instance, deep convolutional neural networks (CNNs) have brought about breakthroughs in computer vision and pattern recognition (Krizhevsky et al., 2012), whereas recurrent neural networks have shed light on sequential data such as text mining and speech applications (Hinton et al., 2012).

Despite great success, there remain many technical challenges, one of which is how to integrate or transform subject-specific knowledge in order to adapt to DL algorithms and improve outcomes. Technical hurdles exist in data preprocessing, model selection (e.g., feedforward, convolutional, or recurrent networks), parametric function approximation (e.g., initialization strategies, activation functions, architecture, and learning techniques), and model regularization and optimization. This Research Topic addresses these challenges and hurdles with a specific focus on the application of DL algorithms to chemical toxicity prediction and disease diagnosis, which has not been adequately explored (Mayr et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2019). As a result, 11 manuscripts were accepted in four participating journals: 7 in *Frontiers in Genetics* (Zhang L. et al.; Hu et al.; Jia et al.; Luo et al.; Xie et al.; Zhang X. et al.; Ji et al.), 2 in *Frontiers in Plant Science* (Fuentes et al.; Lin et al.), 1 in *Frontiers in Physiology* (Idakwo et al.), and 1 in *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology* (Matsuzaka and Uesawa). These papers are well-split between human (Zhang L. et al.; Jia et al.; Luo et al.; Xie et al.; Zhang X. et al.) or plant (Fuentes et al.; Lin et al.) disease diagnosis and

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Douglas Mark Ruden,
Wayne State University, United States

*Correspondence:

Ping Gong
ping.gong@usace.army.mil

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Toxicogenomics,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Genetics

Received: 22 January 2020

Accepted: 13 February 2020

Published: 26 February 2020

Citation:

Gong P, Zhang C and Chen M (2020)
Editorial: Deep Learning for Toxicity
and Disease Prediction.
Front. Genet. 11:175.
doi: 10.3389/fgene.2020.00175

chemical toxicity (Matsuzaka and Uesawa; Idakwo et al.) or drug efficacy (Hu et al.; Ji et al.) prediction. CNN architecture dominated these studies, except three where autoencoder (Zhang L. et al.; Hu et al.) or XGBoost (Ji et al.) was employed. The input data varied from images (Fuentes et al.; Lin et al.; Xie et al.) or converted images (Matsuzaka and Uesawa) to gene mutations (Luo et al.), chemical molecular descriptors (Hu et al.; Idakwo et al.), phenotypes (Jia et al.), physical examination records (Zhang X. et al.), and mixtures of different data profiles such as multi-omics data (Zhang L. et al.), chemical structures, human phenotypes, pathways, protein targets, and protein–protein interactions (Ji et al.).

As summarized below, this collection of original research papers presents a significant amount of progress made in the above-mentioned scope of the Research Topic:

Development of novel DL-based tools: Autoencoder-based classification models were developed to identify ultra-high risk prognostic subgroups of neuroblastoma (Zhang et al.) or distinguish drug-like compounds from common compounds (Hu et al.). Luo et al. demonstrated that a CNN-based deepDriver could learn information within somatic mutation data and similarity networks simultaneously to enhance the prediction of cancer driver genes. A CNN-based, pixel-level semantic segmentation model was built for quantitative assessment of the severity of powdery mildew in cucumber leaves, achieving an average pixel accuracy of 96% (Lin et al.). Xie et al. applied both CNN- and autoencoder-based DL and transfer learning techniques to automatically extract high-level abstract features from breast cancer histopathological images, which led to a significant improvement in cancer diagnosis. Zhang X. et al. reported a novel GroupNet model for multi-label chronic disease classification that outperformed other DL (e.g., AlexNet) and conventional ML (e.g., SVM) models.

Optimization of existing DL-based tools: Fuentes et al. presented a two-tiered diagnosis system to address high false positive rates caused by class unbalance and variation. The system consists of a primary diagnosis unit that detects a set of bounding boxes that likely contain a disease in the image, a secondary diagnosis unit that verifies bounding boxes detected from the primary diagnosis unit using independent CNN classifiers trained with respect to each class, and an integration unit that combines the results from the primary and secondary units to effectively recognize 10 different types of diseases and pests in tomato. This system showed an improved recognition rate of 96%, 13% higher than previous work (Fuentes et al., 2017). Matsuzaka and Uesawa refined DeepSnap, a DL-based tool for quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) analysis previously developed by Uesawa (2018), through optimizing such parameters as the number of molecules per Structure Data File (SDF), zoom factor percentage, atom size for van der Waals percentage, bond radius, minimum bond distance, and bond tolerance. The DeepSnap with an optimal set of parameter values generated the best performing models.

Choosing between DL and conventional ML (cML): Despite revolutionary breakthroughs, DL does not always provide better performance or superior solutions to any specific problem than cML. Such cML as Logistic Regression (LR), Random Forest (RF), and Naive Bayes (NB) were employed along with Deep Neural

Network (DNN) to train classifiers with excellent precision ($\geq 98\%$) and recall (up to 95%) for rare disease diagnosis implemented in a Rare Disease Auxiliary Diagnosis system (Jia et al.). Idakwo et al. presented a case study where DNN and RF were compared with and without parametric optimization in terms of QSAR-based chemical toxicity prediction. Ji et al. compared XGBoost, a cML algorithm, with DeepSynergy, a DL algorithm, and other cML algorithms (e.g., RF, LR, and NB), and concluded that XGBoost outperformed other classifiers in both stratified five-fold cross-validation and independent validation in identifying synergistic or antagonistic drug combinations. These studies suggest that in the absence of large amounts of training samples (e.g., in the 100 or 1,000k range), cML may be an alternative superior to DL in performance, as cML is less likely to over-fit and often computationally less costly. Even with available big data, DL algorithms need to be optimized to achieve outstanding performance (Fuentes et al.; Idakwo et al.; Matsuzaka and Uesawa). Furthermore, transfer learning was used in conjunction with DL to train a neural network model on a problem similar to the one being solved (Xie et al.; Matsuzaka and Uesawa).

Data preprocessing: In order to take advantage of the power of CNN, Matsuzaka and Uesawa converted SMILES text files into SDF image files, whereas Zhang X. et al. transformed physical examination records into multi-label class data using binary relevance and label powerset methods. Data rebalance techniques (Hu et al.; Xie et al.) and focal loss (Zhang X. et al.) or stratification (Ji et al.; Idakwo et al.) strategies were often performed to overcome the influence of skewed class distribution. Data preprocessing played a critical role in improving performance of DL- or cML-based classification.

This collection of contributions highlights not only the promising outlook of DL applications in disease diagnosis and toxicity prediction, but also the necessity of optimizing DL algorithms in order to achieve superior outcomes. Given the remarkable success of DL application in classification problems, the focus of future efforts may now shift to quantification problems.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PG proposed and edited this Research Topic. CZ and MC co-edited this Research Topic. All authors made a substantial, direct and intellectual contribution to this Editorial, and approved it for publication.

FUNDING

This work was supported in part by funding provided to PG from the U.S. Army Environmental Quality and Installation Program.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Permission was granted by the Chief of Engineer, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to publish this paper. We thank Drs. Ioannis P. Androulakis, Nora L. Nock, and Alfredo Pulvirenti for editing three papers in this collection.

REFERENCES

- Angermueller, C., Pärnamaa, T., Parts, L., and Stegle, O. (2016). Deep learning for computational biology. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 12:878. doi: 10.15252/msb.20156651
- Fuentes, A., Yoon, S., Kim, S. C., and Park, D. S. (2017). A robust deep-learning-based detector for real-time tomato plant diseases and pests recognition. *Sensors* 17:E2022. doi: 10.3390/s17092022
- Gawehn, E., Hiss, J. A., and Schneider, G. (2016). Deep learning in drug discovery. *Mol. Inform.* 35:3–14. doi.org/10.1002/minf.201501008
- Hinton, G., Deng, L., Yu, D., Dahl, G. E., Mohamed, A.-R., Jaitly, N., et al. (2012). Deep neural networks for acoustic modeling in speech recognition: the shared views of four research groups. *Signal. Process. Mag. IEEE* 29, 82–97. doi: 10.1109/MSP.2012.2205597
- Huang, S., Li, X., Zhang, Z., He, Z., Wu, F., Liu, W., et al. (2016). Deep learning driven visual path prediction from a single image. *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* 25, 5892–5904. doi: 10.1109/TIP.2016.2613686
- Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., and Hinton, G. (2012). ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Proc. Adv. Neural Inform. Process. Syst.* 25, 1090–1098. doi: 10.1145/3065386
- LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., and Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. *Nature* 521, 436–444. doi: 10.1038/nature14539
- Mayr, A., Klambauer, G., Unterthiner, T., and Hochreiter, S. (2016). DeepTox: toxicity prediction using deep learning. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 3:80. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2015.00080
- Mayr, A., Klambauer, G., Unterthiner, T., Steijaert, M., Wegner, J. K., Ceulemans, H., et al. (2018). Large-scale comparison of machine learning methods for drug target prediction on ChEMBL. *Chem. Sci.* 9, 5441–5451. doi: 10.1039/c8sc00148k
- McCulloch, W. S., and Pitts, W. (1943). A logical calculus of the ideas immanent in nervous activity. *Bull. Math. Biophys.* 5, 115–133.
- Uesawa, Y. (2018). Quantitative structure–activity relationship analysis using deep learning based on a novel molecular image input technique. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 28, 3400–3403. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.08.032
- Xiong, C., Merity, S., and Socher, R. (2016). Dynamic memory networks for visual and textual question answering. *arXiv[Preprint]*. arXiv:1603.01417.
- Xu, J., Xue, K., and Zhang, K. (2019). Current status and future trends of clinical diagnoses via image-based deep learning. *Theranostics* 9, 7556–7565. doi: 10.7150/thno.38065
- Zeiler, M. D., and Fergus, R. (2014). “Visualizing and understanding convolutional networks,” *Computer Vision–ECCV 2014*, eds D. Fleet, T. Pajdla, B. Schiele, and T. Tuytelaars (Cham: Springer), (Heidelberg; Berlin: Springer), 818–833.

Disclaimer: The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Gong, Zhang and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.