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ABSTRACT

GENE EXPRESSION PROFILES AS MOLECULAR INDICATORS OF DISSOLVED OXYGEN STRESS IN GRASS SHRIMP

PALAEMONETES PUGIO HOLTHUIS 1949

by Tiandao Li

December 2008

Occurrence and severity of hypoxia is increasing in coastal and estuarine environments, and recovery of impacted habitats and living resources is slow. Detection of early biological effects of hypoxia is needed for timely remedial action to be taken. The overall objectives of this research was to develop molecular indicators of dissolved oxygen stress to assess the biological impact of hypoxia in coastal estuaries and validate their use through a combination of laboratory and field studies. To achieve these goals, grass shrimp, Palaemonetes pugio, oxygen-sensitive and hypoxia-tolerant species abundant in estuarine systems, were exposed to hypoxia under controlled laboratory conditions, and significant changes in gene expression were identified. Grass shrimp were collected from hypoxic field sites to evaluate if these hypoxia-responsive genes can be used as indicators of dissolved oxygen (DO) stress in the aquatic environment.

Hypoxia inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α), a key transcription factor that controls a variety of cellular and systemic homeostatic responses to hypoxic stress, was successfully cloned and characterized in crustaceans using RT-PCR and RACE. Grass shrimp HIF-1α protein shows a high level of conservation with other HIF-1α proteins in the bHLH, PAS, ODD, and TAD domains. Phylogenetic analysis indicates that grass shrimp and vertebrate HIFs belong to distinct clades within the HIF protein family. HIF mRNA levels were not responsive to chronic or cyclic hypoxia.

Six libraries of expressed sequence tags (ESTs) were constructed by suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) from the grass shrimp exposed to environmental stress: moderate (DO 2.5 mg/L) and severe (1.5 mg/L) hypoxia, cyclic hypoxia (1.5 →7 mg/L),
contaminant-induced stress (pyrene and copper), and biological stress (molt). Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of libraries showed several genes that were present in only one library suggesting that their expression may be stressor specific. The molting process was accompanied by changes in expression of many genes not found in the hypoxia/copper/pyrene libraries. The resulting annotated transcripts were used to design and construct a cDNA microarray to measure the expression changes in response to hypoxia conditions.

The microarrays were used to examine differentially expressed genes in hypoxic vs. normoxic groups at 6 (H6), 12 (H12), 24 (H24), 48 (H48), 120 (H120), and 240 (H240) hours exposure to chronic hypoxia. Cluster analysis showed two response patterns, composed of an up- (including H6, H24, and H120) and down-regulated (including H12, H48, and H240) dominated cluster. Changes in gene expression are dynamic and transient. There is no differentially expressed gene up- or down-regulated common to all six groups.

Differentially expressed genes were determined in hypoxic vs. normoxic groups after 1, 2, 5 and 10 days exposure to cyclic hypoxia. Sampling on each day was conducted at two different time series, one in the morning (representing low DO, CA) and one in the afternoon (representing high DO, CP). There are distinct differences between the number and identity of specific genes that are significantly down- or up-regulated in shrimp collected at the low DO and high DO points of the cyclic DO cycle. Only a few genes are differentially expressed in grass shrimp exposed to cyclic hypoxia in the field relative to those collected from a normoxic reference site.

In conclusion, grass shrimp HIF is constitutively expressed and not induced by chronic and cyclic hypoxia exposures in both laboratory and field studies. Some differentially expressed genes appear unique at certain time points during laboratory and field exposures. However, changes of significant genes are too dynamic to serve as biomarkers of hypoxia stress in grass shrimp. Gene expression changes of grass shrimp in response to cyclic hypoxia conditions are not only dependent on the duration of exposure but also on the time of day.
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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Gulf of Mexico and Hypoxia

The Gulf of Mexico, bordered by North, Central and South America, is the ninth largest body of water in the world. The total area of the Gulf of Mexico is approximately 615,000 square miles (1.6 million square km), and almost half of it is shallow intertidal waters. It connects with the Atlantic Ocean via the Florida Strait between the U.S. and Cuba, and with the Caribbean Sea through the Yucatan Channel between Mexico and Cuba. The Gulf's eastern, north, and northwestern shores lie within the states of Alabama, Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi and Texas. This coastline spans 1,680 miles (2,700 km), receiving water from thirty-three major rivers that drain 31 states. Many important industries along the coast include petrochemical processing and storage, shipping, paper manufacture, and tourism. Another important commercial activity is fishing; major catches include various fishes, oysters, crabs, and shrimp (NCAT, 1999).

The Gulf owes its great biodiversity and productivity to abundant nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and silica, which enhance the growth of marine life. Nutrients provided through upwelling encourage the growth of algae, a basic element of the Gulf food chain. More algae mean more plankton in the euphotic zone, more shrimp and more fish. However, algal production is a good thing only up to a point. The volume of nutrients now delivered to the Gulf due to human-related activities is causing algae to grow too fast, with deadly consequences for these waters. When these plants die, their organic material sinks to the bottom waters and is decomposed by bacteria, consuming oxygen in the process, which lead to hypoxia, and in extreme cases, anoxia (Water Marks, 2004). A number of factors including natural ones can cause oxygen depletion in estuarine ecosystems. The contributions of anthropogenic pollution and eutrophication to this phenomenon are of the major concern.
Nutrients that fuel the Gulf of Mexico hypoxia are mostly derived from fertilizers applied in the watershed of the Mississippi River (Mississippi River Gulf of Mexico Watershed Nutrient Task Force, 2001). Generally, excess nutrients lead to increased algal production and availability of organic carbon within an ecosystem, a process known as eutrophication, which is recognized as one of the major water quality concerns in the Gulf of Mexico (EPA, 2003). There are multiple sources of excessive nutrients in watersheds, both point and non-point, and the transport and delivery of these nutrients is a complex process which is controlled by a range of factors. These include chemistry, ecology, hydrology, geomorphology of the various portions of a watershed and that of the receiving system. Both the near-coastal hydrodynamics that generate water column stratification and the nutrients that fuel primary productivity contribute to the formation of hypoxic zones in Gulf of Mexico (Rabalais et al., 2002). Over the last few decades, there have been increases in the frequency, duration, and spatial extent of hypoxic events, which are regarded as one of the major factors responsible for declines in habitat quality and harvestable resources in estuarine ecosystems (Rabalais et al., 1999).

In aquatic ecosystems, hypoxia refers to a depletion of the concentration of dissolved oxygen in the water column from 7mg/L (roughly the maximum solubility of oxygen in estuarine water on an average summer day) to below 2mg/L (NCAT, 2000; NSTC, 2003). Hypoxia can easily occur in semi-closed microtidal shallow waters like coast areas of the Gulf of Mexico. Marine hypoxic areas were first observed in the 1970s. In 2003 146 hypoxic areas in the world oceans were reported (GEO, 2003, 2004). The Gulf of Mexico exhibits the largest area of hypoxia in the United States. Hypoxia has been observed in Gulf of Mexico since routine monitoring since 1980s. In the summer of 2004, the hypoxic zones, "Dead Zone" off the Louisiana Coast, measured up to 8,500 square miles (Water Marks, 2004), larger than the size of Massachusetts. These waters do not carry enough oxygen (<2mg/L) to sustain marine life. Hypoxia begins in late spring, reaches a maximum in midsummer, and disappears in the fall. Fish and other mobile
aquatic species are forced to migrate from hypoxic areas, disrupting their life cycles and increasing their loss to disease and predation. Less mobile species may simply be killed off. Hypoxia is not unique to the Gulf of Mexico; however, the Dead Zone is now considered one of the largest seasonally recurring hypoxic areas in the world (NCAT, 1999).

Effects of Chronic Hypoxia

Effects on Ecosystem and Fisheries

Hypoxia in the Gulf of Mexico has raised considerable concern throughout the United States. This annually enlarging “Dead Zone” is a major threat to the fishing industry and public health because the zone forms in the middle of the most important commercial and recreational fisheries. It is also an indication of the quality of the waters that feed the Gulf, including the Mississippi River Basin. Nitrogen is the most common driver of estuarine eutrophic conditions and currently comes from non-point sources. The nitrogen concentration in Mississippi River Basin water has doubled since the 1950s (EPA, 2003). The growing population in the Mississippi watershed increases activities that can contribute to introducing nitrogen into streams and estuaries (Rabalais et al., 1996).

The Gulf of Mexico Dead Zone is incapable of supporting most marine life, and it has become a serious threat to commercial fishing and recreation industries. The wetlands and marshes of the Gulf of Mexico support a vital ecosystem and one of the world’s greatest fisheries. The fisheries contribute 200,000 jobs and more than $5 billion to the region annually, while providing up to 30% of the national catch (NCAT, 1999). In 2004 Texas and Louisiana landed 64% of the nation’s shrimp tails (NOAA, 2006). In recent years, hypoxia changed the fisheries significantly. During late spring and early summer, the warm coastal waters and abundant marine vegetation provide juvenile fish, shrimp, and other sea-life with nursery grounds before they move to deeper waters. However, as the Dead Zone grows offshore, it prevents migration, and alters the energy flow of the ecosystem. Hypoxia kills some species and forces others to seek alternative habitats. Even the popu-
lation of mobile species is severely impacted by their inaccessibility to offshore feeding and spawning grounds. At least for the time being, hypoxia appears to promote the inshore and near-shore fisheries while diminishing the offshore catch. Blocked from moving to deeper waters to complete their life cycles, the species are now caught at a relatively small size, and the market value is lower for small size than for large one. At the same time, commercial fishers move away from the Gulf because of low catches of fish, shellfish, and crustaceans due to hypoxia and anoxia (Turner and Rabalais, 1994).

Hypoxia can profoundly affect the health of an ecosystem and have a variety of impacts on aquatic organisms, including reduced growth rates, decreasing size of reproductive organs, low egg counts, lack of spawning, increased susceptibility to predation, disruption of spawning and recruitment, and in extreme cases, mortality. These changes can further lead to disruption of aquatic food webs due to changes in the relative importance of organisms and pathways of carbon/energy flow, and to large reduction in the abundance, diversity, and harvest of species within affected waters. The negative effects of hypoxia can potentially make both the aquatic population and entire coastal ecosystems more susceptible to additional human and natural stressors (Justic et al., 1995).

Craig et al. (2005) found that hypoxia has resulted in 25% habitat loss for brown shrimp (Farfantepenaeus aztecus) on the Louisiana shelf west of the Mississippi delta, and the spatial distribution shift forced them to aggregate on the periphery of the hypoxic zone, where temperatures may be suboptimal for growth. The change in spatial distribution lead to decreases in metabolic scope due to exposure to suboptimal temperatures, low DO concentrations, and possibly lower food supply (Craig and Crowder, 2005), which can result in a long-term decrease in brown shrimp size and weight in the Gulf (Diaz and Solow, 1999).
Effects on Organisms

Many organisms in coastal environments are generally well adapted to hypoxia, however, specific adaptations vary depending on the duration of hypoxia. Some mobile organisms can detect and avoid hypoxic waters. However, such behavior, even though it increases survival, can have substantial costs, in terms of increased stress and energy consumption, potential interference with feeding, decreases in growth rate, and greater susceptibility to predation.

Avoidance is not always possible and animals must rely on physiological mechanisms to take up as much oxygen as possible from ambient environment or switch to anaerobic metabolic pathways to supply energy, or both. Some crustaceans respond to short-term hypoxia by increasing the ventilatory flow of water past the gills to accelerate the diffusion of oxygen into the blood. The process can produce a respiratory alkalosis, which increases the hemolymph pH and thereby giving rise to an adaptive increase in the pH-dependent oxygen affinity of hemocyanins. This short-term adaption to chronic hypoxia only persist for five days, and then returns to the normoxic baseline (deFur and Pease, 1988). Similarly, L-lactate, a by-product of anaerobic metabolism binds to blue crab hemocyanin resulting in increased oxygen affinity (Johnson et al., 1984).

In addition to modulation of oxygen affinity by metabolites, adjustments to prolonged exposure to hypoxia may involve modulation of hemocyanin concentration, structure and function. Long term chronic hypoxia stimulates significant changes in the concentration and structure of hemocyanin molecules of *C. sapidus* (Mangum, 1997) and shrimp *Crangon crangon* (Hagerman, 1986). Hemocyanin concentration of blue crab increases by about 40% to enhance the capacity of the hemolymph to carry oxygen. There are six different types of subunits that make up the large hemocyanin molecule in *C. sapidus* (Mangum and Rainer, 1988). Three of them, subunits 3, 5, and 6, decrease their concentrations in response to chronic hypoxia. The change of hemocyanin structure can increase the oxygen affinity by favoring the more primitive subunits of hemocyanin dur-
ing chronic hypoxia in blue crab (Mangum, 1997). Active species such as crabs and shrimp are also capable of anaerobic metabolism, and utilize it as a survival strategy during hypoxic exposures.

Hypoxia can favor opportunistic species with shorter life cycles. Some algal species that affect human health, previously absent or only present in very small numbers, are now prevalent. For example, the increased incidence of the toxic algae *N. pungens* has been associated with amnesic shellfish poisoning. Human health risks increase when the toxins produced by blooms accumulate in fish and shellfish. Further they may cause problems if airborne toxins from a bloom are inhaled (NSTC, 2003).

**Effects of Cyclic (Intermittent) Hypoxia**

In addition to chronic hypoxia, oxygen concentrations may vary throughout the day. In most mid-Atlantic estuaries the daily oxygen concentration is associated with time of day (light) and other factors, such as tides. However a strong cyclical pattern of DO occurs in the Gulf of Mexico estuaries. The daily variations of dissolved oxygen range from super-saturation at mid-day due to photosynthesis, to hypoxia at night due to benthic respiration. Estuarine organisms are not only at risk of being subjected to chronic hypoxic conditions, but also face increases in amplitude and frequency of hypoxia/normoxic cycles (Gupta et al., 1996).

Hypoxia and hypoxic/normoxic cycles can cause severe organ damage in mammalian species through the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), including superoxide, peroxide, and hydroxyl radicals. During hypoxia, oxidative phosphorylation is inhibited, cellular ATP becomes depleted, and reducing equivalents accumulate in the mitochondrial electron transport chain, a condition knew as reductive stress (Dawson et al., 1993; Czyzyk-Krzeska, 1997). The reduced electron carriers are capable of forming the superoxide radical directly from oxygen still available in the tissues or when oxygen is reintroduced. The formation of reactive oxygen species promotes cell killing by oxidation
of intracellular lipids, proteins and DNA, a condition known as oxidative stress. Therefore, cycles of hypoxia and reoxygenation can lead to increased reactive oxygen species and tissue injury (Dawson et al., 1993). Usually the presence of cellular antioxidant defense systems can maintain oxygen radical-induced damage at low levels. These systems include enzymes, such as superoxide dismutase (CuZnSOD in the cytosol, and MnSOD in mitochondria), catalase, glutathione (GSH) peroxidase and GSH reductase, metal-binding proteins such as metallothionein, lipophilic (β-carotene, α-tocopherol) and water soluble (ascorbic acid) vitamins, and the abundant intracellular tripeptide, glutathione. However, when these mechanisms are overwhelmed, oxidative damage ensues. Synthesis of the proteins mentioned above is controlled by the intracellular redox state (Kehrer, 1993; Yu, 1994).

**Hypoxia Inducible Factor (HIF)**

All organisms possess mechanisms to maintain oxygen homeostasis, which are essential for survival. In a state of hypoxia oxygen demand exceeds supply, and a physiological response is mounted which increases the capacity of blood to carry oxygen to tissues, and alters cellular metabolism, such as facilitating ATP production by anaerobic glycolysis. The hypoxia inducible factor (HIF), conserved during evolution from nematodes to flies to vertebrates, is central to adaptation to low oxygen availability and plays an essential role during evolution (Semenza, 1998). HIF regulates transcription of many genes involved in control of cellular and systemic responses to hypoxia, including breathing, vasodilation, anaerobic metabolism, erythropoiesis and angiogenesis (Bracken et al., 2003). Thus, HIF regulates both short term responses to hypoxia, such as erythropoiesis and glycolysis, and long term responses such as angiogenesis. Therefore, HIF is a master gene that controls of oxygen homeostasis during embryonic development and postnatal life in both physiological and pathophysiological processes such as tumor growth and metastasis. The products of HIF target genes are known to promote increased vascularization and
glycolytic metabolism, both of which are essential for solid tumor formation. Constitutive activation of HIF has been correlated with the progression of a variety of human tumors. Prolonged HIF induction leads to the expression of genes affecting the balance between cell death and survival (Ryan et al., 1998).

The discovery of HIF was enabled by the identification of a minimal hypoxia-responsive element (HRE), A/(G)CGTG, in the 5' enhancer region of the erythropoietin gene (EPO), a hormone that stimulates erythrocyte proliferation and undergoes hypoxia-induced transcription (Semenza and Wang, 1992). Subsequent analysis identified HIF as a phosphorylation-dependent protein which binds the major groove of DNA under hypoxic conditions (Bracken et al., 2003). HIF is a heterodimer consisting of one of four hypoxia-regulated α-subunits (HIF-1α, HIF-2α, HIF-3α, and HIF-4α) and the oxygen-insensitive β-subunit (HIF-1β, also called aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translator, or ARNT) (Luo and Shibuya, 2001). The latter is a constitutive nuclear protein which also serves as a binding partner of the dioxin/aryl hydrocarbon receptor (DR/AhR) and hence participates in the cellular response to environmental toxins. In addition, it is an obligate heterodimeric partner for HIF-1α, and it is also required in multiple signaling pathways (Berra et al., 2001, 2003). HIF-2α shares 48% amino acid sequence identity and some structural and biochemical similarities with HIF-1α. However, HIF-2α has partially different target gene specificity from HIF-1α, and its expression is limited to the endothelium, lung, and carotid body (Tian et al., 1997). In contrast to HIF-1α and HIF-2α, HIF-3α appears not to be as efficient in mediating the hypoxic response or to act as a negative regulator of hypoxia-inducible gene expression. A splice variant of mouse HIF-3α, inhibitory PAS protein (IPAS), interacts with the amino-terminal region of HIF-1α, prevents its DNA binding, and inhibits its activity (Makino et al., 2001). HIF-4α identified recently in fish shares equal sequence similarity (50%) with HIF-1α, HIF-2α, and HIF-3α from different vertebrate species. Both HIF-1α and HIF-4α proteins contain the characteristic bHLH, PAS and ODD (oxygen-dependent degradation) domains typically
found in HIF-1α proteins (Law et al., 2006).

In mammalian systems, HIF belongs to a class of transcription factors termed the basic helix-loop-helix/Per-ARNT-Sim (bHLH/PAS) proteins, characterized by two conserved domains, bHLH and PAS. The bHLH/PAS proteins have a stereotypic structure consisting of several domains with diverse levels of conservation. All known members of bHLH/PAS family function as dimers. The bHLH domain is involved in DNA binding and dimerization, and the PAS domain in target gene specificity, transactivation, and dimerization. The bHLH signature domain consists of approximately 15 predominantly basic amino acids responsible for direct DNA binding. This region is adjacent to two amphipathic α helices, separated by a loop of variable length, which forms the primary dimerization interface between family members. The PAS domain, named after the first three identified proteins (Per (period circadian protein), ARNT (aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translator protein) and Sim (single minded protein)), encompasses 200-300 amino acids containing two loosely conserved hydrophobic regions of approximately 50 amino acids, designated PAS-A and PAS-B. This domain forms a secondary dimerization interface between family members in addition to other roles, such as ligand and chaperone binding in the dioxin receptor (DR) (Isaacs et al., 2002). Despite of not directly binding DNA, the PAS domain has also been reported to confer target gene specificity to the Drosophila protein Trachealess (trh) and Single minded (Sim). The functions played by PAS in HIF still remain unknown (Hansson et al., 2002; Berra et al., 2003).

Although oxygen availability regulates multiple steps in HIF-mediated transcriptional activation, the dominant control mechanism occurs through HIF-1α. The predominant mode of HIF-1α regulation by hypoxia occurs by post-translational modifications, such as hydroxylation, ubiquitination, and acetylation. In normoxia, the HIF-1α subunit is constitutively synthesized, but rapidly degraded, mediated by post-translational hydroxylation of conserved proline residues within the oxygen-dependent degradation (ODD) domain, which comprises residues 401-603 in human HIF-1α (Huang et al., 1998; Mas-
son et al., 2001) and 692-863 in *Drosophila* Sima (HIF-1) (Nambu et al., 1996; Lavista-Llanos et al., 2002). The first proline residues (Pro402 in human HIF-1α, Pro405 in human HIF-2α) locates at the N-terminal end of the ODD within a LXXLAP sequence motif, and the second Pro residue (Pro564 in human HIF-1α, Pro530 in human HIF-2) resides in the C-terminal ODD. A family of iron (II)-dependent prolyl hydroxylase enzymes uses oxygen as a substrate to catalyze the hydroxylation of these critical proline residues. Because oxygen is rate limiting for their activity, these enzymes appear to function as oxygen sensors and provide a direct link between oxygen concentration and the HIF-mediated hypoxic response pathway (Bruick and McKnight, 2002; Bruick, 2003). The hydroxylated proline residues in ODD are recognized by pVHL, the multiprotein product of the von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor gene, which functions as an E3 ubiquitin ligase only in the presence of oxygen, and targets HIF-1α for polyubiquitination and proteasome-dependent degradation (Semenza, 1998), resulting in a half-life of less than 5 min (Erez et al., 2004). Under hypoxic conditions, the proline residues are unmodified and degradation of HIF-1α is blocked, allowing it to accumulate within the nucleus where, upon binding to HIF-1β, it recognizes HREs within the promoters of hypoxia-responsive target genes (Huang et al., 1998; Masson et al., 2001; Bruick, 2003).

In addition to proline hydroxylation, an asparagine residue in the C-terminal transactivation domain (C-TAD) of HIF-1α is also hydroxylated under normoxic condition blocking its interaction with transcriptional coactivators such as p300, thereby inhibiting transcription of downstream HIF target genes (Lando et al., 2002a,b; Bruick, 2003). Lysine residue (Lys532) located in the ODD domain of HIF-1α has been reported to be acetylated by an acetyl-transferase named arrest-defective-1 (ARD1), which was originally identified in defective yeast mutants in the mitotic cell cycle. Acetylation of Lys532 favors the interaction of HIF-1α with pVHL, and thus destabilizes HIF-1α (Jeong et al., 2002). However, other studies demonstrated that ARD1 had limited, if any, impact on the HIF signaling pathway (Bilton et al., 2005).
Additional mechanisms can influence HIF degradation. One such regulation involves p53. The p53 tumor suppressor is a homotetrameric multifunctional transcription factor induced by DNA damage and cellular stress, including hypoxia. HIF-1α binds to p53 and the complex may recruit Mdm2 (murine double minute 2), an E3 ubiquitin ligase known to ubiquitinate p53 and mark it for proteasomal degradation. In this manner p53 provides a route for the degradation of HIF-1α in hypoxic tumor cells. Studies demonstrate p53 primarily mediates slow hypoxic degradation of HIF-1α that is not hydroxylated at the two proline residues, while VHL mediates rapid normoxic degradation (Hansson et al., 2002).

Modulation of transactivation domain function is a second major mechanism by which HIF-1α activity is controlled, and the transactivation domains are repressed in normoxia but active under hypoxia. Vertebrate HIF-1α contains two transactivation domains (TADs) responsible for recruitment of transcriptional coactivators essential for gene expression: N-TAD, the amino-terminal transactivation domain, comprised of amino acid residues 540-580 in mammals, and C-TAD, the carboxyl-terminal transactivation domain, comprised of amino acid residues 786-826 in mammals (Jiang et al., 1997; Pugh et al., 1997; Bruick and McKnight, 2002). These two domains are separated by a region termed the inhibitory domain (ID), which is responsible for normoxic repression of TAD activity.

C-TAD is inactive in normoxia due to hydroxylation of a conserved asparagine residue (N803 in HIF-1α and N851 in HIF-2α) via dioxygenase FIH-1 (factor inhibiting HIF) (Lando et al., 2002a,b). However, N-TAD is active in normoxia. Transcriptional activation via N-TAD can lead to robust activation of HIF-1 target genes despite the presence of oxygen through inactivation of pVHL (Berra et al., 2003). N-TAD is sufficient to maintain full-length activity without the C-TAD, whereas the C-TAD alone shows a 25-50% reduction in the absence of N-TAD. Both N-TAD and C-TAD can function independently and the N-TAD likely contributes more than the C-TAD to gene activation. It is N-TAD, not C-TAD, which is responsible for differential transcriptional activity of HIF-α.
protein (Hu et al., 2007). N-TAD is highly conserved in vertebrate HIF-1α and contains the second proline hydroxylation motif in the C-ODD. Regulation of its activity is likely to be a by-product of protein stability (Pugh et al., 1997; Bruick and McKnight, 2002). C-TAD operates independently of the ODD and is able to recruit coactivator complexes such as CBP/p300 only under hypoxic conditions (Kallio et al., 1998; Kung et al., 2000; Bruick, 2003). The molecular event that controls C-TAD activity involves the hydroxylation of an asparagine residue under normoxic conditions. The hydroxylation of asparagine blocks the interaction of C-TAD with the CBP/p300 transcriptional coactivators. Abrogation of asparagine hydroxylation under hypoxic conditions allows for the interaction of C-TAD with CBP/p300 (Lando et al., 2002a,b). The asparaginyl hydroxylase enzyme that catalyzes the reaction belongs to the same family of 2-oxoglutarate/Fe(II) dependent oxygenases as the prolyl hydroxylases that require oxygen for hydroxylation and iron(II) and ascorbate as cofactors (Lando et al., 2002a; Masson and Ratcliffe, 2003). A recent study suggested that both the N-TAD and C-TAD are important for HIF-1α and HIF-2α common target genes; however, N-TAD is the principal transactivation domain responsible for target gene specificity of HIF-1α or HIF-2α, and C-TAD alone activates some HIF-1α/HIF-2α common targets (Hu et al., 2007).

Both prolyl and asparaginyl hydroxylases serve as direct oxygen sensors and must be turned on to fully induce HIF in mammals (Lando et al., 2002a,b). HIF activity is thus subjected to multiple independent levels of regulation responsible for graded responses to subtle changes in oxygen concentration. On the other hand, dependence on two independent regulations ensures that the hypoxic response pathway is tightly controlled.

A third way of controlling HIF-1α activity may involve the process of nuclear translocation. Under hypoxic conditions HIF-1α accumulates and translocates to the nucleus and heterodimerises with HIF-1β. Conversely, HIF-1α is shuttled back into the cytoplasm during reoxygenation. However, nuclear translocation per se is not sufficient to upregulate gene expression, nor to protect HIF-1α from degradation (Kallio et al., 1998).
Two nuclear localization sequences (NLSs) are constitutively activated under both normoxia and hypoxia. The N-terminal NLS is situated in the bHLH domain (17-74 in human HIF-1α), which mediates the nuclear translocation of these proteins, and C-terminal one is located between N-TAD and C-TAD (718-721 in human HIF-1α). Both NLSs can control the nuclear translocation of HIF-1α, with the C-terminal NLS being more important in the nuclear translocation under both normoxia, in cells that lack VHL, and hypoxia. The control of nuclear localization is regulated at multiple levels, including stabilization and nuclear import, which may provide a mechanism to activate target genes under normoxia for the development of multiple vascular tumors of VHL syndrome (Luo and Shibuya, 2001).

Grass Shrimp

Grass shrimp can be found along the shores of the Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico of the United States. Their range extends from Maine to Texas. Their basic habitat is the salt marshes and connecting streams with low salinity (Anderson, 1985). There are five species of grass shrimp in the Gulf of Mexico (Palaemonetes vulgaris; pugio; intermedius; paludosus; kadiakensis), all relatively similar in morphological characteristics and most with overlapping distribution. Because of their similarities, these species are often misidentified as *P. vulgaris*.

*Palaemonetes pugio* are among the most widely distributed, abundant, and conspicuous of the shallow water benthic macroinvertebrates in the estuaries of the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts. It is an important food source for many species of commercially important fish that utilize estuaries for refuge or reproduction, and has limited value as fish bait or food for cultured fish or humans (Welsh, 1975). It is also intimately involved in energy and nutrient transport between various estuarine trophic levels: primary producers, decomposers, carnivores, and detritivores (Griffitt et al., 2007).

*P. pugio* has been recognized as one of the most intensively studied organisms in
ecotoxicology, particularly among estuarine invertebrates. As a useful bioassay test organism (American Public Health Association, 1975), grass shrimp have been shown to can be significantly affected by a number of contaminants, including pesticides, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and metals (Burton and Fisher, 1990; Key and Fulton, 1993; Finley et al., 1998; Key et al., 1998). Some chemical contaminants can also affect reproductive hormone function in grass shrimp (Oberdorster et al., 2000).

Dissolved oxygen regulates the distribution and abundance of grass shrimp (Harper and Reiber, 1999). In Louisiana waters, *P. pugio* are common in DO concentrations of 6 to 11 mg/L. Grass shrimp sometimes climb out of the water during periods of oxygen deficiency, especially during warm summer nights, but such attempts to avoid hypoxia can be effective only for a few hours. Grass shrimp are adapted to the low oxygen environment of the decomposer system to avoid or limit predation and competition (Welsh, 1975). Respiratory studies indicated that *P. pugio* is an oxygen regulator, with its oxygen uptake being fairly constant between pO₂ values of 150 and 50 torr (~ 9 to 3 mg/L). However, grass shrimp is neither a complete oxygen regulator nor a complete oxygen conformer. The critical oxygen pressure at which grass shrimp can no longer regulate their oxygen uptake and become dependent on anaerobic metabolism is between 30 and 35 torr (~ 1.8 mg/L) (Cochran and Burnett, 1996a). They have a limited home range and are hypoxic tolerant, and because of that, must be able to respond to hypoxia found in their immediate environment (Finley et al., 1998; Lee et al., 1998). Also they can be easily maintained in the laboratory (Oberdorster et al., 2000). In aquatic environments, water can become hypoxic as well as hypercapnic, due to increased benthic respiration, resulting in decreases in water pH. The direct result of hypercapnia is acidification of tissues and body fluids, since CO₂ is highly permeable across body surfaces. Cochran and Burnett (1996a) found carbon dioxide increases the hemocyanin oxygen affinity in the grass shrimp. Because of that, moderate hypercapnia induced by hypoxia doesn’t affect grass shrimp’s ability to regulate oxygen uptake. Taken together, these properties make grass
shrimp an excellent model for the search of molecular indicators of the impact of oxygen stress on estuarine organisms.

Hypothesis and Objectives

Despite the wide-spread occurrence of hypoxia in the world’s aquatic ecosystems, a clear view of the impact of hypoxia on living organisms is lacking. In contrast to atmospheric oxygen, the oxygen concentration in aquatic environment varies significantly daily, seasonally, and spatially. Widely divergent organisms have the ability to adapt to variable oxygen concentrations, which suggests that mechanisms of hypoxic sensing and response may have been established early in evolutionary history. Aquatic organisms present us therefore with a unique opportunity to study the evolution, function, and regulation of oxygen dependent genes and their role in environmental adaptation.

The existence of HIF in crustacea and other invertebrates in general (with the exception of C. elegans and Drosophila) has not been demonstrated. Whether HIF in an aquatic invertebrate, if present, is structurally and functionally equivalent to HIF in vertebrates is unknown. The natural habitat of Palaemonetes pugio is often hypoxic, especially in summer, and grass shrimp can adapt to very low environmental oxygen levels. Since HIF has not been characterized in crustacean, this study will clone and sequence grass shrimp HIF-1α, and test it as a potential molecular indicator of hypoxic stress in laboratory and field studies.

Several hypoxia responsive genes have been identified and characterized in P. pugio. These include cadmium metallothionein (AY935987), mitochondrial superoxide dismutase (AY935986), HSP70 (AY935982), cytosolic manganese superoxide dismutase (AY211084), hemocyanin (AY935988), and hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha (AY655698) (Brouwer et al., 2007; Li and Brouwer, 2007). In addition a custom cDNA macroarray with a limited number of 78 clones from a hypoxia-responsive SSH cDNA library has been developed (Brouwer et al., 2007). However, the relationship between a stressor and
a given effect may involve hundreds of genes simultaneously. High-throughput genomic technologies such as microarrays can perform expression profiling of a large number of genes in a single experiment, and are therefore an ideal tool for the exploration of genetic regulatory pattern changes induced by hypoxia. For this study, six EST libraries have been generated from grass shrimp exposed to environmental stress: moderate (DO 2.5 mg/L) and severe (1.5 mg/L) hypoxia, cyclic hypoxia (1.5—>7 mg/L), contaminant-induced stress (pyrene and copper), and biological stress (molt). Using cDNA microarrays constructed from these cDNA libraries, genes responsive to different environmental stressors may be identified, and gene expression profiles determined in specified conditions can be used as molecular indicators of contaminant impacts on grass shrimp in coastal waters. Therefore, the major objective of this research is to develop molecular indicators of DO stress to assess the biological impact of hypoxia in coastal estuaries and validate their use through a combination of laboratory and field studies. The remaining challenge is to determine if the molecular indicators can be linked to ecologically-important parameters, such as reproductive fitness, which, if impaired, may have population-level impacts.

Hypotheses

1. HIF is present in grass shrimp under hypoxic conditions.

2. HIF expression can be used as a molecular indicator of chronic and cyclic hypoxia exposure in grass shrimp in both laboratory and field studies.

3. Gene expression profiles of hypoxia-responsive genes can be used as indicators of chronic and cyclic hypoxic stress in grass shrimp from both laboratory and field studies.

Objectives

1. Clone and sequence grass shrimp HIF.
2. Perform sequence analysis of ESTs from SSH libraries.

3. Determine if HIF can be used as an indicator of chronic and cyclic hypoxia exposure by analyzing HIF expression level using microarrays and real-time quantitative PCR.

4. Determine if expression of hypoxia responsive genes can be used as an indicator of chronic and cyclic hypoxia exposure in the laboratory and field using microarrays.

5. Validate microarray results using qPCR of selected genes.
CHAPTER II

HYPOXIA INDUCIBLE FACTOR, GSHIF, OF THE GRASS SHRIMP

PALAEMONETES PUGIO HOLTHUIS 1949: MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION

AND RESPONSE TO HYPOXIA

Abstract

Hypoxia inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α) is a key transcription factor that controls a variety of cellular and systemic homeostatic responses to hypoxic stress. Expression and function of HIF-1α have not been studied in crustaceans, which experience wide fluctuations of oxygen tensions in their aquatic environment. Here we show that a HIF-1α homolog, gsHIF, is present in the hypoxia-tolerant grass shrimp Palaemonetes pugio. Using RT-PCR and 3' and 5' RACE, we cloned a full-length gsHIF cDNA (3822bp) with an open reading frame encoding a 1057 amino acid protein. Similar to vertebrate HIF-1α, gsHIF has one basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) domain, two PAS domains, an oxygen-dependent degradation domain (ODD) with two proline hydroxylation motifs, and a C-terminal transactivation domain (C-TAD) with an asparagine hydroxylation motif. In addition to these conserved sequences, gsHIF has a unique 230 amino acid sequence (aa 790-1020) not found in any vertebrate HIF proteins. Phylogenetic analysis indicates that grass shrimp and vertebrate HIFs belong to distinct clades within the HIF protein family. Expression analysis shows that gsHIF is constitutively expressed under normoxic (7.5 ppm DO), moderate (2.5 ppm DO) and severe (1.5 ppm DO) hypoxic conditions. In addition to gsHIF, we cloned a fragment of a second bHLH-PAS transcription factor from the grass shrimp, which had one bHLH and two PAS domains, and an overall 68% amino acid sequence homology with Apis mellifera trachealess protein.

Keywords - Palaemonetes pugio; hypoxia; hypoxia-inducible factor; gene expression; phylogenetic classification; trachealess protein.
Introduction

All organisms possess mechanisms to maintain oxygen homeostasis which are essential for survival. In a state of hypoxia, when oxygen demand exceeds supply, a physiological response is mounted which increases the capacity of blood to carry oxygen to tissues, and alters cellular metabolism, such as facilitating ATP production by anaerobic glycolysis. The hypoxia inducible factor (HIF), conserved during evolution from worms to flies to vertebrates, is central to adaptation to low oxygen availability (Semenza, 1998). HIF regulates the transcription of many genes involved in control of cellular and short-term and long-term systemic responses to hypoxia, including glycolysis, erythropoiesis, breathing, vasodilatation, and angiogenesis. HIF controls oxygen homeostasis during embryonic development and postnatal life in physiological processes and also in pathophysiological processes such as tumor growth and metastasis (Ryan et al., 1998).

The discovery of HIF was enabled by the identification of a minimal hypoxia-responsive element (HRE), A/(G)CGTG, in the 5' enhancer region of the erythropoietin gene. Subsequent analysis identified HIF as a phosphorylation-dependent protein which binds the major groove of DNA under hypoxic conditions (Bracken et al., 2003). HIF is a heterodimer consisting of one of four hypoxia-regulated α-subunits (HIF-1α, HIF-2α, HIF-3α, and HIF-4α) and the oxygen-insensitive HIF-1β subunit. The latter is a constitutive nuclear protein which also serves as a binding partner (so called Arnt or aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translator) of the dioxin/aryl hydrocarbon receptor (DR/AhR) and hence participates in the cellular response to environmental toxins. In addition, HIF-1β/Arnt is required in multiple signaling pathways (Berra et al., 2001, 2003).

In mammals, HIF belongs to a class of transcription factors termed the basic helix-loop-helix/Per-Arnt-Sim (bHLH/PAS) proteins, characterized by two conserved domains, bHLH and PAS. The PAS domain was named after the first three proteins in which it was identified: Per (period circadian protein), Arnt (Ah receptor nuclear translocator protein) and Sim (single-minded protein). All known members of bHLH/PAS family function as
dimers. The bHLH domain is involved in DNA binding and dimerization, and the PAS domain in target gene specificity, transactivation, and dimerization. The bHLH signature domain consists of approximately 60 amino acids with two functionally distinct regions. The basic region, located at the N-terminal end of the domain, is involved in DNA binding and consists of 15 amino acids with a high number of basic residues. The HLH region, at the C-terminal end, functions as a dimerization domain and is constituted mainly of hydrophobic residues that form two amphipathic helices separated by a loop region of variable sequence and length. The PAS domain encompasses 200-300 amino acids containing two loosely conserved hydrophobic regions of approximately 50 amino acids, designated PAS-A and PAS-B. This domain forms a secondary dimerization interface between family members in addition to other roles, such as ligand and chaperone binding in the dioxin receptor (DR) (Isaacs et al., 2002). Despite of not directly binding DNA, the PAS domain has also been reported to confer target gene specificity to the *Drosophila* protein Tracheless (trh) and Single minded (Sim). The functions played by PAS in HIF still remain unknown (Hansson et al., 2002; Berra et al., 2003).

Although oxygen availability regulates multiple steps in HIF-mediated transcriptional activation, the dominant control mechanism occurs through HIF-1α. In normoxia, HIF-1α subunit is constitutively synthesized, and two proline residues in the so-called HIF oxygen-dependent degradation domain (ODD) are hydroxylated by HIF prolyl hydroxylase. This modification targets HIF for rapid degradation by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, resulting in a half-life of less than 5 min (Erez et al., 2004). Under hypoxic conditions, the proline residues are unmodified and degradation of HIF-1α is blocked, allowing it to accumulate within the nucleus where, upon binding to HIF-1β, it recognizes HREs within the promoters of hypoxia-responsive target genes (Huang et al., 1998; Makino et al., 2001; Bruick, 2003). In addition to proline hydroxylation, an asparagine residue in the C-terminal transactivation domain (C-TAD) of HIF-1α is also hydroxylated under normoxic conditions blocking its interaction with transcriptional coactivators such
as p300, thereby inhibiting transcription of downstream HIF target genes (Lando et al., 2002a,b; Bruick, 2003).

Most of our knowledge of molecular responses to hypoxia comes from in vitro studies of terrestrial mammalian systems. Relatively little is known about molecular responses of aquatic organisms to hypoxia. The oxygen content in aquatic environment varies markedly daily, seasonally, and spatially. Due to the low oxygen content of water, increased respiration by benthic (micro)organisms stimulated by excess organic material, a condition known as eutrophication, can cause hypoxia or anoxia (Rabalais et al., 2002). It is thus not surprising that environmental oxygen levels play a significant role in the evolution of aquatic animals. They have developed various physiological and biochemical adaptations to enable survival in hypoxic and anoxic environments, including air breathing organs, specialized metabolic pathways enabling long-term anoxic survival, and modifications of hemoglobin molecules to optimize oxygen transport. At the same time, they present a unique opportunity to study the evolution, function, and regulation of oxygen dependent genes and their role in the environmental adaptation (Soitamo et al., 2001). Since widely divergent organisms have the ability to adapt to variable oxygen concentrations, mechanisms of hypoxic sensing and response may have been established early in evolutionary history.

The first full-length cDNA (3605 bp) of HIF-1α in fish was cloned from rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss, which encodes a protein sequence of 766 amino acids that shows a 61% similarity to human and mouse HIF-1α (Soitamo et al., 2001). To date ~20 additional HIF-1/2/3/4α cDNAs have been reported in several fish species (Law, 2002; Powell and Hahn, 2002; Strausberg et al., 2002; Law et al., 2006), but HIF-1(-2, -3 or -4)α has not yet been characterized in crustacea and the mechanisms and conditions by which HIF regulation occurs in hypoxic shrimp have not been elucidated. Here, we present the sequence of HIF cDNA from the grass shrimp Palaemonetes pugio and its response to moderate and severe hypoxia. This marsh-resident species is commonly found
in habitats that are often hypoxic, and can adapt to very low oxygen levels found in their immediate environment (Finley et al., 1998; Lee et al., 1998). In general, grass shrimp has been shown to be uniquely physiologically adapted to stressful tidal marsh habitats (Welsh, 1975). The use of a non-model, yet commonly occurring resident species in these studies allows laboratory results to be more easily related and applied to field measurements.

Materials and Methods

**Extraction of Total RNA and Cloning of Full-Length cDNA**

Total RNA was isolated from grass shrimp, *Palaemonetes pugio*, hepatopancreas using Stat-60 (Tel-Test, Friendswood, TX, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After precipitation, RNA was stored in RNA Secure (Ambion). Single-stranded cDNA was generated from mRNA by reverse transcription (RT) with Superscript II RNase H\(^-\) Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and oligo dT primers (5’-TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTNN-3’).

Block Maker (Henikoff et al., 1995) was used to find several highly conserved blocks in a set of HIF protein sequences from different species (*Danio rerio* AAH46875, *Mus musculus* AAC53455, *Rattus norvegicus* AAD24413, *Xenopus laevis* CAB96628, *Homo sapiens* AAC50152, *Drosophila melanogaster* AAC47303, *Caenorhabditis elegans* AAK62778, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* AAK30364, and *Gallus gallus* BAA34234), where a block is an aligned array of amino acid sequence segments without gaps that represents a highly conserved region of homologous proteins. The resulting blocks in the Blocks Database format were imported into the CODEHOP program (Rose et al., 1998) to design the forward and reverse primers for PCR amplification, HIFF1, HIFF2, HIFR1, and HIFR2 (Table 1).

PCR conditions for the primary PCR were 94°C for 2 min for 1 cycle, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 45°C for 30 sec, and 68°C for 2 min, followed by 68°C for 7 min.
Secondary PCR cycle conditions were 94°C for 2 min for 1 cycle, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 50°C for 30 sec, and 68°C for 1 min, followed by 68°C for 7 min. The PCR reactions using AccuPrime™ SuperMix I (Invitrogen) gave two amplification products, ~700 (hif700) and ~900bp (hif900). Bands were visualized by ethidium bromide on a 1% agarose gel, purified with QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit Protocol (Qiagen), and T/A cloned using pGEM®-T Easy Vector System (Promega), and sequenced. The ~900 bp and ~700 bp PCR products were identified as fragments of Trachealess and HIF-1α (see Results).

Table 1: Primers designed for grass shrimp RT-PCR and RACE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Nucleotide Sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIFF1</td>
<td>5'-GGG CGG AAG GAG AAG TCC MGN GAY GCN GC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIFF2</td>
<td>5'-ACA CAA CGT CAC CAC CCA CYT NGA YAA RGC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIFR1</td>
<td>5'-GGT ACT GGC CGG TCG TCM CYT GNC CYT T-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIFR2</td>
<td>5'-GGT CCG CAG CTG CAA ATC RTC RTC NAT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900F1</td>
<td>5'-CAG AGG AAG GTC AAG CAG GGT CAC A-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900F2</td>
<td>5'-GCT GCC ACT TCA AGA GGT CGG GAT ATA GAG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900R1</td>
<td>5'-CCA TCG TCA TCC CGG ACA TCG TA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900R2</td>
<td>5'-CGC GGT CTC ATG TTG CCT CTT TTA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700F1</td>
<td>5'-GCT TGT GAA GGG CGA GGA CGA GT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700F2</td>
<td>5'-GCC CTG GAC TCG GAA CTC ATC AAA G-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700R1</td>
<td>5'-AAT GAT GTC GCC TTC GGT AGA GAG CAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700R2</td>
<td>5'-GTT AGA CAA GCA TGG CAG AGG GC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>5'-CCA GGA AGT AGC CCA GAA TAT GAC G-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>5'-ACG CAC ACC AGA GCC ACC TAA AGC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>5'-GCG GTA AAG ATG GAG ATG ATG GAG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>5'-GTA GCT CAC TCT TCC AAG ATC ACC A-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>5'-GAC TAC ACA CCA GAT GAA CTG CAA GG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>5'-GTC CCT CTA CCC CTT GTG TCA CG-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RACE was performed using the SMART-RACE kit (BD Bioscience) to generate the 3’ and 5’ ends of the Trachealess and HIF cDNA. Gene-specific primers were designed based on the initial cDNA sequences of hif700 and hif900. Primary and secondary
primers for 3' and 5' ends of hif900 were 900F1 and 900F2, and 900R1 and 900R2, respectively. For hif700, the primary and secondary primers for 3' and 5' ends were 700F1 and 700F2, and 700R1 and 700R2, respectively (Table 1). Touchdown PCR conditions for the primary PCR were 5 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 3 min, 5 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 70°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 65°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 3 min, followed by 72°C for 5 min. Secondary PCR cycle conditions were 40 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 68°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 3 min, followed by 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were purified and cloned and sequenced as described above.

Because the sequencing reactions gave ambiguous results for the 3' and 5' ends, additional sets of primary and nested primers were designed for trachealess and HIF, to get the full-length cDNA sequences. For trachealess the primary and secondary primers were T1 and T2. For HIF the first set of primary and secondary primers was F1 and F2, and the second set of primers was F3 and F4 (Table 1). The PCR cycling parameters for the primary and secondary PCR were the same as above. The product bands were isolated from the gel, purified and sequenced.

All primers were synthesized by Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). DNA sequences were determined by the University of Maine Sequencing Center (Orono, ME, USA) and in house using a Beckman CEQ 8000 Genetic Analysis System. Sequence analyses and homology searches were performed using the online BLAST suite of programs (NCBI). Conserved domains in the sequences were identified using the NCBI Conserved Domains database and by alignment of grass shrimp HIF with HIF-1α from organisms with known HIF domain structures.

_Hypoxia Exposures_

Grass shrimp were collected in the vicinity of Ocean Springs, Mississippi, in Davis Bayou using dip nets. Adult females and males were segregated by sex and maintained in the laboratory at 15 psu and 27 ± 1°C for 7 to 30 days prior to experimentation.
During acclimation and experimentation periods, grass shrimp were fed brine shrimp nauplii once daily and commercial flake food once daily. During all acclimation and experimentation periods, shrimp were held in artificial seawater (Fritz Super Salt, Fritz Industries, Mesquite, TX, USA) diluted to 15 psu.

Exposures were conducted in an intermittent flow-through system described by Manning et al. (1999). Normoxic (7.5 ppm DO) and hypoxic (2.5 ppm and 1.5 ppm DO) conditions within the treatment aquaria were established and maintained as described before (Brouwer et al., 2004, 2005, 2007; Brown-Peterson et al., 2005). The flow-through test system provided 1L every 20 minutes (resulting in 3 complete volume additions/day) to each of the 35L test aquaria using a separate water delivery partitioner for each of the normoxic and hypoxic treatments. Oxygen levels were controlled by bubbling nitrogen into a holding tank which gravity fed to the partitioner used to deliver flow-through hypoxic seawater. A 24 hour timer was used to activate a solenoid valve which controlled nitrogen introduction into the holding tank at intervals that maintained oxygen in the holding tank at a level which resulted in the desired oxygen concentration when introduced into the test aquaria. An additional partitioner provided flow-through normoxic seawater, and normoxic conditions were maintained by gently bubbling oxygen into the cells of the water partitioner prior to delivery of water to the individual aquaria. Female grass shrimp were housed individually in retention chambers constructed from 10 cm Petri dish bottoms with a 15 cm high collar of 500 μm nylon mesh placed into 35L flow-through glass aquaria in a water bath held at 27 ± 1°C. In all experiments, oxygen was monitored continuously in one hypoxic flow-through aquaria, and DO, temperature and salinity were measured in all flow-through aquaria once or twice daily using a YSI Model 600XLM data sonde. After 3, 7 and 14 days of exposure, 10 shrimp per treatment were sacrificed and weighed (40.2-41.7 mg). The thorax/hepatopancreas of the shrimp was stored in 1 ml RNAlater at -20°C for nucleic acid extraction.
Phylogenetic Analysis

A multiple alignment of 21 HIF-1/2/3/4α amino acid sequences was performed with ClustalX 1.83 (Thompson et al., 1994, 1997; Jeanmougin et al., 1998). The aligned sequences were then used to calculate distances between pairs of protein sequences. The neighbor-joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) was applied to the distance matrix to calculate a tree using 1000 bootstrap trials to derive confidence values for the groupings in the tree. Corrections for multiple substitutions were made as described by Kimura (1983). Alignment positions where any of the sequences had gaps were excluded from the analysis. An additional tree was constructed using the maximum parsimony method implemented by Felsenstein’s PHYLIP package v3.6 (Felsenstein, 1985). The aligned sequences in PHYLIP format were imported into the SEQBOOT algorithm to generate 500 data sets by bootstrap resampling (Felsenstein, 1989). The multiple data sets were used to calculate most parsimonious trees with PROTPARS. The resulting tree output file was used as input in the program CONSENSE that calculates a majority rule consensus tree with confidence intervals. Caenorhabditis elegans HIF-1α was used as outgroup.

HIF Expression Analysis

HIF mRNA levels were determined using custom cDNA macroarrays printed with cDNA from 78 clones from a hypoxia-responsive suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) cDNA library (Brouwer et al., 2005, 2007), and with cDNA from the ~700 bp gsHIF clone. gsHIF PCR products with a final concentration of 100 ng/μL were robotically spotted in duplicate onto neutral nylon membranes using 100 nL pins as described by Larkin et al. (2003).

Total RNA was extracted from normoxic (7.5 ppm DO) and hypoxic (2.5 ppm and 1.5 ppm DO) grass shrimp as described above. Five to eight individual shrimp, out of ten sampled, in each treatment group gave sufficient amounts of RNA for reverse transcription and labeling. Radiolabeled probes were generated by random primer labeling
of DNase-treated (DNA-free, Ambion) total RNA with [α-33p]dATP (2'-deoxyadenosine 5’-triphosphate). Hybridization and wash steps were performed as previously described (Larkin et al., 2003).

The membranes were exposed to a phosphor screen (Molecular Dynamics) at room temperature for 48 hr. The blots were quantitatively evaluated using a Typhoon 8600 imaging system (Molecular Dynamics). For each cDNA clone the general background of each membrane was subtracted from the average value of the duplicate spots on the membrane. Intensity values for all genes were transformed to the log base 2 and normalized to the median array intensity. HIF expression data were analyzed by conducting a one-way ANOVA across time for both DO regimens. Data were tested for homogeneity of variance and normality of distribution using SigmaStat 3.11 (SYSTAT Software, Inc. San Jose, CA, USA). Where normality test failed a Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks was performed.

Results

Cloning and Sequencing of Trachealess from Grass Shrimp

Using total RNA extracted from the hepatopancreas of grass shrimp and degenerate primers designed by CODEHOP, two initial PCR products of ~900bp and ~700bp were obtained. Sequencing showed the ~900 bp product to be 870 bp long, with an open reading frame of 290 amino acids without start and stop codons, with a 78% sequence identity to trachealess protein from *Tribolium castaneum* (GenBank Accession no: XP_967112). 3’ and 5’ RACE were performed in an attempt to obtain the complete trachealess coding sequence. 3’ RACE products formed one contiguous sequence with the 870 bp product with a 327 bp overlap. All 3’ RACE products had a TGA stop codon in the same position. All 5’ RACE products had an identical 252 bp sequence at the 3’ end which formed one contiguous sequence with the 870 bp product with a 120 bp overlap. The 5’ end sequences of the 5’ RACE clones were ambiguous and there was no clear translation start.
Hence we show only the 84 amino acids, corresponding to the conceptual translation of the 252 bp that are identical in all 5' RACE products (Figure 1). The 501 amino acid sequence shown in this figure represents therefore a fragment of trachealess protein, with part of the N-terminal sequence (~45 amino acids) missing. It should be noted that trachealess proteins that show greatest homology with grass shrimp trachealess, *Tribolium castaneum* (67%), and *Bombyx mori* (65%; GenBank Accession no. BAA22946) trachealess proteins (Matsunami et al., 1999) are 834 and 849 amino acids long, respectively.

*Cloning and Sequencing of HIF from Grass Shrimp*

Sequencing showed the ~700 bp PCR product to be 673 bp long. BLASTX search revealed 49% identity with HIF-1 protein from *Tribolium castaneum* (GenBank Accession No. XP_967427). The complete HIF sequence was obtained using SMART 5' and 3' RACE. However, the first 3' RACE product did not give the full-length product. Use of new primer sets (F1/F2 and F3/F4, Table 1) designed from the sequence of the previously found 3' RACE product resulted in the full-length 3' sequence. The complete gsHIF sequence (GenBank Accession no. AY655698) was 3288 bp long, with an open reading frame encoding a 1057 amino acid protein with the initiation methionine at position 135 bp and stop codon TAG at 3308 bp and a molecular weight of 114.67 kDa (Figure 2). There is an overall 46% homology with *Tribolium castaneum* HIF-1 protein. The amino acid sequence of grass shrimp HIF is the second longest in size compared to HIF-1α of most vertebrates (~800 AA) and *Drosophila* (1507 AA).

*Molecular Phylogenetic Analyses*

Neighbor joining and maximum parsimony analysis produced trees of the same topology with similar high bootstrap scores. Both methods revealed 2 well-supported clades. (1) Invertebrate (arthropod) HIFs (*Palaemonetes, Drosophila* and *Tribolium*) with 93% bootstrap support in the neighbor joining tree (Figure 3) and 87% in the maximum
Figure 1: Amino acid sequence of grass shrimp Trachealess.

Figure 2: Amino acid sequence of grass shrimp HIF.
parsimony tree (data not shown), and (2) vertebrate HIF-1/2/3/4α with 87 (neighbor joining) and 82% (maximum parsimony) bootstrap support. Two subclades comprised of HIF-1/2α (96% bootstrap support) and HIF-3/4α (81% bootstrap support) were present in the vertebrate HIF protein family (Figure 3).

Bootstrap values on each branch indicate the percentage of trees (1000 replicates) in which that branch is present. 1, 2, 3 and 4 represent HIF-1α, 2α, 3α and 4α, respectively. GenBank accession numbers for each species from top (Grass Carp 1) to bottom (C. elegans) are: AAR95697; AAK30364; AAW29027; BAA34234; AAF20149; NP_034561; CAB96628; AAL95711; ABD33838; AAT76668; AAC51212; NP_034267; AAW29028; AAQ94179; AAR95698; AAD22668; AAC72734; AAC47303; AAT72404; XP_967427; CAA19521.

Figure 3: Neighbor joining tree derived from 21 HIF amino acid sequences.
In Vivo Expression and Response Pattern of HIF to Severe and Moderate Hypoxia

To study the expression of gsHIF under normoxic and hypoxic conditions, grass shrimp were exposed to normoxic, moderate hypoxic (2.5 ppm), and severe hypoxic (1.5 ppm) conditions and shrimp were sampled on day 3, 7, and 14. The measured DO values were 7.71 ± 1.61 ppm and 2.47 ± 0.50 ppm for the moderate hypoxia exposure, and 7.50 ± 0.53 ppm and 1.55 ± 0.23 ppm for the severe hypoxia exposure. The normalized normoxic and hypoxic expression levels of gsHIF, in response to 2.5 ppm DO are shown in Figure 4. One-way ANOVA across time showed no statistical difference between groups (p = 0.065). Similarly, using Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA on ranks, no difference between groups was observed in the 1.5 ppm DO exposure (p = 0.587).

![Figure 4: Changes in grass shrimp HIF expression in response to chronic hypoxia exposure (2.5 ppm) at Day 3, 7, and 14.](image)

Discussion

Like HIF-1α, the trachealless protein (TRH) is a member of the bHLH-PAS family of transcription factors, which shows high identity with HIF-1α in the bHLH domain
TRH is required for tube formation and is a key regulator of salivary gland and tracheal development in *Drosophila*. Growth of tracheal tubes, which comprise the oxygen delivery system in insects, is stimulated by hypoxia and involves the nitric oxide/cyclic GMP (NO/cGMP) signal transduction pathway (Wingrove and O’Farrell, 1999). NO, hypoxia and HIF-1α are functionally linked. The gene of the inducible form of nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) is upregulated by HIF and NO impairs normoxic degradation of HIF-1α by inhibition of prolyl hydroxylases (Melillo et al., 1995; Metzen et al., 2003). *Drosophila* larvae exhibit rapid NO/cGMP-mediated responses to hypoxia including behavioral changes allowing larvae to escape local hypoxia (Wingrove and O’Farrell, 1999). Grass shrimp show similar types of behavior by climbing out of water during periods of oxygen deficiency (Anderson, 1985). It will be interesting to determine whether hypoxia-induced behavioral responses in grass shrimp share a regulatory mechanism with the HIF and NO/cGMP system as found in *Drosophila*.

The fragment of grass shrimp trachealess protein obtained in this study shows a high level of conservation with other trachealess proteins in the bHLH/PAS regions. Grass shrimp trachealess bHLH domain (20-72) shows 98% identity with bHLH from *Drosophila melanogaster* (GenBank Accession no. AAA96754) and *Bombyx mori* (BAA22946) trachealess. The PAS-A (116-177) domain is most similar to that of *Drosophila* (88%) and the PAS-B (296-395) domain is most similar to that of the red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum* (GenBank Accession no: XP_967112) (78%). The transcriptional activation domain in the C-terminal portion of the *Drosophila/Bombyx/Tribolium* molecule (Isaac and Andrew, 1996) is not found in the 501 amino acid sequence of the grass shrimp trachealess protein.

HIF protein of the grass shrimp shows a high level of conservation with other HIF-1α proteins in the bHLH/PAS regions, with amino acid sequences 23-76, 109-179, and 234-321 corresponding to bHLH, PAS-A, and PAS-B domains respectively. In general, the bHLH domain is involved in DNA binding and dimerization, and the PAS do-
main in target gene specificity, transactivation, and dimerization. In addition to the bHLH/PAS domains, HIF-1α proteins contain domains and sequence motifs that are involved in nuclear translocation, transactivation and post-translational modifications, which control HIF protein stability and transcriptional activity.

HIF-1α is rapidly degraded under normoxic conditions, mediated by post-translational hydroxylation of conserved proline residues within a polypeptide segment known as the oxygen-dependent degradation domain (ODD), which comprises residues 401-603 in human HIF-1α (Huang et al., 1998; Masson et al., 2001) and 692-863 in Drosophila Sima (HIF-1) (Nambu et al., 1996; Lavista-Llanos et al., 2002). One of the proline residues subjected to hydroxylation in vertebrate HIF-1α resides at the N-terminal end of the ODD within a LXXLAP sequence motif (residues 397-402 in human HIF-1α), which is conserved in grass shrimp (residues 459-464, LTHLAP). A second Pro residue (Pro-564) resides in the C-terminal ODD (residues 561-567, MLAPYIP in human HIF-1α), with corresponding sequences in Drosophila present in residues 847-853 (MRAPYIP) and in grass shrimp in residues 634-640 (MRAPFIP). The hydroxylated proline residues in ODD are recognized by pVHL, the product of the von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor gene, which functions as an E3 ubiquitin ligase and targets HIF-1α for polyubiquitination and proteosome-dependent degradation (Semenza, 1998). The prolyl hydroxylase enzymes that catalyze the hydroxylation of these critical proline residues use oxygen as a substrate. Because oxygen is rate limiting for their activity, these enzymes appear to function as oxygen sensors and provide a direct link between oxygen concentration and the HIF-mediated hypoxic response pathway (Bruick and McKnight, 2002; Bruick, 2003). Accordingly, HIF-1α protein increases exponentially in human HeLa cells exposed to decreasing oxygen concentrations, with a half-maximal response between 1.5 and 2% O₂ and a maximal response at 0.5% (Jiang et al., 1996). In rainbow trout and chinook salmon cells, maximum accumulation of HIF-1α occurs at much higher oxygen levels (5% O₂), a typical oxygen tension of venous blood in normoxic animals, suggesting a role
for oxygen-dependent gene regulation not only during environmental hypoxia, but also in the normal physiology of these fish (Soitamo et al., 2001). Whether this difference in HIF-1α stabilization applies to terrestrial and aquatic organisms in general remains to be determined.

Under hypoxic conditions proline residues are unmodified and HIF-1α accumulates and translocates to the nucleus, a step which is mediated by a bipartite nuclear localization signal (NLS) in the C-terminus of the human protein (Luo and Shibuya, 2001). Grass shrimp HIF does not have this signal sequence, but has two potential NLSs between aa 4-20 and 25-41, which have the characteristic bipartite NLS structure consisting of two adjacent basic domains separated by a 10 amino acid spacer sequence. Interestingly, human HIF-1α contains a similar bipartite NLS between aa 17-33, which mediates nuclear import of a GFP-HIF-1α/1-74 chimeric protein (Kallio et al., 1998).

Modulation of transactivation domain function is a second major mechanism by which HIF-1α activity is controlled. Vertebrate HIF-1α contains two transactivation domains (TADs) responsible for recruitment of transcriptional coactivators essential for gene expression: N-TAD, the amino-terminal transactivation domain, comprised of amino acid residues ~540-580 in mammals, and C-TAD, the carboxyl-terminal transactivation domain, comprised of amino acid residues 786-826 in mammals (Jiang et al., 1997; Pugh et al., 1997; Bruick and McKnight, 2002). N-TAD is highly conserved in vertebrate HIF-1α and contains the second proline hydroxylation motif in the C-ODD. Regulation of its activity is likely to be a by-product of protein stability (Pugh et al., 1997; Bruick and McKnight, 2002). Grass shrimp HIF appears to lack N-TAD. However, as discussed above, the conserved proline hydroxylation motif still exists.

C-TAD operates independently of the ODD and is able to recruit coactivator complexes such as CBP/p300 only under hypoxic conditions (Kallio et al., 1998; Kung et al., 2000; Bruick, 2003). The molecular event that controls C-TAD activity involves the hydroxylation under normoxic conditions of a conserved Asn residue in aa 801-805 (EV-
NAP) of human HIF-1α. This Asn hydroxylation motif is conserved in grass shrimp HIF in aa 1034-1038. The hydroxylation of Asn blocks the interaction of C-TAD with the p300/CBP transcriptional coactivators. Abrogation of Asn hydroxylation under hypoxic conditions allows for the interaction of C-TAD with CBP/p300 (Lando et al., 2002a,b). The asparaginyl hydroxylase enzyme that catalyzes the reaction belongs to the same family of 2-oxoglutarate/Fe(II) dependent oxygenases as the prolyl hydroxylases (Lando et al., 2002a; Masson and Ratcliffe, 2003). Both prolyl and asparaginyl hydroxylases serve as direct oxygen sensors and must be turned on to fully induce HIF in mammals (Lando et al., 2002a,b). HIF activity is thus subjected to multiple independent levels of regulation responsible for graded responses to subtle changes in oxygen concentration.

Grass shrimp HIF mRNA levels are not noticeably affected by hypoxia. This observation, combined with the conservation of the ODD, suggests that gsHIF protein levels may be controlled at the (post)translational level as found for vertebrate HIF-1α and -2α. Recently 2 HIF isoforms have been identified whose induction appears to occur at the transcriptional level: HIF-3α in mammals (Heidbreder et al., 2003) and HIF-4α in fish (Law et al., 2006). Molecular phylogeny analysis, using neighbor joining and maximum parsimony methods, shows that grass shrimp HIF clusters firmly with HIFs from other invertebrates, which are distinct from the vertebrate HIF-1/2/3/4α family. The transcriptionally controlled mammal HIF-3α and fish HIF-4α proteins form a distinct subclade within the vertebrate HIF (1/2/3/4α) clade. These results suggest that the gene duplication giving rise to the invertebrate and vertebrate HIFs preceded the duplication resulting in the post-translationally and transcriptionally controlled forms of vertebrate HIF. Whether invertebrates also have HIFs that are under transcriptional control is unknown at present.

Conclusion

As a first step towards understanding the molecular mechanisms that underlie the adaptation of hypoxia-tolerant crustacea to low dissolved oxygen concentrations, we
have cloned an HIF-1α homolog from the grass shrimp *Palaemonetes pugio*. The encoded amino acid sequence shows high level of conservation with vertebrate HIF-1α in the bHLH, PAS-A, PAS-B, ODD (with the two proline hydroxylation motifs) and C-TAD (with the asparagine hydroxylation motif) domains. Conservation of important structural motifs suggests that the function, stability and transactivation of grass shrimp HIF are controlled by similar molecular mechanisms as the vertebrate HIF-1α proteins. However, grass shrimp HIF contains a large polypeptide sequence (aa 790-1020) which has no matching sequences in GenBank. Whether this region conveys unique functional properties to grass shrimp HIF remains to be determined. Similar to what is found for vertebrate HIF-1α, grass shrimp HIF is constitutively expressed and not induced to an appreciable extent by hypoxia.
CHAPTER III

BIOINFORMATIC ANALYSIS OF EXPRESSED SEQUENCE TAGS FROM GRASS SHRIMP *Palaemonetes pugio* EXPOSED TO ENVIRONMENTAL STRESSORS

Abstract

Six libraries of expressed sequence tags (ESTs) were constructed by suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) from the grass shrimp, *Palaemonetes pugio*, exposed to environmental stress: moderate (DO 2.5 mg/L) and severe (1.5 mg/L) hypoxia, cyclic hypoxia (1.5→7 mg/L), contaminant-induced stress (pyrene and copper), and biological stress (molt). A total of 1553 ESTs were clustered and assembled using Paracel Transcript Assembler software. The resulting 661 potential transcripts included 181 contigs and 480 singlets. All assembled sequences were annotated by BLAST searches against the public protein database. Gene Ontology (GO) terms for each sequence were provided using GOblet software. A total of 312 assembled transcripts matched a protein with an E-value less than 1E-5. 18% of the most similar matches were from different crustaceans. Large proportions of sequences had no significant BLAST hits (52.8%) or GO terms (64%). GO analysis by libraries showed several genes that were present in only one library suggesting that their expression may be stressor specific. Up-regulation of muscle proteins and GSH-peroxidase appeared specific for chronic (1.5 mg/L) and cyclic hypoxia exposures, respectively. Several genes involved in sulfur redox and (homo)cysteine metabolism were all down-regulated in response to cyclic hypoxia. Up-regulation of cytochrome c oxidase subunit I and down-regulation of vitellogenin was a common response to chronic (1.5 mg/L and 2.5 mg/L) and cyclic DO exposures. The molting process was accompanied by changes in expression of many genes not found in the hypoxia/copper/pyrene libraries. The cDNA clones and sequence information can be used for future functional analysis and for construction of microarrays for monitoring of environmental stressors in coastal waters using wild or caged grass shrimp.
Keywords - *Palaemonetes pugio*; grass shrimp; crustacean; copper, pyrene; hypoxia; gene expression; annotation.

Introduction

Grass shrimp, *Palaemonetes pugio*, are among the most widely distributed, abundant, and conspicuous of the shallow water benthic macroinvertebrates and can be found in the salt marshes along the shores of the Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico of the United States (Anderson, 1985). Although grass shrimp have only limited value as fish bait or food for cultured fish, their ecological importance is unquestioned. Grass shrimp have been extensively documented as prey of fishes and other carnivores and they transport energy and nutrients among various estuarine trophic levels (Griffitt et al., 2007).

*P. pugio* has also been recognized as a model species in bioassays for assessment of water quality (American Public Health Association, 1975) and has been used in reproductive studies in the laboratory (Oberdorster et al., 2000). Much information has been published about mortality and sub-lethal effects of various toxic chemicals on grass shrimp. In bioassay studies, anthropogenic contaminants found in estuaries, including pesticides, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and metals have been shown to impact grass shrimp growth, size, reproductive capacity, molting, and survival (Burton and Fisher, 1990; Key and Fulton, 1993; McKenney et al., 1998; Lee et al., 2000). Dissolved oxygen regulates the distribution and abundance of grass shrimp in estuarine habitats (Harper and Reiber, 1999). They have a limited home range and are hypoxic tolerant, and because of that, can respond to hypoxia found in their immediate environment (Finley et al., 1998; Lee et al., 1998).

In recent years, genomic approaches have been increasingly applied in the field of toxicology. Such toxicogenomics studies can enhance our understanding of the mechanisms that underlie toxic effects of chemicals on living tissues of various organisms and may help to identify gene expression profiles that may serve as biomarkers of ex-
posure (Calzolai et al., 2007). However, this approach is hampered by the very limited genomic information that is available for non-model organisms that play an important role in ecosystem function. For example, despite the ecological and toxicological significance of grass shrimp, little is known about its genome. Among nine grass shrimp mRNA sequences submitted to GenBank, only two represent complete coding sequences, cadmium metallothionein 1 mRNA (348bp, AY935987), and hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha mRNA (3822bp, AY655698) (Brouwer et al., 2007; Li and Brouwer, 2007). Another 42 expressed sequence tags (ESTs) from suppressive subtractive hybridization (SSH) libraries prepared from grass shrimp exposed to three different xenobiotics have been deposited in GenBank (Griffitt et al., 2006).

Clearly an alternative approach is needed to obtain the transcriptome of an important species without full knowledge of the organism's genome. One method that can be applied to acquire information on the transcriptome is sequencing of ESTs from cDNA libraries. An expressed sequence tag (EST) is a short and partial sequence of a transcribed nucleotide sequence produced by sequencing of a cloned mRNA from cDNA libraries. The resulting ESTs represent portions of expressed genes, and the most highly expressed genes will be represented many times by identical or nearly identical clones in the libraries. This form of analysis has been employed in analyzing invertebrate ESTs from cDNA libraries from aquatic organisms, such as water flea *Daphnia magna* (Watanabe et al., 2005), blue crab *Callinectes sapidus* (Coblentz et al., 2006), and copepod *Calanus finmarchicus* (Hansen et al., 2007).

EST sequences can be clustered and assembled into overlapping contiguous sequences that represent unique transcripts. In this way, if the genome of the organism that originated the EST has been sequenced one can align the EST sequence to that genome. In this respect, ESTs become a tool to refine gene discovery, and the experimental conditions in which those ESTs are obtained may provide information on the potential function of the corresponding gene. Moreover, ESTs can be used to construct DNA microarrays
that then can be employed to determine gene expression in specified conditions.

Previous studies of grass shrimp in our laboratory have used a gene-by-gene approach for the study of significantly expressed genes during hypoxic exposures. Several hypoxia responsive genes were identified and characterized from grass shrimp. These include cadmium metallothionein (AY935987), mitochondrial superoxide dismutase (AY935986), HSP70 (AY935982), cytosolic manganese superoxide dismutase (AY211084), hemocyanin (AY935988), and hypoxia inducible factor 1 α (AY655698) (Brouwer et al., 2007; Li and Brouwer, 2007). This gene-by-gene approach proved to be successful and necessary for early studies. However, the advent of various transcriptomic profiling techniques provides new rapid and accurate means for discoveries of genes and patterns of genes induction involved in responses to environmental stress.

This paper reports EST sequencing, computerized clustering, assembly, and annotation of the sequences from six cDNA libraries from grass shrimp exposed to a variety of natural and anthropogenic stressors: chronic hypoxia, cyclic hypoxia, molt, copper, and pyrene. This project will improve our understanding of the Crustacean stress response, may enhance the discoveries of new genes and novel functions or pathways, and provide the foundation for future studies with microarrays constructed from these sequences.

Materials and Methods

Grass Shrimp

Grass shrimp were collected in the vicinity of Ocean Springs, Mississippi in Davis Bayou using dip nets. Adult females and males were segregated by sex and maintained in the laboratory at 15 psu and 27 ± 1°C for 7 to 30 days prior to experimentation. During acclimation and experimentation periods, grass shrimp were fed brine shrimp nauplii once daily and commercial flake food once daily. During all acclimation and experimentation periods, shrimp were held in artificial seawater (Fritz Super Salt, Fritz Industries, Mesquite, TX, USA) diluted to 15 psu.
Laboratory Exposures

Exposures were conducted in an intermittent flow-through system described by Manning et al. (1999). Normoxic (DO 7.5 mg/L) and hypoxic (DO 1.5 mg/L and 2.5 mg/L for chronic DO, and 1.5-7 mg/L for cyclic DO) conditions within the treatment aquaria were established and maintained as described before (Brouwer et al., 2004, 2005, 2007; Brown-Peterson et al., 2005). The flow through test system provided 1L every 20 minutes (resulting in 3 complete volume additions/day) to each of the 35L test aquaria using a separate water delivery partitioner for each of the normoxic and hypoxic treatments. Oxygen levels were controlled by bubbling nitrogen into a holding tank which gravity fed to the partitioner used to deliver flow-through hypoxic seawater. A 24 hour timer was used to activate a solenoid valve which controlled nitrogen introduction into the holding tank at intervals that maintained oxygen in the holding tank at a level which resulted in the desired oxygen concentration when introduced into the test aquaria. An additional partitioner provided flow-through normoxic seawater, and normoxic conditions were maintained by gently bubbling oxygen into the cells of the water partitioner prior to delivery of water to the individual aquaria. Grass shrimp were housed individually in retention chambers constructed from 10 cm Petri dish bottoms with a 15 cm high collar of 500 μm nylon mesh placed into 35L flow-through glass aquaria in a water bath held at 27 ± 1°C. A 16 hour-light and 8 hour-dark photoperiod was maintained. In all experiments, oxygen was monitored continuously in one hypoxic flow-through aquaria, and DO, temperature and salinity were measured in all flow-through aquaria once or twice daily using a YSI Model 600XLM data sonde. After 3 and 5 days of exposure at 2.5 mg/L DO the thorax/hepatopancreas of 10 shrimp per treatment was removed and stored in 1 mL RNALater (Ambion Inc. Austin, TX, USA) at -20°C. Hepatopancreas from shrimp exposed to 1.5 mg/L DO and cyclic DO was archived in RNALater for RNA extraction after 3 days, and 3 and 5 days, respectively.

Copper exposures consisted of a seawater control and three 96-hr copper treat-
ments (800, 240 and 100 µg/L) in a flow through system described above. Copper treatments were generated by injection of a copper stock solution (copper chloride dissolved in distilled water) into dilution water. Appropriate volumes of copper stock solution were delivered to the exposure system by precision syringe pumps and injected into a treatment splitter box/mixing chamber. Immediately after injection of stock, 2 L of diluent water from a water partitioner was delivered to each splitter box/mixing chambers to produce the desired concentrations. Each treatment splitter box/mixing chamber delivered appropriately diluted copper to duplicate treatment aquaria through calibrated delivery lines. Copper concentrations in the treatment aquaria were measured by atomic absorption spectroscopy at 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours. At the end of the 96 hour exposure hepatopancreas from all surviving shrimp was removed and stored in 1 mL RNALater.

Pyrene exposures consisted of a seawater control, a solvent control and three pyrene treatments (50, 15 and 4.5 µg/L) in a flow through system described above. Pyrene treatments were generated by injection of the compound dissolved in triethylene glycol (TEG) into dilution water. Appropriate volumes of pyrene stock solution were delivered to the exposure system by precision syringe pumps and injected into a treatment splitter box/mixing chamber. Immediately after injection of stock, 2 L of diluent water from a water partitioner was delivered to each splitter box/mixing chambers to produce the desired concentrations. Each treatment splitter box/mixing chamber delivered appropriately diluted pyrene to duplicate treatment aquaria through calibrated delivery lines. Pyrene concentrations in the treatment aquaria were measured at 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours using reverse phase HPLC with fluorescence detection (Oberdorster et al., 2000). At the end of the 96 hour exposure hepatopancreas was dissected from all surviving shrimp and stored in 1 mL RNALater.

To examine effects of molt cycle on gene expression, hepatopancreas tissues were dissected from shrimp at 1, 3, and 5 days after molting and stored in 1 mL RNALater at -20°C.
Suppression Subtractive Hybridization

Hepatopancreas tissues in RNAlater were shipped on dry ice to EcoArray Inc. (Alachua, FL, USA) for suppression subtractive hybridizations (SSH). Subtractive hybridizations were performed in both directions in order to obtain both up-regulated genes (subtraction run in forward direction; transcripts expressed at higher level in exposed specimen) and down-regulated genes (subtraction run in reverse direction; transcripts expressed at higher level in the untreated specimen). In the forward direction, the treated tissue that contains up-regulated gene transcripts is referred to as the "tester", while the untreated specimen is called the "driver". For a reverse subtraction, the "tester" and "driver" designation for the tissues is switched. Subtractive hybridizations were constructed using the Clontech (Palo Alto, CA, USA) suppression subtractive hybridization kit following the manufacturer's recommendations as briefly outlined below (Figure 5).

Total RNA was extracted using phenol:chloroform STAT-60 (Tel-Test, Friendswood, TX, USA) and homogenization. RNA from shrimp exposed for 3 and 5 days to hypoxia (DO 2.5 mg/L and cyclic DO) and RNA from shrimp exposed to different copper and pyrene concentrations was pooled. Poly-A$^+$ mRNA was then isolated using the Oligotex mRNA Midi Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and converted to cDNA. The tester and driver cDNAs were digested with Rsa I, a restriction enzyme that yields blunt-ended cDNA fragments. The tester cDNA pool was then divided into two portions, each of which was ligated with a different cDNA adapter sequence. Two sequential hybridizations were then performed. For the first hybridization, an excess of driver was added to each of the tester pools, the samples were heat denatured and then allowed to anneal to each other, resulting in the generation of several different hybrid sequences of cDNA.

For the second hybridization, the two different tester pools are mixed together in the presence of an excess of driver without denaturing, and new hybrids are formed. The ends of the differentially expressed cDNA sequences are then filled in by DNA polymerase and two rounds of PCR are performed to enrich for these cDNA clones. During
Figure 5: Overview of Clontech PCR-Select cDNA Subtraction Procedure.

Figure 6: Pipeline of PTA modules.
the PCR reaction, the non-differentially regulated, hybrid clones are either not amplified or do not exhibit exponential amplification. Thus, only differentially regulated genes are enriched by this process. The resultant pool of cDNA clones obtained from the PCR reaction were then shotgun ligated into the pGEM-T Easy cloning vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and then transformed into DH5α cells and plated onto Luria-Bertani (LB) agar plates containing ampicillin and oxacillin (100 μg/mL each). Recombinant colonies were then picked from the plates and sequenced in a 96-well high throughput format using standard methods (ICBR Sequencing Core Facility, University of Florida).

Assembly

The resultant expressed sequence tags (ESTs) were assembled using Paracel TranscriptAssembler package (PTA v 3.0.0) with Paracel-optimized version of CAP4 algorithms (Huang and Madan, 1999) that have been extensively modified to account for the peculiarities of EST datasets while retaining important information about possible alternative splice forms (Paracel, 2002). PTA takes EST data as input and passes the data through a series of custom steps to automatically clean, cluster, and assemble the sequences, group ESTs based on measured pairwise similarity, and reconstruct EST datasets into virtual transcripts (Figure 6).

Libraries of EST sequences are seldom perfect. Often they contain contaminants that don’t represent the source mRNA. The Cleanup stage in the PTA pipeline removes contaminant sequences and selectively mask regions of ESTs to convert input ESTs into high quality sequences. Sequences that matched with E. coli DNA and grass shrimp RNA (mainly 16S mitochondrial rRNA sequences) were removed from further processing. Clone vector contaminants, such as poly-A/T tails and vector, were replaced with a masking character (typically “X”). This process applies when only a section, not the whole sequence, is judged to be useless for further analysis. Nine grass shrimp nucleotide sequences from GenBank (AY211084 [cytosolic MnSOD], AY655698 [HIF-1α], AY935982
[HSP70], AY935983 [ribosomal protein S20], AY935984 [ribosomal protein S14], AY935986 [mitochondrial MnSOD], AY935987 [metallothionein 1], AY935988 [hemocyanin], and AY935989 [actin]) were used for seeded clustering of the EST sequences. Overlapping sequences in highly similar clusters were grouped into a single contiguous transcript (contigs). The output was a set of contigs and singlets (single sequence cluster) generated for each cluster.

**Annotation**

All ESTs included in the assembly, whether singlets or contigs, were annotated by sequence similarity comparison against the non-redundant protein database (nr), nucleotide database (nt), and Swiss-Prot database with the BLAST algorithm (BLASTX and BLASTN) (Altschul et al., 1997).

For each putative transcript, the five most similar entries in the databases were recorded. Assignment of putative transcripts with the protein database entries required maximal E-values of 1E-5. Any sequences failing to match the protein database entries were successively searched with the nucleotide database with E-values less than 1E-10 as recognized putative identities. The exact choice of most related sequences in each group of alignments (clusters) depended not only on the best hit values but also on the detailed information of matched sequences (Liu et al., 2006), such as having at least 50+ consecutive amino acids with 50%+ similarity, position of sequence in the assembled consensus sequence (contig), and analysis of potential protein conserved domains and functional sites.

To identify the function of each sequence, the matched known genes of annotated ESTs were classified into different functional categories according to Gene Ontology (GO) (Ashburner et al., 2000). The sequence analysis in terms of GO annotation using the GOblet software (http://goblet.molgen.mpg.de/) was conducted on all of the assembled sequences. The GOblet software (Hennig et al., 2003; Groth et al., 2004) took ESTs in
FASTA format and performed similarity searches against GO-annotated databases for various model organisms using BLAST. GO summary of trees and tables were constructed based on all GO terms of the respective hits.

Pathway analysis was carried out according to KEGG mapping (Kanehisa et al., 2006). EC numbers were assigned to sequences by BLASTX search against protein database (nr) with a cut off value of 1E-5. The sequences were mapped to KEGG biochemical pathways according to EC distribution in the pathway database.

The sequence analysis was carried out using EMBOSS (Rice et al., 2000). BLAST report parsing, and features and annotations assignments were carried out using BioPerl (Stajich et al., 2002), and statistical analysis was performed using R (R Development Core Team, 2008). A web site was developed (http://orca.st.usm.edu/~litd/gs/) where the user may download the information of the grass shrimp ESTs, including singlets and contigs, uncover which ESTs belong to which contigs, and obtain and search the BLAST annotations against different databases.

**Results**

*Water Quality Parameters*

The average DO levels during chronic DO exposures were 1.55 ± 0.23, and 2.47 ± 0.50 (mg/L), respectively. The measured copper and pyrene concentrations were 122 ± 7, 237 ± 6, and 719 ± 22 (μg/L), and 4.14 ± 1.26, 13.69 ± 3.56 and 44.15 ± 9.08 (μg/L), respectively. Over the 96 hour exposure, temperature, DO, pH, and salinity recorded as follows: 26.51 ± 0.76 (°C), 7.30 ± 0.21 (mg/L DO), 8.39 ± 0.04 (pH), and 15.41 ± 0.30 (‰) for copper, and 27.00 ± 0.22 (°C), 6.96 ± 0.23 (mg/L DO), 8.41 ± 0.03 (pH), and 15.36 ± 0.28 (‰) for pyrene.
Libraries and Sequencing

In order to generate a diverse set of sequences from grass shrimp, six independent cDNA libraries were constructed from mRNA extracted from grass shrimp exposed to chronic DO (1.5 and 2.5 mg/L), cyclic DO (1.5-7 mg/L), copper and pyrene, and from post molt shrimp. A total of 1553 clones, ranging from 51 base pairs (bp) to 834 bp, were sequenced. The average length of the sequenced ESTs was 490 bp with a median of 513 bp and a mode of 480 bp. The average insert size for the six libraries was 561, 579, 512, 450, 478, and 409 bp for the chronic DO (1.5 and 2.5 mg/L), cyclic DO, post molt, copper exposure, and pyrene exposure, respectively (Table 2).

Assembly

The first phase of assembly was performed by the seeded clustering module (Figure 6), which compared the input 1553 ESTs data against 9 well-characterized seed sequences in GenBank. 17 sequences matched one of three mRNA sequences (AY655698 [HIF-1α], AY935983 [ribosomal protein S20], and AY935988 [hemocyanin]), and they were grouped into a cluster with that mRNA sequence and were removed from further consideration in the pipeline. Of these 17, 14 sequences matched AY935988 (Palaemonetes pugio hemocyanin mRNA). Further analysis of these 14 sequences extended 173 amino acids of AY935988 to 311 amino acids long (Figure 7). The amino acid sequence represented a fragment of hemocyanin protein, with parts of hemocyanin_C domain (Ig-like domain), and hemocyanin_M domain (copper containing domain). BLASTX search against non-redundant protein database (nr) revealed 76% identity with hemocyanin subunit L from Marsupenaeus japonicus (ABR14693).
Table 2: Sequencing Summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Sequences</th>
<th>Name(^d)</th>
<th>Mean Length (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic DO (1.5mg/L), up-regulated</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>18A (E-H)</td>
<td>684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic DO (1.5mg/L), down-regulated</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>18A (A-D) and 18F</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic DO (2.5mg/L), up-regulated</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>16B</td>
<td>592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic DO (2.5mg/L), down-regulated</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>16A</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic DO, up-regulated</td>
<td>167(^a)</td>
<td>35A and 45A</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic DO, down-regulated</td>
<td>165(^b)</td>
<td>35B, 45B/Rev/Rev2</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Molt, up-regulated</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>37A/AR/C</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Molt, down-regulated</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>37B/D</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Exposure, up-regulated</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>39A/C</td>
<td>471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Exposure, down-regulated</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>39B/D</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene Exposure, up-regulated</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>41A/C</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene Exposure, down-regulated</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>41B/D</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1553(^c)</td>
<td></td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) 96 sequences corresponded to 16S mitochondrial rRNA. \(^b\) 8 sequences corresponded to 16S rRNA and 19 to 28S rRNA. \(^c\) contains 145 rRNA and 45 Coliphage sequences. These sequences were removed during the first step of the Paracel assembly process. \(^d\) EST (clone) identification numbers (see http://orca.st.usm.edu/~litd/gs/ for more detail).
Figure 7: Amino acid sequence of grass shrimp hemocyanin.

The second phase of assembly (Figure 6), clustering module, sorted the remaining ESTs into clusters, or groups of closely related sequences, based on local similarity score. Initially each EST was placed in its own cluster and each sequence was compared against all other sequences in both 3' and 5' orientations for pairwise comparison. A greedy clustering algorithm combined with pairwise comparison results iterated through all pairwise comparison hits, and joined the two sequences that generated the hits into a single cluster. It produced 444 singlets and 165 clusters.

The third phase of assembly operated on the clusters created during the first two phases (Figure 6). The sequences in each cluster were assembled into a single contiguous sequence (contigs), and there were often multiple contigs generated per cluster. Singlets, representing transcripts sequenced only once, are ESTs that don’t fit into clusters, or if in clusters, don’t form any part of contigs. Simple clusters are those that assemble into a single contig. Complex clusters assemble into some combination of contigs and/or singlets and so contain ESTs probably representing more than one closely related transcript (Coblentz et al., 2006). Of the 165 clusters, 28 were considered as complex clusters because they contained more than one putative transcript (contigs or singlets). There were 81 singlets in clusters. Based on the criteria listed in Materials and Methods, the total number of putative transcripts selected for further annotations was 661, of which, the majority (399+81, 72.6%) were singlets, which probably represented the rarer transcripts, and the others (181) were contigs. The number of ESTs per contig ranged from 2 to 67,
with a mean of 7, and a median of 4. The length of the contigs ranged from 124 to 1138 bp, with an average length of 492 bp (see http://orca.st.usm.edu/~litd/gs/ for further detail). The number of putative transcripts (singlets and contigs) among the six libraries was 52, 82, 118, 157, 147, and 105 for chronic DO (1.5 and 2.5 mg/L), cyclic DO, post molt, copper exposure, and pyrene exposure, respectively. Of the 480 singlets, 34, 59, 90, 111, 108, and 78 sequences came from the above six libraries, respectively.

Annotation

Each of 661 putative transcripts was compared against NCBI non-redundant protein database (nr) using BLASTX. Of those 513 (77.6%) matched with at least one significantly similar sequence. Of these, 376 were singlets and 139 were contigs. Of the assembled transcripts, 5.1% (26/513), 28.3% (145/513), and 27.5% (141/513) matched a protein with an E-value less than 1E-75, between 1E-75 and 1E-25, and between 1E-25 and 1E-5, respectively. The most similar matches for each of the grass shrimp transcripts were also sorted by organism. Similarities to Arthropoda and chordate genes were identified in a large proportion of the annotations because the public protein database includes a number of completely or nearly completely sequenced genomes of species in these phyla. 17% (87/512) of the most similar matches with chordate sequences were identified in the model organisms Homo, Mus, and Rattus. 43% (220/513) of the most similar matches were from the phylum Arthropoda, with insects (121: Tribolium, Apis, Aedes, and Drosophila,) and crustaceans (94: Litopenaeus, Macrobrachium, Marsupenaeus, Pacifastacus, Pandalus, and Penaeus) being by far the most common arthropod classes.

The BLAST results for grass shrimp transcripts provide some insight into the nature of the most abundant, differentially expressed, mRNAs. The three contigs that are made up of about 10 ESTs did not match any proteins in the NCBI database. Another six most commonly sequenced transcripts (16, 31, 10, 10, 10, and 12 ESTs respectively)
Table 3: Distribution of putative transcripts into different GO categories. Only 3 hierarchical levels are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>molecular function</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antioxidant activity</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>binding</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>catalytic activity</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>motor activity</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>signal transducer activity</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>structural molecule activity</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transcription regulator activity</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>translation regulator activity</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transporter activity</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cellular component</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATPase complex</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extracellular space</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intercellular bridge</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intracellular</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intracellular organelle</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>membrane</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>membrane-bound organelle</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-membrane-bound organelle</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organelle envelope</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ribonucleoprotein</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biological process</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cellular physiological process</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>development</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>localization</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metabolism</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regulation of cellular process</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regulation of physiological process</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reproduction</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>response to abiotic stimulus</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>response to stress</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
showed an E-value between 0 and 2 against proteins that are predicted from genome sequencing without assigned function. Six of the most commonly sequenced contigs showed highly significant similarity to cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (E=1E-116), alpha-amylase (E=1E-111), cathepsin (E=1E-106), hemocyanin 2 (E=1E-103), vitellogenin (E=1E-91), and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (E=4E-91). Five of them came from *Liopenaeus vannamei, Macrobrachium rosenbergii, and Pandalus borealis*.

GOblet software (Hennig et al., 2003; Groth et al., 2004) was used to assign probable GO terms to all assembled sequences (661) by similarity searching against GO-annotated invertebrate protein database with an E-value cutoff of less that 1E-10. A total of 226, 113, and 192 sequences were assigned to the three main groups in GO: molecular function, cellular components, and biological process. Table 3 shows the more detailed assignment of sequences to the first and second hierarchical levels of the GO functional categories. According to molecular functions, the majority of expressed genes were involved in catalytic activity, binding, transporter activity, and structural molecule activity. As far as cellular components are concerned, 78, 67, and 29 putative proteins were listed as intracellular, intracellular organelle, and ribonucleoprotein complex, respectively. For biological processes 183, 156, and 68 genes were assigned to cellular physiological processes, metabolism, and localization, respectively.

Venn diagrams were used to identify the number of genes that were up- (Figure 8) or down-regulated (Figure 9) in chronic (1.5 mg/L and 2.5 mg/L) and cyclic DO exposures. Several differentially expressed ESTs usually seem to be unique to a particular exposure, however, there was some overlap. For up-regulated transcripts, cytochrome c oxidase subunit I is the only gene in all three DO treatments, and hence might be an indicator of hypoxia, be it severe, moderate or cyclic. Cytochrome c oxidase subunit III was up-regulated in both chronic (1.5 mg/L) and cyclic exposure, whereas translation elongation factor 2 (TEF-2) was up-regulated in both cyclic and chronic hypoxia (2.5 mg/L). Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase and hemocyanin are the common genes between chronic
(2.5 mg/L) and cyclic exposures (Figure 8). For down-regulated putative transcripts, vitellogenin was identified among all three exposures. Cathepsin L, hemocyanin 2, heat shock protein 70, and crustapain were down-regulated in both chronic hypoxia (2.5 mg/L) and cyclic hypoxia (Figure 9).

Discussion

With increasing urban, industrial and agricultural development along the southeastern coast of the United States and Gulf of Mexico, estuarine organism such as grass shrimp are likely to be affected by anthropogenic and natural stressors. To explore effects of deteriorating water quality conditions we generated 6 EST libraries from grass shrimp exposed to conditions that represent broad categories of natural (chronic and cyclic hypoxia and molting) and anthropogenic (copper-metal and PAH-pyrene) stressors. This approach may as a first step provide an indication of how single stressors affect gene expression without considerations of interaction effects among different stressors. The ESTs from six libraries were coded by specific letters to indicate the laboratory exposure types, and forward or reverse directions. The identity of their origins wasn’t lost after all ESTs were assembled together. This allows inferences regarding the differences in gene expression among exposures (Table 2). 96 out of 167 ESTs in the up-regulated cyclic DO library were 16S mitochondrial RNA sequences. The down-regulated cyclic DO library had 8 16S and 19 28S rRNA sequences. These sequences were excluded from the assembly and annotation process.
Figure 8: Venn diagram of up-regulated transcripts in three DO treatments.
a=cytochrome c oxidase subunit I. b=translation elongation factor 2. c=cytochrome c oxidase subunit 3. d=hemocyanin and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase.

Figure 9: Venn diagram of down-regulated transcripts in three DO treatments.
a=vitellogenin. b=cathepsin L; heat shock protein 70; hemocyanin 2 and crustapain.
Table 4: Summary of unique genes found only in particular libraries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up-regulated chronic DO (1.5 mg/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18A-E07.g</td>
<td>P05661</td>
<td>Myosin heavy chain</td>
<td>7.00E-36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18A-F04.g</td>
<td>P05547</td>
<td>Troponin I</td>
<td>8.00E-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18A-G07.g</td>
<td>P13280</td>
<td>Glycogenin-1</td>
<td>3.00E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down-regulated chronic DO (1.5 mg/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18A-B06.g</td>
<td>P12387</td>
<td>Complement C3</td>
<td>2.00E-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18A-B10.g</td>
<td>O57523</td>
<td>Apolipoprotein A-I</td>
<td>7.00E-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18A-C05.g</td>
<td>P14448</td>
<td>Fibrinogen alpha chain</td>
<td>3.00E-41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18F-B12.g</td>
<td>P07195</td>
<td>LDH B chain</td>
<td>6.00E-93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18F-C08.g</td>
<td>P19021</td>
<td>Peptidyl-glycine alpha-amidating monoxygenase (PAM)</td>
<td>4.00E-97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18F-H09.g</td>
<td>Q9XYN1</td>
<td>Innexin inx2</td>
<td>1.00E-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up-regulated chronic DO (2.5 mg/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 4 – continued from previous page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16B-C03.g</td>
<td>Q15392</td>
<td>24-dehydrocholesterol reductase</td>
<td>2.00E-59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-C04.g</td>
<td>O46107</td>
<td>Lipase 1</td>
<td>2.00E-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-D10.g</td>
<td>P84293</td>
<td>Hemocyanin subunit 2</td>
<td>2.00E-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-F11.g</td>
<td>P53713</td>
<td>Integran beta-1</td>
<td>1.00E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-G04.g</td>
<td>P12259</td>
<td>Coagulation factor V</td>
<td>7.00E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-G07.g</td>
<td>P50544</td>
<td>Very-long chain specific Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase (MVI.CAD)</td>
<td>2.00E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-H06.g</td>
<td>P36417</td>
<td>G-box binding factor (GBF)</td>
<td>2.00E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-H12.g</td>
<td>Q9Y5Z4</td>
<td>Heme-binding protein 2</td>
<td>7.00E-08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Down-regulated chronic DO (2.5 mg/L)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16A-A03.g</td>
<td>Q9U572</td>
<td>Hemolymph clottable protein</td>
<td>7.00E-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-B07.g</td>
<td>P06708</td>
<td>Troponin C gamma</td>
<td>5.00E-67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-B10.g</td>
<td>Q9U943</td>
<td>Apolipoporphins</td>
<td>1.00E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-C01.g</td>
<td>Q5E9E4</td>
<td>Signal sequence receptor beta (SSR-beta)</td>
<td>9.00E-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-C07.g</td>
<td>P54985</td>
<td>Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase (PPIase)</td>
<td>4.00E-25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

continued on next page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16A-D01.g</td>
<td>Q9MFN9</td>
<td>Cytochrome b</td>
<td>3.00E-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-F05.g</td>
<td>Q9NJ98</td>
<td>Beta-1,3-glucan-binding protein</td>
<td>4.00E-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-F07.g</td>
<td>P54369</td>
<td>Ornithine decarboxylase antizyme</td>
<td>2.00E-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-G07.g</td>
<td>P12026</td>
<td>Acyl-CoA-binding protein (ACBP)</td>
<td>2.00E-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-H01.g</td>
<td>Q94572</td>
<td>Tubulin alpha-3 chain</td>
<td>5.00E-74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-H11.g</td>
<td>Q8I1F4</td>
<td>Fibrillarin</td>
<td>5.00E-57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Up-regulated cyclic DO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45A-A07.g</td>
<td>P38040</td>
<td>Guanine nucleotide-binding protein gamma subunit</td>
<td>8.00E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45A-B04.g</td>
<td>Q91WS0</td>
<td>Protein C10orf70 homolog</td>
<td>3.00E-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45A-C07.g</td>
<td>P65427</td>
<td>S-adenosyl-methyltransferase mraW</td>
<td>2.00E-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45A-C09.g</td>
<td>P30710</td>
<td>Epididymal secretory glutathione peroxidase</td>
<td>1.00E-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45A-D03.g</td>
<td>P38135</td>
<td>Acyl-CoA synthetase</td>
<td>3.00E-26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Down-regulated cyclic DO
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35B-C01.g</td>
<td>Q20591</td>
<td>Vacuolar ATPase subunit H</td>
<td>7.00E-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35B-C02.g</td>
<td>P42279</td>
<td>Trypsinogen Y precursor</td>
<td>2.00E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35B-E04.g</td>
<td>P08962</td>
<td>CD63 antigen</td>
<td>3.00E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35B-F07.g</td>
<td>Q5ZJH9</td>
<td>H/ACA ribonucleoprotein complex subunit 4</td>
<td>4.00E-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-A03.g</td>
<td>Q95108</td>
<td>Thioredoxin</td>
<td>2.00E-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-A07.g</td>
<td>Q07832</td>
<td>Serine/threonine-protein kinase PLK1</td>
<td>1.00E-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-A09.g</td>
<td>Q5XIP1</td>
<td>Protein pelota</td>
<td>1.00E-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-B03.g</td>
<td>Q99NF1</td>
<td>Beta-carotene dioxygenase 2</td>
<td>9.00E-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-B04.g</td>
<td>P46432</td>
<td>Glutathione S-transferase 3</td>
<td>2.00E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-D05.g</td>
<td>Q9Y6N5</td>
<td>Sulfide:quinone oxidoreductase</td>
<td>2.00E-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-D10.g</td>
<td>P35520</td>
<td>Cystathionine beta-synthase</td>
<td>6.00E-52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45Rev2-A01.g</td>
<td>Q9FKK7</td>
<td>Xylose isomerase</td>
<td>2.00E-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45Rev2-A11.g</td>
<td>P35623</td>
<td>Serine hydroxymethyltransferase</td>
<td>1.00E-30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Up-regulated post molt

continued on next page
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37A-B05.g</td>
<td>P13284</td>
<td>Gamma-interferon-inducible protein IP-30</td>
<td>1.00E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A-B09.g</td>
<td>Q9I8U0</td>
<td>Cytochrome c oxidase subunit 4 isoform 1 (COX IV-1)</td>
<td>1.00E-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A-D04.g</td>
<td>Q3U0B3</td>
<td>Dehydrogenase/reductase SDR family</td>
<td>2.00E-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A-E12.g</td>
<td>Q6P3H7</td>
<td>Retinoblastoma-binding protein 4 (RBBP-4)</td>
<td>4.00E-59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A-G05.g</td>
<td>P41822</td>
<td>Ferritin heavy chain-like protein</td>
<td>9.00E-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A-H05.g</td>
<td>Q6P635</td>
<td>COP9 signalosome complex subunit 5</td>
<td>6.00E-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37AR-A01.g</td>
<td>Q9Y3Q0</td>
<td>N-acetylated-alpha-linked acidic dipeptidase 2 (NAALADase II)</td>
<td>9.00E-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37AR-A05.g</td>
<td>P10606</td>
<td>Cytochrome c oxidase polypeptide Vb</td>
<td>3.00E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37AR-B06.g</td>
<td>P09117</td>
<td>Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase C</td>
<td>1.00E-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37AR-B09.g</td>
<td>P80971</td>
<td>Cytochrome c oxidase subunit 4 isoform 2 (COX IV-2)</td>
<td>1.00E-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37AR-C05.g</td>
<td>P81583</td>
<td>Cuticle protein CP1499</td>
<td>1.00E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37AR-G08.g</td>
<td>Q9BZP6</td>
<td>Chitinase</td>
<td>6.00E-63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37AR-G09.g</td>
<td>Q91YT0</td>
<td>NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase 51 kDa subunit</td>
<td>3.00E-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37AR-H03.g</td>
<td>Q8C0L6</td>
<td>Polyamine oxidase</td>
<td>7.00E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C-B07.g</td>
<td>Q0QXE5</td>
<td>Thymus-specific serine protease</td>
<td>6.00E-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 4 – continued from previous page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37C-D08.g</td>
<td>P79401</td>
<td>Cytochrome P450 3A</td>
<td>1.00E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C-G05.g</td>
<td>P12821</td>
<td>Angiotensin-converting enzyme</td>
<td>2.00E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C-H09.g</td>
<td>P41340</td>
<td>Actin-3</td>
<td>3.00E-77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Down-regulated post molt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37B-A04.g</td>
<td>Q8NI27</td>
<td>THO subunit 2 (Tho2)</td>
<td>4.00E-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-A05.g</td>
<td>Q02645</td>
<td>Adducin-like protein</td>
<td>9.00E-58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-A10.g</td>
<td>P00940</td>
<td>Triosephosphate isomerase</td>
<td>2.00E-61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-B07.g</td>
<td>Q02379</td>
<td>NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase 15 kDa subunit</td>
<td>2.00E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-B08.g</td>
<td>Q91ZY8</td>
<td>Tripartite motif protein 9</td>
<td>3.00E-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-B11.g</td>
<td>P07764</td>
<td>Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase</td>
<td>1.00E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-B12.g</td>
<td>Q9D3D0</td>
<td>Protein C20orf121 homolog</td>
<td>2.00E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-C01.g</td>
<td>Q04212</td>
<td>Hypothetical oxidoreductase</td>
<td>2.00E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-C05.g</td>
<td>P49762</td>
<td>Serine/threonine-protein kinase Doa</td>
<td>2.00E-66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-D06.g</td>
<td>P17644</td>
<td>Acetylcholine receptor protein</td>
<td>1.00E-07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

continued on next page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37B-E03.g</td>
<td>Q25008</td>
<td>Tubulin alpha-1 chain</td>
<td>8.00E-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-F10.g</td>
<td>P30041</td>
<td>Glutathione peroxidase</td>
<td>4.00E-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-F12.g</td>
<td>O08638</td>
<td>Myosin-11</td>
<td>3.00E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-G06.g</td>
<td>P26221</td>
<td>Endoglucanase E-4</td>
<td>9.00E-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-H03.g</td>
<td>P17861</td>
<td>X-box binding protein 1</td>
<td>4.00E-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-H05.g</td>
<td>Q8JZX4</td>
<td>Splicing factor 45</td>
<td>5.00E-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37D-C01.g</td>
<td>O02649</td>
<td>60kD heat shock protein</td>
<td>9.00E-83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37D-D03.g</td>
<td>O74976</td>
<td>Peroxisomal-coenzyme A synthetase</td>
<td>1.00E-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37D-D04.g</td>
<td>P28799</td>
<td>Granulin protein</td>
<td>9.00E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37D-E08.g</td>
<td>Q28983</td>
<td>Zonadhesin</td>
<td>2.00E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37D-H02.g</td>
<td>P49948</td>
<td>Ferritin heavy chain 2</td>
<td>7.00E-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37D-H12.g</td>
<td>P17663</td>
<td>Ferritin heavy chain 1</td>
<td>3.00E-45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Up-regulated copper

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39A-E10.g</td>
<td>P80029</td>
<td>Crustacyanin-C1 subunit</td>
<td>4.00E-15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

continued on next page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39A-F05.g</td>
<td>Q91W3</td>
<td>Up-regulated during skeletal muscle growth protein 5</td>
<td>2.00E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39A-F08.g</td>
<td>Q08790</td>
<td>Lipoprotein blc</td>
<td>9.00E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39A-G03.g</td>
<td>Q5FVJ8</td>
<td>Sentrin-specific protease 8</td>
<td>9.00E-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39A-G06.g</td>
<td>Q99L70</td>
<td>Dry-30-like protein</td>
<td>1.00E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39A-H02.g</td>
<td>O15371</td>
<td>Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit 7</td>
<td>2.00E-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39A-H03.g</td>
<td>Q4QXT9</td>
<td>Coagulation factor X</td>
<td>3.00E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39A-H11.g</td>
<td>P06370</td>
<td>Keratin-associated protein 10-5</td>
<td>2.00E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39A-C03.g</td>
<td>P49247</td>
<td>Ribose 5-phosphate isomerase A</td>
<td>2.00E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39C-C03.g</td>
<td>Q370E3</td>
<td>Coiled-coil domain-containing protein 56</td>
<td>3.00E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39C-D11.g</td>
<td>O00399</td>
<td>Dynactin subunit 6</td>
<td>1.00E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39C-F01.g</td>
<td>Q91U0</td>
<td>Hrp65 protein</td>
<td>4.00E-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39C-F04.g</td>
<td>P00637</td>
<td>Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase 1</td>
<td>5.00E-39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39C-G05.g</td>
<td>Q08473</td>
<td>Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein 40</td>
<td>2.00E-20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 – continued from previous page
Table 4 – continued from previous page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39B-C06.g</td>
<td>P79403</td>
<td>Glucosidase II subunit alpha</td>
<td>4.00E-41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39B-C09.g</td>
<td>Q99814</td>
<td>Hypoxia-inducible factor 2 alpha (HIF-2 alpha)</td>
<td>2.00E-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39B-E02.g</td>
<td>Q94522</td>
<td>Succinyl-CoA synthetase alpha chain (SCS-alpha)</td>
<td>7.00E-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39B-E04.g</td>
<td>P56616</td>
<td>Ubiquitin-protein ligase</td>
<td>2.00E-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39B-E09.g</td>
<td>Q8WXI8</td>
<td>C-type lectin</td>
<td>6.00E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39B-G11.g</td>
<td>P22985</td>
<td>Xanthine dehydrogenase</td>
<td>4.00E-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39B-G12.g</td>
<td>Q17004</td>
<td>Serine protease SP24D</td>
<td>5.00E-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39B-H11.g</td>
<td>Q5TGI0</td>
<td>Protein C6orf168</td>
<td>6.00E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39D-A07.g</td>
<td>P52175</td>
<td>Nucleoside diphosphate kinase NBR-B (NDK NBR-B)</td>
<td>1.00E-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39D-B02.g</td>
<td>P25229</td>
<td>Actin-binding protein chain A (ABP-A)</td>
<td>2.00E-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39D-B10.g</td>
<td>Q7ZYB4</td>
<td>Polyadenylate-binding protein (PABP)-interacting protein 1</td>
<td>9.00E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39D-C07.g</td>
<td>Q5TTG1</td>
<td>Vacuolar ATPase subunit A</td>
<td>5.00E-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39D-D09.g</td>
<td>Q96Q77</td>
<td>Calcium and integrin-binding protein</td>
<td>1.00E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39D-E09.g</td>
<td>Q68HB4</td>
<td>Profilin</td>
<td>3.00E-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39D-E10.g</td>
<td>Q9D0R2</td>
<td>Threonyl-tRNA synthetase</td>
<td>3.00E-72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39D-F04.g</td>
<td>O80433</td>
<td>Citrate synthase</td>
<td>4.00E-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A-A04.g</td>
<td>Q5RFM9</td>
<td>10-formyltetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>2.00E-28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A-B04.g</td>
<td>P54886</td>
<td>Pyrroline-5-carboxylase synthase</td>
<td>2.00E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A-B05.g</td>
<td>Q24407</td>
<td>ATPase subunit F6</td>
<td>8.00E-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A-E05.g</td>
<td>P22464</td>
<td>Annexin-B9</td>
<td>3.00E-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A-E10.g</td>
<td>Q7QCK2</td>
<td>Translationally controlled tumor protein</td>
<td>1.00E-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A-G01.g</td>
<td>Q8WZ42</td>
<td>Titin</td>
<td>3.00E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A-H08.g</td>
<td>Q8WQA4</td>
<td>Chloride intracellular channel 6-like protein</td>
<td>5.00E-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-D01.g</td>
<td>P17276</td>
<td>Phenylalanine-4-hydroxylase (PAH)</td>
<td>4.00E-21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Up-regulated pyrene**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41B-A10.g</td>
<td>Q96QE2</td>
<td>Proton myo-inositol cotransporter</td>
<td>8.00E-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41B-C08.g</td>
<td>P37235</td>
<td>Hippocalcin-like protein 1</td>
<td>1.00E-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Down-regulated pyrene**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Putative Protein</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41B-D02.g</td>
<td>Q9QXW2</td>
<td>F-box/WD repeat protein 5</td>
<td>1.00E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41B-D10.g</td>
<td>O76757</td>
<td>Adenosylhomocysteinase</td>
<td>6.00E-42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41B-E03.g</td>
<td>P52826</td>
<td>Carnitine acetyltransferase</td>
<td>2.00E-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41B-E05.g</td>
<td>O75643</td>
<td>U5 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein helicase</td>
<td>3.00E-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41B-F05.g</td>
<td>Q6QBQ4</td>
<td>Phospholipid scramblase 3</td>
<td>6.00E-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41B-G09.g</td>
<td>Q5E9K0</td>
<td>Proteasome subunit beta type 2</td>
<td>2.00E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41B-H07.g</td>
<td>P62898</td>
<td>Cytochrome c</td>
<td>8.00E-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41B-H09.g</td>
<td>Q6A068</td>
<td>Cell division cycle 5-related protein</td>
<td>2.00E-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41B-H12.g</td>
<td>Q8T635</td>
<td>Pupal cuticle protein 20</td>
<td>7.00E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41D-B12.g</td>
<td>P40620</td>
<td>HMG1/2-like protein</td>
<td>9.00E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41D-E10.g</td>
<td>P78344</td>
<td>Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4 gamma 2</td>
<td>6.00E-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Most of the crustacean EST sequences deposited in GenBank are limited to those obtained from commercially important shrimp species: *Litopenaeus setiferus* (1042), *Litopenaeus vannamei* (7506), *Marsupenaeus japonicus* (3156), *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* (10446), and *Penaeus monodon* (7800). A total of 8821 ESTs from a normalized cDNA library made for grass shrimp has been uploaded to the Marine Genomics Project web site at the University of South Carolina (http://www.marinegenomics.org/index.php). Hansen et al. (2007) described a SSH-based library of the copepod *Calanus finmarchicus* exposed to sublethal environmental stressors. A total of 887 clones were sequenced, and 189 unigenes were annotated based on similarity search against arthropod sequences in the NCBI protein database. Finally, sequences of 1503 and 1192 cDNA clones obtained from an early blastemal and late proecdysial limb bud cDNA library of the fiddler crab (*Celuca pugilator*) have been determined in the lab of David Durica from the University of Oklahoma (http://www.genome.ou.edu/crab.html).

In this study a collection of 1553 ESTs from grass shrimp was generated from six cDNA subtraction libraries. After clustering and assembly, the results represent 661 putative transcripts. Of these, 480 ESTs were singlets and 181 were contigs. An average of 7 ESTs per contig accounted for the larger fraction of ESTs, 85 out of 165 clusters contain 2 sequences, and 4 clusters were composed of more than 20 ESTs. The cDNA libraries constructed here reduced the number of highly abundant transcripts, and increased the efficiency of random sequencing which is essential for rare gene discovery.

Of the 661 putative transcripts 312 (47%) have at least one significant BLASTX hit against the NCBI non-redundant protein database (nr) with an E-value less than 1E-5. Six of the most commonly sequenced contigs with highly significant similarity came from *Litopenaeus vannamei* (phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase and alpha-amylase), *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (cytochrome c oxidase subunit I and vitellogenin), *Pacifastacus leniusculus* (hemocyanin 2), and *Pandalus borealis* (cathepsin L). A total of 238 genes were linked to GO terms using GOblet. Large proportions of sequences have no
significant BLAST hits (53%) or GO terms (64%). This implies, not surprisingly, that the genome/transcriptome of grass shrimp as a non-model organism is poorly explored at present. On the other hand, identification of ESTs with no database matches may facilitate the discovery of proteins with novel functions or biochemical pathways relative to model organisms.

The use of gene expression profiles as bioindicators of exposure to environmental stressors has led to increased attention on house-keeping genes which are assumed to be expressed relatively consistently regardless of experimental conditions, and are therefore often used as internal standards for quantifying the relative expression levels of target genes. Examples of such genes among the sequenced ESTs were beta-actin, cyclophilin, elongation factor 1 alpha, and beta-tubulin. Cyclophilin was found to be down-regulated in chronic hypoxia exposure (2.5 mg/L DO), and tubulin was found to be down-regulated in chronic hypoxia exposure (2.5 mg/L DO), copper exposure and post molt. Actin expression was altered after molting, copper, and pyrene exposures. Since their expression levels varied under experimental conditions, relying on one or few housekeeping genes can result in skewed data in future gene expression studies using qPCR and microarrays.

Few genes were found in 1 particular library and not in the other 5. These genes might therefore be specific for the particular stressor, although it is still possible that, after more extensive sequencing of additional clones, these genes might be found in the other libraries as well (Table 4). Two muscle proteins (myosin S1 heavy chain and troponin I) appear to be specifically up-regulated in response to chronic hypoxia (1.5 mg/L DO) exposure, whereas the heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide-binding protein (G protein) gamma subunit and glutathione peroxidase are up-regulated in response to cyclic exposure. It is rather striking that several genes involved in sulfur redox and (homo)cysteine metabolism (thioredoxin, sulfide:quinone oxidoreductase, glutathione-S-transferase, cystathionine beta-synthase) are all down-regulated in response to cyclic hypoxia. Not surprisingly, the molting process is accompanied by changes in expression of many genes, none of which
are found in the hypoxia/copper/pyrene libraries.

More detailed GOblet analysis of GO annotation from the individual SSH cDNA libraries showed almost 33% of sequences putatively coded for enzymes that are responsible for catalytic activity. The majority of those (50%) represent proteolytic enzymes (hydrolases: cathepsin C and L) which are abundant in the down-regulated chronic (2.5 mg/L) and cyclic hypoxia libraries, and the post molt libraries in both directions. Another 32% of the ESTs are mapped to the GO “binding” term, which includes nucleotide binding, protein binding, and ion binding. These genes are more prevalent in the down-regulated chronic hypoxia library (1.5 mg/L), relative to the up-regulated library. Approximately 25% of the ESTs represent genes involved in transporter activity, including lipid, oxygen and ion transport. These genes are found in all libraries with the exception of the cyclic hypoxia up-regulated SSH library. However, 11 transporter proteins, including 6 involved in lipid transport, were identified in the down-regulated cyclic library. Finally, 23 ESTs were mapped to cytochrome c oxidase activity (GO Level 5).

KEGG has been widely used for pathway mapping (Kanehisa et al., 2006), and enzyme commission (EC) numbers were used to identify which sequences related to a specific pathway. A total of 208 out of 1553 sequences matched enzymes with an EC number. 32, 21, 16, and 12 sequences had a match in KEGG map for oxidative phosphorylation, antigen processing and presentation, pyruvate metabolism, and glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, respectively. Genes putatively involved in oxidative phosphorylation, such as cytochrome c oxidase subunit I and III, were exclusively found in up-regulated cyclic and chronic (1.5 mg/L) libraries. Eight ESTs that mapped to the TCA cycle were present in chronic (DO 2.5 mg/L, up-regulated), cyclic (up-regulated), and post molt and copper (down-regulated) libraries. Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, which is part of the PPAR (peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor) signaling pathway, and plays a crucial role in gluconeogenesis, was found only in up-regulated chronic (DO 2.5 mg/L) and cyclic hypoxia libraries, and in the down-regulated post molt library. 21 sequences were
matched to antigen processing and presentation, such as cathepsin L, cysteine proteinase, and disulfide-isomerase. 19 of these ESTs were present in down-regulated cyclic DO and up-regulated post molt libraries, while two sequences were identified in down-regulated copper library.

SSH PCR-based libraries described here only qualitatively represent transcripts that may be affected by the exposures, while microarrays can quantitatively indicate how much transcript is affected by the exposures. The 661 putative sequences from cDNA libraries, along with several mRNA sequences identified and characterized in our laboratory before this project were applied to design a cDNA microarray. PCR-amplified cDNA arrays have been printed, and hybridization experiments will analyze changes in gene expression in response to chronic and cyclic hypoxic exposures. Together with the sequence information and annotation given here, the results may increase our understanding of adaptation to hypoxic conditions at the molecular level, and may identify gene expression profiles that can be used to assess hypoxia exposure in the field.
CHAPTER IV

CHRONIC HYPOXIA

Abstract

DNA microarrays have become an important tool to measure the global gene expression changes and genetic pathways involved in response to environmental stressors and toxicants. In this study a cDNA microarray was designed and constructed from six libraries of expressed sequence tags generated by suppression subtractive hybridization from the grass shrimp, *Palaemonetes pugio*, exposed to a variety of natural and anthropogenic stressors: chronic hypoxia, cyclic hypoxia, molt, copper, and pyrene. The microarrays were used to examine differentially expressed genes in hypoxic vs. normoxic groups at 6 (H6), 12 (H12), 24 (H24), 48 (H48), 120 (H120), and 240 (H240) hours exposure to chronic hypoxia. The initial response to hypoxia is an up-regulation of 29 genes. Only 6 hours later, a dramatic down-regulation of 47 genes was observed. After 24 hours there is another reversal with 19 genes being up-regulated and none down-regulated. 34 and 22 genes are up-regulated after 2 and 5 days, respectively. 24 genes are down-regulated and 6 up-regulated by day 10. Cluster analysis confirmed two response patterns, one composed of an up-regulated dominated cluster, including H6, H24, and H120, the other composed of a down-regulated dominated cluster, including H12, H48, and H240. Venn diagrams of differentially expressed genes showed there is no gene up- or down-regulated common to all six groups. Hemocyanin transcription is up-regulated after 24, 48, and 120 hours, but down-regulated after 12 hours. Some genes appear unique for specific time points. Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase is up-regulated in the H120 and H240 groups. Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I and C-type lectin are uniquely up-regulated in H12, whereas vitellogenin and trachealess are uniquely down-regulated in H48. *org.Dm.eg.db* and GOstats packages from R were used to assign GO terms to significantly expressed genes. A total of 291, 129, and 219 genes were assigned to biological
process, cellular components, and molecular function, respectively. The most abundant groups of genes were associated with transport, metabolic process, defense response, and proteolysis. Pathways were analyzed using *Drosophila* metabolic pathways in KEGG database. Oxidative phosphorylation/Citrate cycle and Ribosome were the most abundant categories for chronic DO exposure. Of 19 selected genes that showed differential expression on the microarrays, 17 showed similar up- or down-regulated patterns in both microarray and qPCR.

The custom cDNA microarray is a valid and useful tool to investigate the changes in gene expression of grass shrimp during chronic hypoxia exposure, and show the gene expression profiles affected by hypoxia. Some genes, such as hemocyanin genes, ATP synthase, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, vitellogenin, cytochrome c oxidase subunit I, Lysosomal thiol reductase, and C-type lectin, may be used as molecular indicators at certain time points of chronic hypoxia treatment in grass shrimp. However, changes of significant genes are too dynamic to serve as biomarkers of hypoxia stress in grass shrimp.

**Keywords** - *Palaemonetes pugio*; grass shrimp; crustacean; microarray; hypoxia; gene expression; annotation.

**Introduction**

The recent sequencing of whole genomes of model species has accelerated the development of various transcriptomic profiling techniques, including microarray-based gene expression profiling, that allows scientists to reveal the expression of all the genes simultaneously in an organism. The application of these techniques to toxicology, toxicogenomics, enables biologists to measure the global gene expression changes and genetic pathways involved in stress response (Currie et al., 2005). Toxicogenomics is the evolving science which includes genomic-scale mRNA expression (transcriptomics), cell and tissue-wide protein expression (proteomics), metabolite profiling (metabonomics),
and bioinformatics (Marchant, 2002). Combined with toxicogenomics, DNA microarrays have become most popular and important method to allow biologists to monitor the activities of thousands of genes simultaneously, and characterize biological functions of genomes in response to environmental stressors and toxicants (Ju et al., 2007b). Therefore, microarrays can possibly provide more sensitive, immediate, comprehensive markers of toxicity than traditional toxicological methods, such as morphological changes, carcinogenicity, and reproductive toxicity (Marchant, 2002).

The majority of toxicogenomic research has been focused on the gene-environment interactions of model organisms, such as human (Waters et al., 2003; Kronick, 2004), mouse (Carter et al., 2005), zebrafish (van der Ven et al., 2005), and nematode (Reichert and Menzel, 2005). This limitation has been recognized and more non-model species, especially ecologically relevant ones, have been sequenced recently. Most of the crustacean sequences deposited in GenBank are from commercially important organisms (Chapter 3).

One alternative method to work around this limited genomic information is sequencing cDNA libraries and designing cDNA microarrays by spotting genes identified by various techniques (Chapter 3). Expressed sequence tag (EST) libraries have been successfully utilized in partial sequencing of various aquatic invertebrates, such as water flea *Daphnia magna* (Watanabe et al., 2005), blue crab *Callinectes sapidus* (Coblentz et al., 2006), and copepod *Calanus finmarchicus* (Hansen et al., 2007). ESTs contain enough sequence information to design and construct DNA microarrays for determining gene expression patterns. EST-microarray approach is limited to only a few aquatic species, including *Fundulus* (Oleksiak et al., 2001), channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) (Ju et al., 2002), European flounder (*Platichthys flesus*) (Williams et al., 2003), fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) (Miracle et al., 2003), common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) (Gracey et al., 2004), salmon (Rise et al., 2004), rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) (Krasnov et al., 2005), zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) (van der Ven et al., 2005), goldfish (*Carassius aur-
Grass shrimp, *Palaemonetes pugio*, is an ecologically important crustacean, which can be found from Maine to Texas. Little is known about the toxicogenomic information of grass shrimp exposed to environmental stressors. Nine grass shrimp sequences from previous studies in our laboratory were submitted to GenBank (Brouwer et al., 2007; Li and Brouwer, 2007). Additional 42 expressed sequence tags (ESTs) from SSH libraries prepared from grass shrimp exposed to three different xenobiotics have been deposited in GenBank (Griffitt et al., 2006). A total of 8821 ESTs from a normalized cDNA library made for grass shrimp have been uploaded to the Marine Genomics Project web site at the University of South Carolina. Griffitt et al. (2007) employed serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) to study gene expression profiles of adult male grass shrimp exposed to three environmental stressors, fipronil, endosulfan, and cadmium.

Here six libraries of ESTs were constructed by SSH from the grass shrimp exposed to environmental stress: moderate (DO 2.5 mg/L) and severe (1.5 mg/L) hypoxia, cyclic hypoxia (1.5 → 7 mg/L), contaminant-induced stress (pyrene and copper), and biological stress (molt) (Chapter 3). A total of 661 annotated transcripts were selected based on certain criteria described in Chapter 3.

The purpose of the present study was to demonstrate the utility of purpose-designed DNA microarray as a useful tool to monitor gene expression changes in hepatopancreas of grass shrimp during the chronic hypoxia exposure, and identify potential biomarkers and validate them using qPCR.

**Materials and Methods**

*Laboratory Exposures*

Collection and maintenance of grass shrimp prior to exposure experiments were conducted as described in Chapter 3.
Exposures were conducted in an intermittent flow-through system described by Manning et al. (1999). Normoxic (DO 7.5 mg/L) and chronic hypoxic (DO 1.5 mg/L) conditions within the treatment aquaria were established and maintained as described before (Brouwer et al., 2004, 2005, 2007; Brown-Peterson et al., 2005, Chapter 3). In all experiments, oxygen was monitored continuously in one hypoxic flow-through aquarium, and DO, temperature and salinity were measured in all flow-through aquaria once or twice daily using a YSI Model 600XLM data sonde. All exposures were conducted in triplicate for both controls and treatments. The thorax/hepatopancreas of 20 shrimp per treatment was removed after 0, 6, 12, 24, 48, 120, and 240 hours of exposure and stored in 1 mL RNALater (Ambion Inc. Austin, TX, USA) at -20°C.

Isolation and Quantification of Total RNA

Total RNA was isolated from grass shrimp hepatopancreas using Stat-60 (Tel-Test, Friendswood, TX, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Three or four hepatopancreas tissues were pooled from each treatment, and then total RNA was extracted. These pools were used for microarray analysis as well as quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR). After precipitation, RNA was DNase-treated and stored in RNA Storage Solution (Ambion). RNA was quantified using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (ND-1000, NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA), and quality was assessed on a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). All samples used had ratios of 260/280 and 260/230 greater than 1.8. RNA was non-degraded as confirmed using the Bioanalyzer.

SSH Libraries and cDNA Clones

Suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) was performed as described in Chapter 3 to generate six libraries of DNA fragments from grass shrimp. A total of 661 unique cDNA fragments, including 480 singlets and 181 contigs, were PCR-amplified in a 100µl
reaction containing 10μM nested PCR primer 1 and 2R (Table 5), 10μM each deoxynucleotide triphosphate, 10 x ThermoPol Reaction Buffer, and 2.5 units Taq DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). The PCR reaction conditions were 1 cycle of 94°C for 1 min; 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 68°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 1 min; 1 cycle of 72°C for 5 min, and then hold at 4°C.

Several potentially hypoxia-responsive genes, identified and characterized in our laboratory from previous studies with grass shrimp, were also amplified. These genes include beta-actin (AY935989), cadmium metallothionein (CdMT, AY935987), mitochondrial superoxide dismutase (mSOD, AY935986), cytosolic manganese superoxide dismutase (cSOD, AY211084), trachealess, and hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha (HIF-1α, AY655698) (Brouwer et al., 2007; Li and Brouwer, 2007).

For beta-actin, CdMT, mSOD, and cSOD, single-stranded cDNA was generated from total RNA by reverse transcription (RT) with Superscript II RNase H- Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and oligo dT primers (5'-TTT TTT TTT TT N-3'). For trachealess and hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha, templates were the glycerol stocks of previously preserved clones. The forward and reverse primers for PCR amplification were designed using Primer3, and the summary of primers is listed in Table 5 (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer3/primer3_www.cgi). PCR conditions were 94°C for 2 min for 1 cycle, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 55°C for 30 sec, and 68°C for 30 sec, followed by 68°C for 7 min, and then hold at 4°C. The PCR reactions using AccuPrime™ SuperMix I (Invitrogen) gave only a single product. Bands were visualized by ethidium bromide on a 1% agarose gel, purified with a QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), and T/A cloned using pGEM®-T Easy Vector System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), and sequenced using a Beckman CEQ 8000 Genetic Analysis System (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA). To confirm identity of PCR products sequence analyses and homology searches were performed using the online BLAST suite of programs (NCBI).
After completion of the PCR, the PCR products were purified using a Millipore Montage Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Billerica, MA, USA). Aliquots of products were run on a 1.5% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide to confirm the correct insert size of amplicon as well as to ascertain minimal primer dimer content. Concentrations were measured using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (ND-1000). 1500ng of DNA was speed vacuum dried using an Eppendorf Vacufuge (Westbury, NY, USA), and resuspended in 10μL 50% DMSO to get a final concentration of 150ng/μl for each clone. Samples were stored in 96-well polypropylene plates to be spotted on microarrays.

Table 5: Primers used for grass shrimp PCR. 11, 47, 52, 59, 124, 173, and 197 are clone names for tracheless and hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Nucleotide Sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primer 1</td>
<td>5'-TCG AGC GGC CGC CCG GGC AGG T-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer 2R</td>
<td>5'-AGC GTG GTC GCG GCC GAG GT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActinF</td>
<td>5'-GCC TCC TCC TCC TCC CTA GA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActinR</td>
<td>5'-GTG TTG GCG TAC AGG TCC TT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdMTF</td>
<td>5'-GAA ACT GAC TGC TCC AAG G-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdMTR</td>
<td>5'-ATG ACT TAC AAA CGC GCA CA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mSODF</td>
<td>5'-GAC TTC GGA ACC ATC AAC AAA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mSODR2</td>
<td>5'-CCA ACC AGC CCC AGC C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cSODF</td>
<td>5'-CAG CTT ATG TTG CCG GTA T-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cSODR3</td>
<td>5'-ACA AAT GTG AGG TTC CAG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11F</td>
<td>5'-TCG CAT TCC TCA AGA CCA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11R</td>
<td>5'-ATG AGT TCC GAG TCC AG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47F</td>
<td>5'-GAG GTC GTG AAC AAC AAG CA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47R</td>
<td>5'-GAC TTC TCT TTC CGC TTT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52F</td>
<td>5'-CAG ACG GAA GCA TCT TAG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52R</td>
<td>5'-CCG GAA TGG TGA TCT CG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59F</td>
<td>5'- TTC AAC CTC CTA CCC CAG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59R</td>
<td>5'-GAT TGG GAT CTG GGT AA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124F</td>
<td>5'-TCT CCC CAA AGA AGT CC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124R</td>
<td>5'-CGA TAT TGG CAG GAG CAT TT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173F</td>
<td>5'-GGC TGC CAC TTC AAG AGT TC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173R</td>
<td>5'-CGC AAT TTG TCA ACA TCC TG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197F</td>
<td>5'-AGG CTA CAC ATG GAT GCA A-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197R</td>
<td>5'-TAG GGG CGT TGA TAT CTG-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Array Printing

For microarray analysis, each array was printed with various sets of controls provided by the Lucidea Universal ScoreCard system (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA) which include ten calibration, eight ratio, and two negative controls designed to validate and normalize experimental data in microarrays and to compare data across experiments using pre-determined fold changes. The negative controls were used to assess the degree of non-specific hybridization and to estimate background. Any spot on the array whose signal was not significantly stronger than that of the negative controls was removed from further analysis. An MSP, multiple sample pool, was also constructed from the grass shrimp clone library by combining cDNA samples together in equal quantities to make a heterogeneous pool. As an unbiased control, MSP won’t differentially change and usually have the maximum signals. The sample and control DNAs were prepared by the same methods and printed in an identical fashion.

The PCR products and control DNAs were robotically spotted in duplicate arrays on UltraGAPS (Corning, Corning, NY, USA) coated glass slides using the Bio-Rad ChipWriter system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) equipped with 4 Stealth SMP3 pins (Telechem, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Each DNA clone was printed side by side in quadruplicate. After printing, slides were kept overnight at room temperature to dry, and were ultraviolet cross-linked at 300 mJoules using the UV Stratalinker 1800 (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Slides were stored in desiccator and used within six months of fabrication.

Prior to large-scale printing, a few of slides were printed following the method described above to check the quality and consistency of the microarrays using SYBR 555 nucleic acid stain (Invitrogen).
Preparation of Labeled cDNA for Hybridization

For a single reaction, 15\(\mu\)g of DNase-treated (DNA-free, Ambion) RNA was mixed with 2\(\mu\)L of 50\(\mu\)M Oligo(dT)\(_{18}\) primer (5'-TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT TTT-3', IDT) and spiked with 0.5\(\mu\)L of Lucidea Universal ScoreCard control mRNA spike mix, which complemented the Lucidea DNA spotting samples. The mRNA spike mix contained ten individual controls at pre-determined concentrations that span 4.5 orders of magnitude for both the Cy3 and Cy5 channels, and eight ratio controls with target ratios of 1:3, 3:1, 1:10, and 10:1 at both high and low expression levels. The reaction mixture was incubated at 75°C for 7 minutes and then kept at room temperature. The remaining components of the reverse transcription reaction were added as follows: 2\(\mu\)L of 10 \(\times\) RT Buffer, 1\(\mu\)L of RNase Inhibitor (40U/\(\mu\)L), 1\(\mu\)L of dNTP mix without dTTP (containing 10 mM each of dATP, dCTP, and dGTP), 1\(\mu\)L of dTTP and aminoallyl dUTP mix (containing 10 mM each of dTTP and aminoallyl-dUTP, and Tris, pH 8.0), and 2\(\mu\)L of ArrayScript Reverse Transcriptase (Ambion). Aminoallyl cDNA was synthesized at 42°C for 2 hours. The template RNA was removed by heating at 65°C for 15 min with the addition of 4\(\mu\)L of 1M NaOH, and the reaction was neutralized by adding 10\(\mu\)L of 1M HEPES (pH 7.0). cDNA was purified by ethanol precipitation overnight at -20°C.

The precipitated cDNA was resuspended in 4.5\(\mu\)L Coupling Buffer (0.1M sodium bicarbonate, pH 9.0, stored at -20°C for up to 3 months), and then mixed with 3\(\mu\)L of 40 nmol of either Cy3 or Cy5 dye (GE Healthcare) in DMSO. The dye coupling reaction was incubated at room temperature for 60 min in the dark and briefly mixed every 15 min. The coupling reaction was terminated by the addition of 6\(\mu\)L of 4M hydroxylamine and the reaction was allowed to proceed for 15 min at room temperature in the dark. The aminoallyl labeled cDNA was purified using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The cDNA was concentrated by ethanol precipitation overnight at -20°C. The purified cDNA was resuspended in nuclease-free water and stored in the dark at -20°C. Exposure of the labeled cDNA to ambient light during
this and all subsequent steps was kept at a minimum to avoid photobleaching. Concentrations of labeled cDNA were measured using the NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (ND-1000). FOI, frequency of incorporation, was calculated as the number of cyanine-labeled nucleotides incorporated per 1000 nucleotides of cDNA to assess the sensitivity of the assay.

Array Hybridization and Washing

Prehybridization was done immediately preceding the application of the target cDNA onto the arrays. The preparation of the hybridization solutions was completed during the time arrays were prehybridized. Each time prehybridization buffer containing 50% formamide (Amresco, Solon, Ohio, USA), 5 × SSC, 0.1% SDS, and 0.1 mg/mL BSA was freshly made from stock and pre-warmed to 42°C. Arrays were immersed in prehybridization buffer and incubated at 42°C for 45 to 60 minutes. Prehybridized arrays were transferred to 0.1 × SSC and incubated at room temperature (22 to 25°C) for 5 minutes (twice). Next, arrays were incubated in DEPC-treated water at room temperature for 30 seconds, and then dried by centrifuging at 1,000 rpm for 3 minutes at room temperature and blowing compressed gas over the array. Arrays were kept in a dust-free environment while completing the preparation of the hybridization solution. Slides were used immediately following prehybridization to ensure optimal hybridization efficiency.

A loop design with direct and indirect comparisons was used for chronic exposure (Table 6). Each individual sample was hybridized to each of two different samples in two different dye orientations, and some direct sample-to-sample comparisons were used for comparing important samples.

The Cy3 and Cy5 labeled cDNAs were mixed in equal amounts measured as the number of pmoles/mixture (about 25 pmoles). The mixture was dried in the dark by using a Vacufuge (Eppendorf) for 1-2 hr, dissolved in 6 μl of nuclease-free water, denatured by heating at 95°C for 2 min, and then cooled on ice for 30 sec. The cDNA was mixed
Table 6: Loop design for comparison of normoxic (N) and chronic hypoxic (H) samples (0, 5, and 10 days; 6, 12, 24, and 48 hours). There were 3 pooled samples for each time point (n=3), for a total of 39 arrays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Array</th>
<th>Cy3</th>
<th>Cy5</th>
<th>Array</th>
<th>Cy3</th>
<th>Cy5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>N5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>H6</td>
<td>N6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>N5</td>
<td>H5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N6</td>
<td>N12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>H5</td>
<td>H10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N12</td>
<td>H12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>H10</td>
<td>N10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>H12</td>
<td>H24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>N10</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>H24</td>
<td>N24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>N24</td>
<td>N48</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>N48</td>
<td>H48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>H48</td>
<td>H6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

with 1.5 µl of oligonucleotide dA₈₀ (1µg/µl, GE Healthcare), incubated at 75°C for 45 min, and then added to pre-warmed (42°C) 7.5 µl of Microarray Hybridization Buffer (GE Healthcare) and 15 µl of 100% (v/v) formamide (Amresco).

Hybridization was carried out in a-Hyb Hybridization Station (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA, USA) equipped with four sealed chambers to hold four slides. After sealing, the hybridization chambers were individually programmed for the selected protocols. Hybridization buffer containing about 25 pmoles of the Cy3 and Cy5 labeled cDNAs was pipetted into sample reservoir, and slides were hybridized at 42°C for 16 hours in a humid hybridization chamber. After hybridization, the slides were rinsed in 2 × SSC and 0.1% SDS at 42°C for 5 minutes (twice). The slides were washed at room temperature in 0.1 × SSC with 0.1% SDS for 10 minutes, followed by five washes at room temperature in 0.1 × SSC for 1 minute, rinsed in 0.01 × SSC for 10 seconds at room temperature, and dried by centrifuging at 1000 × g for 3 minutes at room temperature and blowing compressed gas over the array. Slides were protected from light during hybridization and subsequent steps until ready to scan.
Image Analysis

Microarray slides were scanned using a Bio-Rad VersArray ChipReader (Bio-Rad). The excitation setting was at 635 nm (Cy5) and 532 nm (Cy3) and the images were scanned at 10 μm pixel resolution using a simultaneous dual-laser scanning system and captured in 16 bit TIFF (Tagged Image File Format) format.

The tab-delimited plate files containing the individual plate information about the samples in each well were generated using the BioRad VersArray ChipWriter. These files were converted to a standard GAL (GenePix Array List) file which includes the position and identity for each sample (Block, Column, Row, ID, and Name), the printing pattern, and the size of the spots. Initial Spot finding and quantification was carried out with GenePix Pro 6.1 microarray image analysis software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) using an automatic method in which each spot was checked for proper alignment within the grid. Finally, spot quantification was performed to obtain the expression level of each gene on the array and the results were saved as GPR (GenePix Results) files. Foreground intensities were background corrected using the morphological opening method in GenePix Pro 6.1. Ratio images were displayed at standard 24-bit composite RGB overlay images using the GenePix square root transformation method.

Data and Statistical Analyses

The data analysis was carried out using Acuity 4.0 microarray informatics system (Molecular Devices). Spots flagged as Bad, Not Found, or Absent were excluded from the analysis. For each spot, signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) was calculated using the following formula: \( \frac{(F_{635}\text{Mean} - B_{635}\text{Mean})}{B_{635}\text{SD}} \), where \( F_{635}\text{Mean} \) is the mean of all the feature pixel intensities at 635 nm, \( B_{635}\text{Mean} \) is the mean of all the background pixel intensities at 635 nm, and \( B_{635}\text{SD} \) is the standard deviation of the background pixel intensities at 635 nm. A SNR threshold of 3 is established as a measure of detectability for each channel, and any spots which were less than 3 were marked as bad and excluded from
downstream analysis. Several quality control conditions, such as spots with only a small percentage of saturated pixels, spots with relatively uniform intensity and background, intensities larger than the negative controls on the array, were established to select spots for further analysis. The data were then imported into R (R Development Core Team, 2008) and normalized using weighted LOWESS in LIMMA (version 2.7) (Oshlack et al., 2007) and controls before calculating the gene expression log2 ratios.

To make full use of the within-array spots, the residual maximum likelihood model (REML) from LIMMA (Smyth et al., 2005) was used to estimate the spatial correlation between the adjacent four spots. This approach calculated a separate linear model to the expression data of each gene and a common correlation for all the genes for between within-array replicates.

Given the normalized data, the significance of differential expression between time points was determined using the empirical Bayes (Smyth, 2004) method to shrink the gene-wise sample variances towards a common value. Resulting statistics were corrected for multiple hypothesis testing using Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rates (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) and significant changes in gene expression were identified at $p < 0.05$. Significance test was performed with hypoxia vs. normoxia samples at the same time point.

Hierarchical clustering was performed on the most differentially expressed genes ($p < 0.05$) using two-way hierarchical cluster analysis in R. The uncertainty in the hierarchical clustering analysis was assessed using the pvclust package. The significant clusters were calculated using multiscale bootstrap resampling (alpha=0.95).

To identify the biological process and functions changed by exposures, the differentially expressed genes were classified into three Gene Ontology (GO) categories (Ashburner et al., 2000) using GOSTats package from R, and annotated by genome-wide Drosophila melanogaster annotation package available from org.Dm.eg.db. This organism-specific package provided detailed information and mappings among different identifiers.
that they are directly associated with.

Pathway analysis was carried out according to KEGG mapping (Kanehisa et al., 2006). Custom scripts were used to create pathway categories of *Drosophila* from http://www.genome.jp/kegg/KGML/KGML_v0.6/dme/ and map *Drosophila* identifiers to KEGG pathways.

Several genes from the arrays were selected for gene quantification using quantitative real-time PCR to check for consistency in gene expression patterns observed with the microarrays.

*Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qPCR) and Statistical Analysis*

Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on cDNA generated from 1µg of RNA obtained from the same pooled RNA samples used in the microarray study. cDNA was generated by reverse transcription using Superscript II RNase H~−~ Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and random hexamers (50ng/µL). Primers (Table 7) were designed to amplify a short fragment (100-150 bp) using Beacon Designer (PREMIER Biosoft, Palo Alto, CA, USA), and synthesized by IDT (Coralville, IA, USA). Real-time PCR was performed using the iCycler iQ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). 50 µl qPCR reactions using Bio-Rad iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) containing 10µM forward and reverse primers, and cDNA template. To generate a standard curve and determine PCR efficiency, cDNA was pooled and 1:1, 1:5, 1:25, 1:125, 1:625, and 1:3125 dilutions were made. Individual samples were diluted (1:25) and amplification performed in triplicate in a 96 well PCR plate (Bio-Rad). Relative quantities of each transcript were determined using grass shrimp 18s rRNA as the internal standard. Gene expression data for each time point are expressed as fold change relative to the mean of the same-day controls. The following PCR program was used for gene amplification: 95°C for 2 min; 95°C for 15 sec and 58°C for 15 sec (50 cycles); 72°C for 20 sec, followed by a melt curve.

Melt curve analysis was performed to ensure single products by using a temperature step
gradient from 55°C to 80°C in 0.5°C increments with fluorescence measured after a 10 sec incubation at each temperature. The threshold cycle values (Ct) for each sample was calculated using the iCycler iQ Real Time Detection software (Bio-Rad). For each standard curve, the correlation coefficient ranged from 0.995 to 0.999 indicating a high degree of confidence for the measurement of the copy number of molecules in the samples. The relative starting concentration was calculated using a standard curve for each primer set and then normalized to the internal control 18S rRNA (Bio-Rad).

The sequence analysis was carried out using EMBOSS (Rice et al., 2000), and statistical analysis was performed using R (R Development Core Team, 2008). The data are shown as mean ± S.E.M. For qPCR, statistical differences in data sets between the control and treated groups were determined using a one sample Student’s t-test (n=3 independent samples) against the baseline value of 1 (equal gene expression in both samples). Data was log-transformed for homogeneity of variance where necessary. Significance level was set at p <0.05.

Results

Water Quality Parameters and Grass Shrimp

The average DO concentration during chronic hypoxia was 1.59 ± 0.24 (mg/L). The average length and weight (without eggs) of control grass shrimp and shrimp exposed to chronic hypoxia were 36.30 ± 2.39 (mm) and 378.00 (mg), and 36.85 ± 2.50 (mm) and 380.35 (mg), respectively. At the end of the exposure hepatopancreas tissues were dissected from grass shrimp, weighed, and stored in 1 mL RNALater at -20°C. The mean hepatopancreas weight of control shrimp and shrimp exposed to chronic hypoxia was 8.82 and 8.41 (mg), respectively.
Table 7: Summary of qPCR primers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>Forward</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18s RNA</td>
<td>5'-GCA ATT CGC CGT CGT TAT TCC-3'</td>
<td>5'-ACT CCT GGT GGT GCC CTT C-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vitellogenin</td>
<td>16A-E12.g</td>
<td>5'-CTG GTG TCT TTA CTT CTA 'TAA 'TCC-3'</td>
<td>5'-CGT TCA TCG GCT GTG AAG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cathepsin L</td>
<td>16A-H05.g</td>
<td>5'-CAG CGG TTT AAT TGG AGG AG-3'</td>
<td>5'-CGA AGC GGC AGG TAT TGG C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phospholipase carboxykinase</td>
<td>16B-C06.g</td>
<td>5'-TCC AAA GTT GTA GCC AAA GAA G-3'</td>
<td>5'-GAG ACA CGG AGT AAT GGT AAG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemocyanin 2</td>
<td>16B-F08.g</td>
<td>5'-AAG TAG TTT CTT CTT GAT GAG GAG-3'</td>
<td>5'-AGA CAG GAG AGT TTA TGA TGA AAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytochrome c oxidase subunit I</td>
<td>18A-H01.g</td>
<td>5'-ATG ATT GGA GGA TTT GGA AAC TG-3'</td>
<td>5'-CTG GAG AGT AGA AGT GTG AGA G-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chloride intracellular channel 6-like protein</td>
<td>41A-H08.g</td>
<td>5'-TTG CGA AGG TGG GTC ATT AG-3'</td>
<td>5'-CAG GAT AAG GAT GTG GCT CAG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemocyanin</td>
<td>41C-G09.g</td>
<td>5'-CGT TCT TAA TGT CAA TGC TGT ATG-3'</td>
<td>5'-TCT TGT TAA TGG TGC TGT GGA TAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferredoxin</td>
<td>45A-B01.g</td>
<td>5'-ACT CGT GGG ACA GAT ATT ATG C-3'</td>
<td>5'-GAG GTT GGT CAG TCG TGT AG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kinase</td>
<td>45B-A07.g</td>
<td>5'-ATC TCT GTG GAT AAT GAG GTC TCT G-3'</td>
<td>5'-ATA ATA CGT GCA GTC TGC CGT AG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crustapain</td>
<td>45B-A11.g</td>
<td>5'-GTG TCT TCC AAT GAC CTC AGT C-3'</td>
<td>5'-CCT CGG AAT CAA CGC CTA C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dioxygenase</td>
<td>45B-B03.g</td>
<td>5'-TGG TGG ACG TGT GAA GAG-3'</td>
<td>5'-AAT CTT GCC AGG TCC ATT CC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chitinase 1</td>
<td>45B-B06.g</td>
<td>5'-ACA GAA GGA GTA GAT GAG ATG AG-3'</td>
<td>5'-TTC GTG TGT AGC AGT GAG TG-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Array Construction

The maximum volume of total RNA allowed in the reverse transcription is 11μL. 15μg of total RNA was needed in a single reaction, so the concentrations of samples must be 1.5μg/μl or higher. Ethanol precipitated RNA samples were measured using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (ND-1000). The RNA concentration was 2.37 ± 0.34 μg/μl. The ratios of 260/280 and 260/230 were 2.13 ± 0.01 and 2.27 ± 0.03, respectively. No RNA degradation was observed as evidenced by running diluted samples on RNA Nano Chips (Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100). The same RNA samples were used for microarray and qPCR. After synthesis and purification, the absorbance of labeled cDNA was measured at 260, 550, and 650 nm, and used to calculate the frequency of incorporation (FOI, the number of cyanine-labeled nucleotides incorporated per 1000 nucleotides of cDNA). The average FOI for Cy3 and Cy5 was 22.93 and 16.91, respectively.

The array was constructed from cDNA fragments generated from grass shrimp exposed to environmental stress: moderate (DO 2.5 mg/L) and severe (1.5 mg/L) hypoxia, cyclic hypoxia (1.5→7 mg/L), contaminant-induced stress (pyrene and copper), and biological stress (molt). The average length of the cDNA was 476 base pairs (bp), and these sequences were annotated by BLAST searches against the public protein databases. The genes chosen for the array were broadly categorized into functional groups including binding, catalytic activity, metabolism, transporter activity, and structural molecule activity (See Chapter 3).

Self Hybridization

The same pooled RNA samples were reversed transcribed separately in the presence of aminoallyl-UTP. Each cDNA was independently labeled with Cy3 and Cy5. 25 pmoles of cyanine labeled cDNAs were mixed and hybridized to three replicate arrays. The self-self hybridization was performed to calculate the ratio between raw intensities of red and green channels among different slides (Figure 10). Ratios of background
subtracted red (Figure 10A) or green (Figure 10B) mean intensities from different slides are roughly equal to one (slope=0.98 and $R^2=0.87$ for red channels, and slope=1.06 and $R^2=0.88$ for green channels). Large portion of spots are located at the low part of intensities, whereas the spots were spread out at the high end of intensities. The intensities from different slides generally have the same intensity range for each channel. If considering the batch effects of slides, printing, and dye labeling, the method of microarray data collection in this study does accurately maintain the original microarray (intensity) information, and high reproducibility of intensities across slides were consistent during the experiment. Further analysis with normalized data showed no differentially expressed genes from self-self hybridization.

**Control Hybridization**

Additional hybridizations were set up to quantitatively assess the correlation between different amounts of the labeled sample and signal intensity. Two pooled RNA samples were reverse-transcribed separately in the presence of aminoallyl-UTP, and each cDNA was labeled with Cy3 and Cy5, respectively. Different amount of labeled samples, 0.5 pmole, 2.5 pmole, 5 pmole, and 25 pmole, were mixed and hybridized on microarrays. For 0.5 pmole dyes, the maximum intensities were less than 600 and 100 for red and green channels, respectively. More meaningful data were generated starting from 5 pmoles. Figure 11 shows individual selected spots with high raw intensities at 25pmole and their corresponding intensities at other concentrations in the red channel (Figure 11A) and green channel (Figure 11B). No individual intensity was saturated at the above concentrations. Since signal intensities measured from arrays hybridized with low pmole samples appear to be unreliable, hybridizations were performed with 25 pmole Cy3/Cy5-labeled samples which provided a better data quality and a clear visual picture in this study.
Figure 10: Ratios of background subtracted red (A) or green (B) mean intensities from different slides for self hybridization.
Figure 11: Individual intensities of red (A) and green (B) channel at different hybridization concentrations.
Chronic Hypoxia Exposures

This study determined differentially expressed genes at a p value of less than 0.05 that occurred in hypoxic vs. normoxic groups at the same time point (Table 8). All sequences on the arrays were annotated (see Chapter 3) by similarity search against the non-redundant protein database (nr) and Swiss-Prot database using BLAST algorithm (Altschul et al., 1997). Combined with the annotation tables, Table 9 shows the differentially expressed genes with BLAST E value less than 1E-5 in hypoxic vs. normoxic groups at the same time point.

Observed changes in gene expression revealed a rather dynamic pattern (Table 8). The initial response to hypoxia is an up-regulation of gene expression. A total of 29 genes were up-regulated after 6 hours of hypoxic exposure, whereas none were down-regulated. Only 6 hours later, a dramatic down-regulation of 47 genes, including several hemocyanin genes, was observed, whereas only 2 genes were up-regulated. After 24 hours there is another reversal with 19 genes, including several hemocyanin genes, being up-regulated and none down-regulated. 34 and 22 genes are up-regulated after 2 and 5 days, respectively. After 10 days exposed to chronic hypoxia treatment, 24 genes are down-regulated and 6 up-regulated.

Table 8: Differentially expressed genes during chronic hypoxia exposures at the same time points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chronic Hypoxia vs. Normoxia</th>
<th>Time (Hours)</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>48</th>
<th>120</th>
<th>240</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up-regulated</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down-regulated</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cluster analysis of the 100 most differentially expressed genes (p <0.05) with BLAST E value less than 1E-5 confirmed the patterns described above. The heat map shown in Figure 12 represents the chronic hypoxia-regulated genes, clustered by the cor-
relation coefficient according to their similarity in expression pattern by gene and by treatments (time exposed to chronic hypoxia). The response to chronic hypoxia appears to have two patterns, one composed of an up-regulated dominated cluster, including H6, H24, and H120, the other composed of a down-regulated dominated cluster, including H12, H48, and H240. Within the two “overall” clusters H24 was most similar to H120 and H48 to H240. Multiscale bootstrap resampling shows that none of the genes clusters significantly (alpha=0.95).

Venn diagrams were used to display the differentially expressed genes that were up- or down-regulated during chronic hypoxic exposure (Figure 13). There is no gene up- or down-regulated common to all six groups. However, there are some genes whose expression is commonly changed in two or three groups. Lysosomal thiol reductase [Amblonyx americanum] and hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei] are the common down-regulated genes in H12 and H240. A total of 12 genes, including vitellogenin [Macrobrachium rosenbergii] and serine protease SP24D precursor [Drosophila pseudoobscura] are shared between H12 and H48. Vitellogenin [Macrobrachium rosenbergii] and trachea-less [Tribolium castaneum] are unique genes in H48 (Figure 13A).

In contrast to 12 hours hypoxia exposure, hemocyanin transcription is up-regulated after 24, 48, and 120 hours. Specifically, hemocyanin 2 [Pacifastacus leniusculus] is up-regulated in the H24, H48, and H120 groups, whereas two other hemocyanin genes, closely related to Litopenaeus vannamei and Penaeus monodon hemocyanin, are up-regulated in H24 and H48 (Figure 13B). In addition, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase [Litopenaeus vannamei] is up-regulated after long-term hypoxia exposure (H120 and H240 groups). Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I [Metapenaeopsis barbata] and C-type lectin [Litopenaeus vannamei] are unique genes in H12 and H240, respectively (Figure 13B).
Table 9: Differentially expressed genes in hypoxia vs. normoxia at the same time points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic DO: Hypoxia vs. Normoxia 6 hrs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up-regulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37D-D07.g</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>vitellogenin [Cherax quadricarinatus]</td>
<td>8.00E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35B-F07.g</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>H/ACA ribonucleoprotein complex subunit 4 [Danio rerio]</td>
<td>3.00E-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18A-H01.g</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>cytochrome c oxidase subunit I [Macrobrachium rosenbergii]</td>
<td>1.00E-116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18A-G02.g</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>ferritin subunit (glycosylated) [Nilaparvata lugens]</td>
<td>8.00E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic DO: Hypoxia vs. Normoxia 12 hrs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up-regulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39B-D07.g</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>cytochrome oxidase subunit I [Metapenaeopsis barbata]</td>
<td>2.00E-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>down-regulated</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin Clone 215</td>
<td>-2.99</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>actin 2 [Heterorhabditis bacteriophora]</td>
<td>1.00E-31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

continued on next page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37B-A01.g</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin alpha-subunit [Homarus americanus]</td>
<td>1.00E-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C-H07.g</td>
<td>-1.76</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>ATP synthase beta chain [Caenorhabditis briggsae]</td>
<td>3.00E-47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37D-H04.g</td>
<td>-1.67</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>vitellogenin [Macrobrachium rosenbergii]</td>
<td>1.00E-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39B-G12.g</td>
<td>-1.41</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>serine protease SP24D precursor [Drosophila pseudoobscura]</td>
<td>1.00E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37D-E12.g</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase [Nephrops norvegicus]</td>
<td>3.00E-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45Rev2-A12.g</td>
<td>-1.34</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>vitellogenin [Macrobrachium rosenbergii]</td>
<td>4.00E-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-D10.g</td>
<td>-1.17</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Palaemonetes pugio]</td>
<td>2.00E-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-D04.g</td>
<td>-1.11</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamai]</td>
<td>3.00E-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-F10.g</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>7.00E-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C-B02.g</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>hemocyanin 2 [Pacifastacus leniusculus]</td>
<td>1.00E-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37D-G08.g</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>2.00E-66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A-H06.g</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamai]</td>
<td>3.00E-74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-A02.g</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>2.00E-66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-H05.g</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>cathepsin L [Pandalus borealis]</td>
<td>1.00E-106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A-C08.g</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>gamma-interferon inducible lysosomal thiol reductase [Amblyomma americanum]</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-A11.g</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>2.00E-75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 9 – continued from previous page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41C-B09.g</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>1.00E-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-G09.g</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>5.00E-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-G08.g</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>7.00E-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18A-H01.g</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>cytochrome c oxidase subunit I [Macrobrachium rosenbergii]</td>
<td>1.00E-116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18F-F01.g</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>2.00E-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-C02.g</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>cathepsin L [Pandalus borealis]</td>
<td>2.00E-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A-H08.g</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>chloride intracellular channel 6-like protein [Aedes aegypti]</td>
<td>2.00E-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-G01.g</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>glucoamylase GLU1 (Glucan 1,4-alpha-glucosidase)</td>
<td>3.00E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A-G07.g</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>cathepsin L [Pandalus borealis]</td>
<td>1.00E-106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chronic DO: Hypoxia vs. Normoxia 24 hrs

up-regulated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41C-A02.g</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>2.00E-53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-D04.g</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>3.00E-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-B09.g</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>1.00E-72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

continued on next page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41C-G09.g</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>5.00E-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-A02.g</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>2.00E-66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-F10.g</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>7.00E-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-E06.g</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>8.00E-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-F08.g</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>hemocyanin 2 [Pacifastacus leniusculus]</td>
<td>2.00E-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18F-F01.g</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>2.00E-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39B-F04.g</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>C-type lectin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>1.00E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued on next page
Table 9 – continued from previous page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37B-G08.g</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>7.00E-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-F10.g</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>7.00E-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-A11.g</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>2.00E-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-D04.g</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>3.00E-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-H05.g</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>cathepsin L [Pandalus borealis]</td>
<td>1.00E-106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-D04.g</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>vitellogenin [Macrobrachium rosenbergii]</td>
<td>1.00E-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-C02.g</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>cathepsin L [Pandalus borealis]</td>
<td>2.00E-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45A-A07.g</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>guanine nucleotide-binding protein gamma subunit [Sitobion avenae]</td>
<td>3.00E-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-H12.g</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>heme-binding protein 2 [Balanus amphitrite]</td>
<td>3.00E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18A-G02.g</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>ferritin subunit (glycosylated) [Nilaparvata lugens]</td>
<td>8.00E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**down-regulated**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRH Clone 174</td>
<td>-1.83</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>tracheal [Tribolium castaneum]</td>
<td>1.00E-114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45Rev2-A10.g</td>
<td>-1.60</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>vitellogenin [Macrobrachium rosenbergii]</td>
<td>1.00E-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39B-G12.g</td>
<td>-1.22</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>serine protease SP24D precursor [Drosophila pseudoobscura]</td>
<td>1.00E-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-E12.g</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>vitellogenin [Cherax quadricarinatus]</td>
<td>6.00E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

continued on next page
Table 9 – continued from previous page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic DO: Hypoxia vs. Normoxia 120 hrs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up-regulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45Rev2-A12.g</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>vitellogenin [Macrobrachium rosenbergii]</td>
<td>4.00E-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35B-F07.g</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>H/ACA ribonucleoprotein complex subunit 4 [Danio rerio]</td>
<td>3.00E-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45A-A11.g</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>hemocyanin 2 [Pacifastacus leniusculus]</td>
<td>1.00E-103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37D-E12.g</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase [Nephrops norvegicus]</td>
<td>3.00E-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-C06.g</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>4.00E-91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-F08.g</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>hemocyanin 2 [Pacifastacus leniusculus]</td>
<td>2.00E-46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chronic DO: Hypoxia vs. Normoxia 240 hrs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>up-regulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39D-G11.g</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>C-type lectin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>3.00E-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-C06.g</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>4.00E-91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>down-regulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37D-G06.g</td>
<td>-1.53</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>asparaginyl endopeptidase [Xenopus laevis]</td>
<td>2.00E-39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-G09.g</td>
<td>-1.46</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>5.00E-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-E06.g</td>
<td>-1.33</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>8.00E-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A-F07.g</td>
<td>-1.16</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>ATP synthase coupling factor 6 [Anopheles gambiae]</td>
<td>1.00E-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A-C08.g</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>gamma-interferon inducible lysosomal thiol reductase [Amblyomma americanum]</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A-G01.g</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>chitinase [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>7.00E-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-C01.g</td>
<td>-0.91</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>oxidoreductase [Anopheles gambiae]</td>
<td>2.00E-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-B07.g</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>chitinase [Marsupenaeus japonicus]</td>
<td>5.00E-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-D06.g</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>acetylcholine receptor protein [Tribolium castaneum]</td>
<td>9.00E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-A09.g</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>protein pelota [Tribolium castaneum]</td>
<td>2.00E-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-A07.g</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>polo-like kinase 1 [Drosophila melanogaster] (PLK1)</td>
<td>3.00E-86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Gene Ontology and KEGG**

The differentially expressed genes identified at all time points during chronic DO exposure were annotated by sequence similarity comparison against the genomic *Drosophila melanogaster* RefSeq databases with BLAST algorithm (BLASTX and BLASTN) (Altschul et al., 1997). The matched RefSeq identifiers were mapped to the corresponding Entrez Gene identifiers and GO terms assigned in org.Dm.eg.db package. GOstats package from R was employed to assign probable GO terms to all annotated genes. A total of 291, 129, and 219 genes were assigned to the three main groups in GO: biological process, cellular components, and molecular function. Table 10 lists the detailed assignment of genes to GO functional categories. Only GO terms that have at least 2 genes assigned to them are shown.

Table 10: Distribution of differentially expressed genes into different GO categories. Only GO terms with at least two genes assigned to are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biological Process</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transport</td>
<td>GO:0006810</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metabolic process</td>
<td>GO:0008152</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defense response</td>
<td>GO:0006952</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proteolysis</td>
<td>GO:0006508</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitotic spindle organization and biogenesis</td>
<td>GO:0007052</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein catabolic process</td>
<td>GO:0030163</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>salivary gland cell autophagic cell death</td>
<td>GO:0035071</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>autophagic cell death</td>
<td>GO:0048102</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>translation</td>
<td>GO:0006412</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lipid transport</td>
<td>GO:0006869</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mitotic spindle elongation</td>
<td>GO:0000022</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytokinesis</td>
<td>GO:0000910</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitochondrial electron transport, cytochrome c to oxygen</td>
<td>GO:0006123</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent</td>
<td>GO:0006355</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phagocytosis, engulfment</td>
<td>GO:0006911</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitosis</td>
<td>GO:0007067</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aerobic respiration</td>
<td>GO:0009060</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP synthesis coupled proton transport</td>
<td>GO:0015986</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proton transport</td>
<td>GO:0015992</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sleep</td>
<td>GO:0030431</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ribosome biogenesis and assembly</td>
<td>GO:0042254</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meiotic spindle organization and biogenesis</td>
<td>GO:0000212</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nuclear mRNA splicing, via spliceosome</td>
<td>GO:0000398</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pseudouridine synthesis</td>
<td>GO:0001522</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chitin metabolic process</td>
<td>GO:0006030</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chitin catabolic process</td>
<td>GO:0006032</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gluconeogenesis</td>
<td>GO:0006094</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter</td>
<td>GO:0006357</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rRNA processing</td>
<td>GO:0006364</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein amino acid phosphorylation</td>
<td>GO:0006468</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iron ion transport</td>
<td>GO:0006826</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cellular iron ion homeostasis</td>
<td>GO:0006879</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytoskeleton organization and biogenesis</td>
<td>GO:0007010</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actin filament organization</td>
<td>GO:0007015</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male meiosis</td>
<td>GO:0007140</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cell adhesion</td>
<td>GO:0007155</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>germ cell development</td>
<td>GO:0007281</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>germarium-derived egg chamber formation</td>
<td>GO:0007293</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>germarium-derived oocyte fate determination</td>
<td>GO:0007294</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>axon guidance</td>
<td>GO:0007411</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>open tracheal system development</td>
<td>GO:0007424</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>visual perception</td>
<td>GO:0007601</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>visual behavior</td>
<td>GO:0007632</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein localization</td>
<td>GO:0008104</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tRNA pseudouridine synthesis</td>
<td>GO:0031119</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>olfactory behavior</td>
<td>GO:0042048</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phototaxis</td>
<td>GO:0042331</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apical protein localization</td>
<td>GO:0045176</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male courtship behavior, veined wing generated song production</td>
<td>GO:0045433</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neuron development</td>
<td>GO:0048666</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dendrite morphogenesis</td>
<td>GO:0048813</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>asymmetric neuroblast division</td>
<td>GO:0055059</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cellular Component

| extracellular region                                                   | GO:0005576     | 10     |
| nucleus                                                                 | GO:0005634     | 9      |
| lipid particle                                                          | GO:0005811     | 9      |
| mitochondrion                                                           | GO:0005739     | 7      |
| larval serum protein complex                                            | GO:0005616     | 5      |
| cytoplasm                                                               | GO:0005737     | 5      |
| lysosome                                                                | GO:0005764     | 5      |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>membrane</td>
<td>GO:0016020</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>integral to membrane</td>
<td>GO:0016021</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitochondrial respiratory chain complex IV</td>
<td>GO:0005751</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extracellular space</td>
<td>GO:0005615</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intracellular</td>
<td>GO:0005622</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nucleolus</td>
<td>GO:0005730</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitochondrial inner membrane</td>
<td>GO:0005743</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plasma membrane</td>
<td>GO:0005886</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>integral to plasma membrane</td>
<td>GO:0005887</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytosolic large ribosomal subunit</td>
<td>GO:0022625</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kinetochore</td>
<td>GO:0000776</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spliceosome</td>
<td>GO:0005681</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitochondrial proton-transporting ATP synthase, central stalk</td>
<td>GO:0005756</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ferritin complex</td>
<td>GO:0008043</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Molecular Function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>oxygen transporter activity</td>
<td>GO:0005344</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein binding</td>
<td>GO:0005515</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zinc ion binding</td>
<td>GO:0008270</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxidoreductase activity</td>
<td>GO:0016491</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nucleotide binding</td>
<td>GO:0000166</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cathepsin L activity</td>
<td>GO:0004217</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nutrient reservoir activity</td>
<td>GO:0045735</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nucleic acid binding</td>
<td>GO:0003676</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transcription factor activity</td>
<td>GO:0003700</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNA binding</td>
<td>GO:0003723</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA binding</td>
<td>GO:0003729</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytochrome-c oxidase activity</td>
<td>GO:0004129</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lipid transporter activity</td>
<td>GO:0005319</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iron ion binding</td>
<td>GO:0005506</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calcium ion binding</td>
<td>GO:0005509</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP binding</td>
<td>GO:0005524</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chitin binding</td>
<td>GO:0008061</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heme binding</td>
<td>GO:0020037</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>structural constituent of ribosome</td>
<td>GO:0003735</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actin binding</td>
<td>GO:0003779</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>binding</td>
<td>GO:0005488</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lipid binding</td>
<td>GO:0008289</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hydrogen-exporting ATPase activity, phosphorylative mechanism</td>
<td>GO:0008553</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cation binding</td>
<td>GO:0043169</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hydrogen ion transporting ATP synthase activity, rotational mechanism</td>
<td>GO:0046933</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA polymerase II transcription factor activity</td>
<td>GO:0003702</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA 3'-UTR binding</td>
<td>GO:0003730</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>catalytic activity</td>
<td>GO:0003824</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endonuclease activity</td>
<td>GO:0004519</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chitinase activity</td>
<td>GO:0004568</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (GTP) activity</td>
<td>GO:0004613</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein kinase activity</td>
<td>GO:0004672</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein serine/threonine kinase activity</td>
<td>GO:0004674</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pseudouridylate synthase activity</td>
<td>GO:0004730</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GTP binding</td>
<td>GO:0005525</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poly-pyrimidine tract binding</td>
<td>GO:0008187</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ferrous iron binding</td>
<td>GO:0008198</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ferric iron binding</td>
<td>GO:0008199</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>electron carrier activity</td>
<td>GO:0009055</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tRNA-pseudouridine synthase activity</td>
<td>GO:0016439</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein homodimerization activity</td>
<td>GO:0042803</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sequence-specific DNA binding</td>
<td>GO:0043565</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chronic DO regulated the expression of genes associated with a broad range of biological processes. The most abundant groups of genes were associated with transport, metabolic process, defense response, and proteolysis. Some genes were involved in lipid transport, aerobic respiration, iron ion transport and homeostasis, and mitochondrial electron transport (cytochrome c to oxygen). Chronic DO also altered the expression of genes in a variety of cellular component locations, with extracellular region, nucleus, lipid particle, and mitochondrion genes being the top four groups. Additional genes were listed as mitochondrial respiratory chain complex IV, mitochondrial inner membrane, and ferritin complex. According to molecular functions, 33 genes were assigned to oxygen transport activity. Additional genes were mapped to GO binding terms, such as nucleotide binding, protein binding, DNA binding, ATP binding, and various ion bindings.

The Entrez Gene identifiers assigned in org.Dm.eg.db package were used to map the corresponding computed gene (CG) accession numbers in FlyBase. Custom scripts were used to retrieve pathway ID and descriptions associated with CG numbers. The differentially expressed genes were mapped to KEGG metabolic and regulatory pathways according to the gene distribution in Drosophila pathway database. Oxidative phosphorylation/Citrate cycle and Ribosome were the most abundant categories for chronic DO.
Figure 12: Hierarchical clustering using the differentially expressed genes (p < 0.05) in hypoxia vs. normoxia at the same time points.
Figure 13: Venn diagram of chronic hypoxia vs. normoxia at the same time points, down-regulated (A) and up-regulated genes (B) genes.
exposure (Figure 14).

qPCR

Gene expression levels of selected genes that were significantly up- or down-regulated ($p < 0.05$) after 12 and 48 hours of chronic hypoxia exposure according to microarray analysis were also measured using qPCR. Grass shrimp 18S rRNA was used as internal standard and gene expression data for each time point are shown in Table 11. Of the 19 genes examined, the change in expression of 17 genes corresponded in direction (up or down) to the change observed on the microarrays, the expression of 1 was unaltered whereas another was down on microarrays but up according to PCR. However none of the changes measured by qPCR was statistically significant ($p=0.05$).

Discussion

The first aim of this study was to determine whether HIF 1α expression can be used as biomarker to monitor the oxygen stress in aquatic organism. However, four HIF 1α clones don’t show differentially expression during chronic hypoxia exposures. Similarly, early studies using custom cDNA macroarray also showed there was no significant difference among the expression levels of HIF 1α under normoxic, moderate (2.5 ppm DO), and severe (1.5 ppm DO) chronic hypoxia (Li and Brouwer, 2007, Chapter 3).
Figure 14: Pie chart of KEGG pathways.
Table 11: Summary of qPCR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td>Array</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td>Array</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vitellogenin</td>
<td>16A-E12</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td>0.683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cathepsin L</td>
<td>16A-H05</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>1.066</td>
<td>1.548</td>
<td>1.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase</td>
<td>16B-C06</td>
<td>0.563</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>2.022</td>
<td>2.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemocyanin 2</td>
<td>16B-F08</td>
<td>0.454</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytochrome c oxidase subunit I</td>
<td>18A-H01</td>
<td>0.456</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chloride intracellular channel 6-like protein</td>
<td>41A-H08</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>1.635</td>
<td>2.380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemocyanin</td>
<td>41C-G09</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferredoxin</td>
<td>45A-B01</td>
<td>1.238</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.311</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kinase</td>
<td>45B-A07</td>
<td>2.406</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.328</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crustapain</td>
<td>45B-A11</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.635</td>
<td>2.380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dioxygenase</td>
<td>45B-B03</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.434</td>
<td>2.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chitinase 1</td>
<td>45B-B06</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.211</td>
<td>2.270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Beta-actin and tracheless characterized from previous studies are the only genes that are significantly down-regulated at H12 and H48, respectively. BLASTX search against non-redundant protein database (nr) revealed 74% identity with protein tracheless from *Tribolium castaneum* (red flour beetle, XP_967112). The fragment of grass shrimp tracheless on the microarray encoded 291 amino acids without start and stop codons. It shows a high level of conservation with other tracheless proteins in PAS domains (Li and Brouwer, 2007). The PAS-A (64-116 AA) shows 86% identity with PAS-A from *Drosophila melanogaster* tracheless (AAA96754), and PAS-B (235-291 AA) domain is most similar to that of *Tribolium castaneum* (78%, XP_967112).

Like HIF 1α, tracheless protein is also a member of bHLH-PAS family (Isaac and Andrew, 1996). PAS domains are recognized as the signaling domains widely distributed in proteins from archaea, eubacteria, fungi, plants, insects, and vertebrates. PAS proteins are always located intracellularly, and can monitor the external and internal environments simultaneously, including changes in oxygen, light, redox potential, other stimuli, and overall energy level of a cell (Glagolev, 1980; Baryshev et al., 1981; Taylor, 1983). However, the mechanism of PAS regulation has not been determined. Oxygen is both a terminal acceptor for oxidative phosphorylation with high ATP yield, and a toxic agent that forms harmful reactive free radicals when partially reduced. It will be interesting to determine whether the down-regulated tracheless gene at 48 hours is caused by PAS domains, which may serve as an early warning system for any reduction in cellular energy levels by detecting changes in the electron transport system.

It is rather remarkable that grass shrimp appear to respond so differently over-time to chronic hypoxia. Different softwares were used to analyze microarray data, and comparison of chronic hypoxia induced transcription profiles across the six different time points by unsupervised hierarchical clustering showed similar patterns as illustrated in Figure 12; one up-regulated dominated cluster, including H6, H24, and H120, and one down-regulated dominated cluster, including H12, H48, and H240. Additional analysis
indicated that the response to chronic hypoxia was pronounced and transient at the above experimental time points; grass shrimp don’t respond gradually to chronic exposure. Additional cluster analyses performed on three replicate samples at each time point reveal the similarity in transcription profiling among the replicate shrimp at each time point, illustrating the high reproducibility of gene response among individual sample exposed to chronic hypoxia. Interestingly, Brouwer et al. (2007) also observed that the up-regulation of both mitochondrial and Fe-metabolism genes at day 7 was completely reversed by day 14.

Since large portions of potential transcripts generated from subtractive libraries don’t have significant hits against different databases, more differentially expressed sequence tags show up in Venn diagrams than annotated tables. The maximum number of regulated genes occurs at 12 and 48 hours with 47 being down-regulated at 12 hours and 34 up-regulated at 48 hours. Both H12 and H48 are in the down-regulated cluster with 12 genes shared between them. Hemocyanin genes, ATP synthase beta chain \([Caenorhabditis briggsae]\), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase \([Nephrops norvegicus]\), vitellogenin \([Macrobrachium rosenbergii]\), cytochrome c oxidase subunit I \([Macrobrachium rosenbergii]\), and glucoamylase GLU1 (Glucan 1,4-alpha-glucosidase) are the unique down-regulated genes in H12. Generally, more genes are down-regulated than up-regulated at the above experimental time points, and few genes were altered after 120 hours. Thus, hemocyanin genes, ATP synthase, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase, vitellogenin, cytochrome c oxidase subunit I, Lysosomal thiol reductase, and C-type lectin may be used as molecular indicators at certain time points of chronic hypoxia treatment in grass shrimp (Brouwer et al., 2007). However, changes of significant genes are too dynamic to serve as biomarkers of hypoxia stress in grass shrimp.

All putative transcripts on microarrays were selected by the E values of sequence similarity search against protein databases, however, few clones also show a high nucleotide similarity with 18S and 28S subunit ribosomal RNA genes related to \(Palaemon-\)
ete vulgaris, Palaemonetes paludosus, and Palaemon serenus. Since these clones were derived from SSH libraries, prepared from mRNA purified from total RNA by 2 binding steps on oligo(dT) columns, this suggest that grass shrimp 28S and 18S rRNA may have poly-A tails just as mRNA. In this study, the RNA used for microarray was reverse-transcribed with Oligo(dT)\textsubscript{18} primer, while the same RNA was amplified in the presence of random hexamers for qPCR. For microarray, 18S and 28S rRNA appears to be up-regulated after 6, 24, and 120 hours, and down-regulated after 12 and 24 hours. None of them show up after 10 days. Similar up- and down-regulated 16S mitochondrial rRNA was observed after 7- and 14-day exposure to chronic hypoxia (Brouwer et al., 2007). For qPCR, grass shrimp 18S rRNA was constantly expressed as evidenced by highly reproducible constant C\textsubscript{T} value. Eukaryotic mRNA is transcribed and then polyadenylated at the 3' end by poly-A polymerase upon termination of transcription of the primary mRNA transcript. The exact role of poly-A tails is still unclear but it seems to play a key role in translation initiation, stability, and nuclear export (Proudfoot and O'Sullivan, 2002; Slomovic et al., 2006). Recent studies demonstrated that polyadenylated 28S rRNA undergoes extensive post-transcriptional processing, and the degree of rRNA polyadenylation can vary between different strains and life stages in Leishmania (Decuypere et al., 2005). The purpose and mechanism of polyadenylation in crustacean is not clear. We tentatively conclude that the degree of polyadenylation of 18S and 28S rRNA is oxygen dependent, and that the increased levels of 18S and 28S rRNA on the arrays are due to increased polyadenylation, but not to increased 18/28S rRNA gene transcription.

The information on individual genes obtained from microarray experiments needs to be translated into knowledge of the biological processes and molecular pathways affected. The Gene Ontology (GO) Consortium (Ashburner et al., 2000) has developed a controlled vocabulary that describes the biological processes, molecular functions, and cellular components associated with a particular gene product, and so acts as a repository of the known functional biological information on each gene. Several software packages
are created to visualize metabolic and signaling pathways, such as Gene Map Annotator and Pathway Profiler (GenMAPP) (Dahlquist et al., 2002; Doniger et al., 2003; Salomons et al., 2007), PANTHER of Applied Biosystems, and Ingenuity Pathways Analysis of Ingenuity. Recently released org.Dm.eg.db package can determine which GO terms or biological pathways of genome-wide Drosophila are associated with differentially expressed genes from a microarray experiment, hereinafter referred to as GO and pathway mapping. It provides another valuable way to gain an understanding of the molecular processes affected by hypoxia exposures.

Detailed GO analysis of individual significant genes up-regulated at 6 hours showed the genes are associated with biological processes, such as mitochondrial electron transport, cytochrome c to oxygen, aerobic respiration, iron ion transport, and cellular iron ion homeostasis. At 12 hours, the largest groups of down-regulated biological processes are transport and proton transport, defense response, metabolic process and chitin metabolic process, protein amino acid phosphorylation, ATP synthesis, gluconeogenesis, proteolysis, and autophagic cell death. Protein amino acid phosphorylation and proteolysis are the common processes in down-regulated H12 and H48. This rather simplistic GO analysis merely quantifies the numbers of clones associated with a particular annotation, and it reflects the distribution of annotations related with genes expressed in response to chronic hypoxia.

Additional pathway mapping can facilitate the interpretation of significant gene data derived from complex biological processes and systems, especially characterize differentially expressed genes related to environmental toxicants or stressors (Heinloth et al., 2004; Moggs et al., 2004; Currie et al., 2005). 28% of significantly expressed genes have a match in KEGG pathway targeted by chronic hypoxia. The most abundant categories were associated with ribosome, citrate cycle (TCA cycle), oxidative phosphorylation, and pyruvate metabolism. As discussed in Chapter 3, genes putatively involved in ribosome were exclusively found in cyclic DO libraries in both directions (Chapter 3). Phospho-
enolpyruvate carboxykinase mapped to TCA and pyruvate metabolism pathways was also presented in up-regulated chronic (DO 2.5 mg/L) and down-regulated post molt libraries (Chapter 3).

According to GO-based molecular function, tyrosinase is a copper-dependent phenol oxidase widely occurring in plants and animals, which can oxidize the phenols such as tyrosine and catechol using oxygen (Sussman, 1949). Hydrogens from catechol can combine with oxygen to form water. Tyrosinases are also key components of the primary immune response in arthropods (Johansson and Soderhall, 1996; Soderhall and Cerenius, 1998), and the highly reactive quinones produced by tyrosinase serve to sclerotize the protein matrix of the arthropod cuticle after molting (Sugumaran, 1998; Decker et al., 2007). Since tyrosinase and hemocyanin belong to the same protein superfamily of type 3 copper proteins, all significantly expressed hemocyanin genes of grass shrimp exposed to hypoxia were found in tyrosine metabolism category. Jaenicke and Decker (2003) described the purification of tyrosinases from two crustacean species, *Palinurus elephas* (European spiny lobster) and *Astacus leptodactylus* (freshwater crayfish). The tyrosinase hexamers appear to be similar to the hemocyanins, based on electron microscopy. Because of the structural similarities of tyrosinase and hemocyanin on the level of tertiary and quaternary structure, the tyrosinase proteins appear to have been the ideal predecessors from which to develop the oxygen-carrier protein hemocyanin.

In Chapter 3, a partial cDNA sequence of hemocyanin was identified and characterized by suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH). Hemocyanin is a multi-subunit protein complex, which is conserved in arthropods and mollusks. An arthropod hemocyanin complex is composed of hexamers formed by similar or identical subunits. 38 hemocyanin clones annotated using SwissProt database were amplified and printed on microarray. 17 of these hemocyanin genes are significantly expressed during chronic hypoxia. Two hemocyanin 2 genes [*Pacifastacus leniusculus*] which are up-regulated at H24, H48, and H120 come from up-regulated chronic and cyclic libraries. Generally
chronic hypoxia can force crustaceans to increase the ventilatory flow of water to accelerate the diffusion of oxygen into the blood for the first five days (deFur and Pease, 1988). For long term adaptation, active shrimp favor the most primitive subunits of hemocyanin, such as hemocyanin 2, to increase oxygen affinity (Mangum, 1997). During chronic exposure, hemocyanin doesn’t change at 6 hours, however 12 hemocyanin genes are down-regulated at 12 hours, including the only down-regulated hemocyanin from the severe chronic hypoxia SSH library (1.2 ppm DO). Upon longer exposure hemocyanin gene remains up-regulated at 24, 48, and 120 hours. The range of logFC values is 0.63-0.92, 0.86-0.95, and 0.66-1.59 at the above hours, respectively. After 10 days, hemocyanin is down-regulated again.

Quantification of gene expression levels by qPCR confirmed the microarray patterns and ensured the microarray analysis provides a generally accurate picture of gene expression response to chronic hypoxia. Of all the genes validated by qPCR, the expression levels correlated well between qPCR and microarray, however no of the changes measured by qPCR was found to be significant (p <0.05).

This research represents the first grass shrimp cDNA microarray constructed to detect global gene expression changes from normoxic and chronic hypoxic exposed grass shrimp. Hypoxia affected a wide range of cellular processes, and microarray data analysis identified the significantly expressed genes at different time points. GO-based and pathway-based mapping of hypoxia-responsive genes to biological pathways and processes represents a key step in microarray data mining to illustrate why and how genes respond to hypoxia. The utility of shrimp microarray was confirmed in this study. In the future, more genetic information and studies focusing on selected genes and pathways found here will provide further molecular understanding regarding the genetic response to hypoxia.
CHAPTER V

CYCLIC HYPOXIA

Abstract

Laboratory exposures were performed to examine the genomic responses of grass shrimp, *Palaemonetes pugio*, exposed to cyclic hypoxia. Differentially expressed genes were determined in hypoxic vs. normoxic groups after 1, 2, 5 and 10 days exposure to cyclic hypoxia. Sampling on each day was conducted at two different time series, one in the morning (representing low DO, CA) and one in the afternoon (representing high DO, CP). There are distinct differences between the number and identity of specific genes that are significantly down- or up-regulated in shrimp collected at the low DO and high DO points of the cyclic DO cycle. However, cluster analysis showed that the overall response patterns of high (CP) and low DO (CA) exposures were in the same cluster at 24 hrs, 48 hrs, and 120 hrs. In contrast, the response patterns at different time points were in different clusters. After 10 days of exposure to cyclic DO the high DO samples show a dramatic gene up-regulation and do not cluster with any of the other treatment groups. There is no gene shared by any of the eight exposure groups. For genes differentially expressed in samples collected in the morning, 9 of 11 down-regulated genes in day 1 corresponded to hemocyanin. Vitellogenin, cathepsin L, cytochrome c oxidase subunit III, and fatty acid binding protein 10 are the signature down-regulated genes at day 10. For cyclic (low) DO exposure, a total of 127, 44, and 101 genes were assigned to the three main groups in GO: biological process, cellular components, and molecular function. For biological processes, 18, 12, and 11 genes were associated with transport, defense response, and metabolic process. The most abundant group of genes was associated with oxygen transport activity. For cyclic (high) DO exposure, a total of 276, 122, and 229 genes were assigned to the three main groups in GO. Cyclic (high) DO regulated the expression of genes associated with a more broad range of functional categories. The most abundant groups of genes
were associated with transport, defense response, and metabolic process. For molecular functions, 33 and 8 genes were assigned to oxygen transport activity and ATP binding, respectively. The differentially expressed genes were mapped to KEGG metabolic and regulatory pathways according to the gene distribution in *Drosophila* pathway database. Cyclic (high) DO affected a broad range of pathways compared to cyclic (low) DO.

Keywords - *Palaemonetes pugio*; grass shrimp; crustacean; microarray; hypoxia; gene expression; annotation.

Introduction

Hypoxia refers to a state of oxygen deficiency, which is observed frequently in estuarine waters of Gulf of Mexico. Over the last few decades, there have been increases in the frequency, duration, and spatial extent of hypoxic events, which are regarded as one of the major factors responsible for declines in habitat quality and harvestable resources in estuarine ecosystems (Rabalais et al., 1999, Chapter 1). Hypoxia can profoundly affect aquatic ecosystem and have a variety of impacts on associated species. The responses of estuarine fishes and crustaceans to hypoxia can lead to behavioral, physiological, and cellular and molecular changes depending on the duration and severity of hypoxia (Chapter 1).

Chronic and cyclic (intermittent) hypoxia occurs naturally in shallow estuarine ecosystem. Adaptations to chronic hypoxia include avoidance or escape for some mobile species (Wannamaker and Rice, 2000; Wu et al., 2002; Bell and Eggleston, 2005; Craig et al., 2005), respiratory regulations via physiological mechanisms (Johnson et al., 1984; Hagerman, 1986; deFur and Pease, 1988; Mangum and Rainer, 1988; Mangum, 1997), and molecular responses of differentially expressed genes in fishes (Gracey et al., 2001; Ton et al., 2002, 2003; van der Meer et al., 2005) and invertebrates (Brouwer et al., 2005, 2007; Brown-Peterson et al., 2005; David et al., 2005).

In addition to chronic hypoxia, oxygen concentrations may vary throughout the
day. The daily cyclical pattern of hypoxia and normoxia caused by biotic and abiotic factors occurs naturally, but are exacerbated by eutrophication, in microtidal estuaries of Gulf of Mexico, especially in the summer. Thus, estuarine organisms face increases in amplitude and frequency of hypoxia/normoxia cycles (Gupta et al., 1996). Cyclic hypoxia can cause severe organ damage in mammalian species through the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), however, little is known about the effects of cyclic hypoxia on aquatic species. Compared to severe hypoxia or anoxia that cause mortality instantly, sublethal levels of cyclic hypoxia in aquatic ecosystem are commonly less severe, longer-lasting, and more widespread.

A comparative study was conducted by Coiro et al. (2000) to evaluate the effects of diurnal, semidiurnal, and constant hypoxia on the growth of first stage larval marsh grass shrimp, *Palaemonetes vulgaris*. Compared to normoxia, any hypoxia can cause growth impairment. Moreover, there is a significant difference in growth impairment between chronic and cyclic exposures, and cyclic exposure results in less growth impairment than chronic exposure. Stierhoff et al. (2006) measured the growth and feeding rates of two estuary-dependent juvenile flounders exposed to sublethal hypoxia over a range of temperatures. Generally growth rates of both fishes were reduced as DO decreased, and also as temperature increased. Growth was significantly reduced by 90% or 100% at 2.0 mg/L DO. Cyclic hypoxia also caused significant growth limitation. Growths of both fishes were significantly reduced (35-60%) in cyclic hypoxia (2.0-11.0 mg/L DO). Tyler and Targett (2007) reported the ecological impacts of short-term cyclic hypoxia (<2 to 20 mg/L DO) on juvenile weakfish, *Cynoscion regalis*. The distribution and abundance of weakfish demonstrate fish can frequently abandon the preferred habitats whenever DO was <2 mg/L, and return within 2 hours of DO exceeding 2 mg/L. More recently, Brown-Peterson et al. (2008) described a 77-day laboratory experiment demonstrating the effects of cyclic hypoxia on gene expression and reproduction in grass shrimp using a custom cDNA macroarray.
Grass shrimp, *Palaemonetes pugio*, is a hypoxia-tolerant species that is abundant in estuaries along the Gulf of Mexico, and it is an excellent model for investigating the molecular responses to hypoxia (Chapter 1). In the present study we used grass shrimp to examine the effects of cyclic hypoxia on gene expression in laboratory exposures using DNA microarray. We hypothesize grass shrimp exposed to cyclic hypoxia will show different and more complicated changes in gene expression than those exposed to chronic hypoxia. The differences in gene expression profiles between chronic vs. cyclic hypoxia may provide potential biomarkers which can be used to assess and monitor the impacts of cyclic and chronic hypoxia on estuarine resident organisms. Further, the results of laboratory cyclic hypoxia will be compared with those of field cyclic hypoxia, as described in Chapter 6.

Materials and Methods

If not described below, please see Chapter 4.

*Laboratory Exposures*

Collection and maintenance of grass shrimp prior to exposure experiments were conducted as described in Chapter 3.

Exposures were conducted in an intermittent flow-through system described by Manning et al. (1999). Normoxic (DO 7.5 mg/L) and cyclic hypoxic (DO 1.5-8 mg/L) conditions within the treatment aquaria were established and maintained as described before (Brouwer et al., 2004, 2005, 2007; Brown-Peterson et al., 2005, Chapter 3). In all experiments, oxygen was monitored continuously in one hypoxic flow-through aquarium, and DO, temperature and salinity were measured in all flow-through aquaria once or twice daily using a YSI Model 600XLM data sonde. All exposures were conducted in triplicate for both controls and treatments. The thorax/hepatopancreas of 20 shrimp per treatment was removed after 0, 1, 2, 5, and 10 days of exposure and stored in 1 mL RNALater (Am-
bion Inc. Austin, TX, USA) at -20°C. Sampling on each day was conducted in two different fixed time points, one in the morning (representing low DO) and one in the afternoon (representing high DO).

**Experimental Design**

A loop design with direct and indirect comparisons was used for cyclic DO exposures (Table 12). Each individual sample was hybridized to each of two different samples in two different dye orientations, and some direct sample-to-sample comparisons were used for comparing important samples.

Table 12: Loop design for comparison of normoxic (N) and cyclic hypoxic (H) samples (0, 1, 2, 5 and 10 days). There were 3 pooled samples for each time point (n=3). This design was used twice. Once for samples collected at low DO and once for samples collected at high DO, for a total of 54 arrays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Array</th>
<th>Cy3</th>
<th>Cy5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>N1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>H1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>H2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>N2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>N5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>N5</td>
<td>H5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>H5</td>
<td>H10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>H10</td>
<td>N10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>N10</td>
<td>N0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

**Water Quality Parameters and Grass Shrimp**

The range of DO concentration during cyclic hypoxia was 1.0-8.6 (mg/L) (Figure 15). Shrimp were exposed on average to DO <2 mg/L and DO 2-3 mg/L for 11 and 2 hours for every 24 hours cycle. The average length and weight (without eggs) of control grass shrimp and grass shrimp exposed to cyclic hypoxia over the entire exposure
period were 35.28 ± 2.09 (mm) and 354.59 (mg), and 35.20 ± 2.09 (mm) and 346.42 (mg), respectively. At the end of the exposure hepatopancreas tissues were dissected from grass shrimp, weighed, and stored in 1 mL RNALater at -20°C. The mean hepatopancreas weight of control shrimp and shrimp exposed to cyclic hypoxia was 9.15 and 8.88 (mg), respectively.

**RNA Extraction and Labeling**

RNA was extracted and concentrations were determined using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (ND-1000, see Chapter 4). The RNA concentration was 2.47 ± 0.33 µg/µl. The ratios of 260/280 and 260/230 were 2.11 ± 0.01 and 2.25 ± 0.07, respectively. No RNA degradation was shown by running diluted samples on RNA Nano Chips (Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100). After labeling and purification, the absorbance of labeled cDNA was measured at 260, 550, and 650 nm, and the absorbance readings were used to calculate the frequency of incorporation (FOI). The average FOI for Cy3 and Cy5 was 24.38 and 17.82 per 1000 nucleotides, respectively.

**Gene Expression During Cyclic Hypoxia Exposures**

This study determined differentially expressed genes at a p value of less than 0.05 that occurred in hypoxic vs. normoxic groups at the same time point (Table 14). Combined with the annotation tables (see Chapter 3) generated by sequence similarity search against the protein databases using BLAST algorithm (Altschul et al., 1997), Table 13 shows the differentially expressed genes with BLAST E value less than 1E-5 in hypoxic vs. normoxic groups at the same time point. The genes identified here were derived from two different time series, one in the morning (representing low DO, indicated as CA) and one in the afternoon (representing high DO, indicated as CP).
Figure 15: Dissolved oxygen measured during cyclic DO exposure.
Table 13: Differentially expressed genes in cyclic hypoxia vs. normoxia at the same time points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic (low) DO: Hypoxia vs. Normoxia 24 hrs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>up-regulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A-D08.g</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>beta actin [Cherax quadricarinatus]</td>
<td>5.00E-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39D-G11.g</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>C-type lectin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>3.00E-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>down-regulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37D-G08.g</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>2.00E-66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-F10.g</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>7.00E-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-G09.g</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>5.00E-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-D10.g</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Palaemonetes pugio]</td>
<td>2.00E-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-A02.g</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>2.00E-66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-A11.g</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>2.00E-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-B09.g</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>1.00E-72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

continued on next page
Table 13 – continued from previous page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16A-D04.g</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>3.00E-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18F-F01.g</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>2.00E-33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cyclic (low) DO: Hypoxia vs. Normoxia 48 hrs

up-regulated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16B-F08.g</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>hemocyanin 2 [Pacifastacus leniusculus]</td>
<td>2.00E-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45A-A07.g</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>guanine nucleotide-binding protein gamma subunit [Sitobion avenae]</td>
<td>3.00E-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-A11.g</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>crustapain [Pandalus borealis]</td>
<td>9.00E-50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cyclic (low) DO: Hypoxia vs. Normoxia 120 hrs

Cyclic (low) DO: Hypoxia vs. Normoxia 240 hrs

down-regulated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18F-E12.g</td>
<td>-3.20</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>vitellogenin [Macrobrachium rosenbergii]</td>
<td>1.00E-91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39D-C10.g</td>
<td>-1.43</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>cytochrome c oxidase subunit III [Farfantepenaeus notialis]</td>
<td>3.00E-32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

continued on next page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41B-D12.g</td>
<td>-1.30</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>fatty acid binding protein 10 [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>1.00E-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A-G12.g</td>
<td>-1.23</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>cathepsin L [Pandalus borealis]</td>
<td>4.00E-39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclic (high) DO: Hypoxia vs. Normoxia 24 hrs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45Rev2-A12.g</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>vitellogenin [Macrobrachium rosenbergii]</td>
<td>1.00E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-G02.g</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>hemocyanin 2 [Pacifastacus leniusculus]</td>
<td>1.00E-103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic (high) DO: Hypoxia vs. Normoxia 48 hrs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-G01.g</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>glucomyase GLU1 (Glucan 1,4-alpha glucosidase)</td>
<td>1.00E-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-B03.g</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>beta-carotene dioxygenase 2 [Apis mellifera]</td>
<td>1.00E-103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-B07.g</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>chitinase [Marsupenaeus japonicus]</td>
<td>5.00E-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic (high) DO: Hypoxia vs. Normoxia 120 hrs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-G01.g</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>glucomyase GLU1 (Glucan 1,4-alpha glucosidase)</td>
<td>1.00E-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-B03.g</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>beta-carotene dioxygenase 2 [Apis mellifera]</td>
<td>1.00E-103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-B07.g</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>chitinase [Marsupenaeus japonicus]</td>
<td>5.00E-46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13 – continued from previous page
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45B-A07.g</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>polo-like kinase 1 [Drosophila melanogaster] (PLK1)</td>
<td>3.00E-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-A09.g</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>protein pelota [Tribolium castaneum]</td>
<td>2.00E-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18A-G02.g</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>ferritin subunit (glycosylated) [Nilaparvata lugens]</td>
<td>8.00E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-H12.g</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>heme-binding protein 2 [Balanus amphitrite]</td>
<td>3.00E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-B06.g</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>chitinase 1 [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>3.00E-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45A-A07.g</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>guanine nucleotide-binding protein gamma subunit [Sitobion avenae]</td>
<td>3.00E-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-A11.g</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>crustapain [Pandalus borealis]</td>
<td>9.00E-50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cyclic (high) DO: Hypoxia vs. Normoxia 240 hrs

up-regulated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35B-C04.g</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>PMAV [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>4.00E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39D-C01.g</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>PMAV [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>5.00E-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39A-H01.g</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>PMAV [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>5.00E-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39D-G11.g</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>C-type lectin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>3.00E-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-A02.g</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>2.00E-53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>logFC</td>
<td>P Value</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B-F07.g</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>H/ACA ribonucleoprotein complex subunit 4 [D. rerio]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-E06.g</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin [P. monodon]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A-F04.g</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin subunit 1 [G. roesseli]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-F10.g</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>cathepsin C [M. japonicus]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-F10.g</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin [P. monodon]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18F-F01.g</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin subunit 1 [G. roesseli]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A-H06.g</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin [P. monodon]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-A02.g</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin [L. vannamei]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A-H06.g</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin [L. vannamei]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-D02.g</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin [P. monodon]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37A-H06.g</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin [P. monodon]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A-H08.g</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>cytochrome c oxidase subunit III [P. monodon]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18A-H05.g</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>cytochrome c oxidase subunit III [P. monodon]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-C01.g</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemcyanin [L. vannamei]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-D04.g</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>vitellogenin [C. quadricarinatus]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A-H08.g</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>chlorode intracellular channel 6-like protein [Aedes aegypti]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37D-H12.g</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>ferritin [L. vannamei]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 13 – continued from previous page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37A-C08.g</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>gamma-interferon inducible lysosomal thiol reductase [Amblyomma americanum]</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39A-G09.g</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>cathepsin L [Pandalus borealis]</td>
<td>1.00E-105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A-G07.g</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>cathepsin L [Pandalus borealis]</td>
<td>1.00E-106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-D10.g</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Palaeonastes pugio]</td>
<td>2.00E-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-F08.g</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin 2 [Pacifastacus leniusculus]</td>
<td>2.00E-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41A-F07.g</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>ATP synthase coupling factor 6 [Anopheles gambiae]</td>
<td>1.00E-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-H05.g</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>cathepsin L [Pandalus borealis]</td>
<td>1.00E-106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-B10.g</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>cathepsin L [Pandalus borealis]</td>
<td>1.00E-105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-B09.g</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>1.00E-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-C02.g</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>cathepsin L [Pandalus borealis]</td>
<td>2.00E-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41C-G09.g</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>5.00E-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37C-B02.g</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>hemocyanin 2 [Pacifastacus leniusculus]</td>
<td>1.00E-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39C-G10.g</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>cytochrome c oxidase subunit l [Macrobrachium rosenbergii]</td>
<td>1.00E-116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18A-H01.g</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>cytochrome c oxidase subunit l [Macrobrachium rosenbergii]</td>
<td>1.00E-116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16A-F05.g</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>beta-1,3-glucan binding protein [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>6.00E-46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

continued on next page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41A-B05.g</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>ATP synthase coupling factor 6 [Anopheles gambiae]</td>
<td>1.00E-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-C06.g</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>4.00E-91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39B-D07.g</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>cytochrome oxidase subunit I [Metapenaeopsis barbata]</td>
<td>2.00E-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B-G08.g</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>7.00E-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-D05.g</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>sulfide:quinone oxidoreductase [Anopheles gambiae]</td>
<td>1.00E-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18A-G02.g</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>ferritin subunit (glycosylated) [Nilaparvata lugens]</td>
<td>8.00E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45B-A11.g</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>crustapain [Pandalus borealis]</td>
<td>9.00E-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16B-H12.g</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>heme-binding protein 2 [Balanus amphitrite]</td>
<td>3.00E-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Down-regulated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18A-B07.g</td>
<td>-2.11</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>vitellogenin [Macrobrachium rosenbergii]</td>
<td>1.00E-91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genes listed with BLAST E-value < 1E-5 and protein names from swissprot or nr databases.
There are distinct differences between the number and identity of genes that are significantly down- or up-regulated in shrimp collected at the low DO (morning) and high DO (afternoon) points of the cyclic DO cycle (Table 14). For the H24 and H48 samples 19 and 6 genes are up-regulated in the morning and only 4 and 0 in the afternoon. 11 and 10 genes are down-regulated in the H24 and H48 “morning” samples and none are down-regulated in the afternoon. After 5 days of cyclic DO the major response is down-regulation of 40 genes in the “afternoon” samples, followed by a dramatic up-regulation of 85 genes in the “afternoon” samples after 10 days.

Table 14: Differentially expressed genes during cyclic hypoxia exposures at the same time points (p < 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cyclic Low Hypoxia (CA) vs. Normoxia</th>
<th>Cyclic High Hypoxia (CP) vs. Normoxia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time (Hours)</td>
<td>24  48  120  240</td>
<td>4  0  0  85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up-regulated</td>
<td>19  6  0  0</td>
<td>0  0  40  1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down-regulated</td>
<td>11  10 1  7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Even through there are differences in expression of specific genes between CA and CP, cluster analysis of the 100 most differentially expressed genes (p <0.05) in each exposure group with BLAST E value less than 1E-5 shows overall gene response patterns to be similar (Figure 16). The heat map represents the cyclic hypoxia-regulated genes, clustered by the correlation coefficient according to their similarity in expression pattern by gene and by treatments (time exposed to cyclic hypoxia). For hypoxia vs. normoxia at 24 hrs, 48 hrs, and 120 hrs, the response patterns of high (CP) and low DO (CA) exposures were in the same cluster, but not significantly, whereas the response patterns at different time points were in different clusters. This indicates that the overall gene expression patterns of cyclic low and high DO on the same day are similar to each other, but the gene expression patterns on different days are dissimilar. After 10 days of exposure to
cyclic DO the low DO samples cluster with the 5 day samples, whereas the 10 day high DO samples show a dramatic gene up-regulation and do not cluster with any of the other treatment groups. There are no genes that cluster significantly using multiscale bootstrap resampling (alpha=0.95).

**Cyclic Hypoxia vs. Normoxia**

![Figure 16: Hierarchical clustering using the differentially expressed genes (p <0.05) in hypoxia vs. normoxia at the same time points.](image)

*Gene Expression Changes in Cyclic Low DO Hypoxia Exposures (CA)*

Venn diagrams were used to display the differentially expressed genes that were up- or down-regulated during cyclic DO exposures (Figure 17). There is no gene shared
by any of the eight exposure groups. For genes differentially expressed in samples collected in the morning, 9 of 11 down-regulated genes in H24 corresponded to hemocyanin. Hemocyanin 2 \textit{[Pacifastacus leniusculus]}, guanine nucleotide-binding protein gamma subunit \textit{[Sitobion avenae]}, and crustapain \textit{[Pandalus borealis]} are up-regulated in H48. Vitellogenin \textit{[Macrobrachium rosenbergii]}, cathepsin L \textit{[Pandalus borealis]}, cytochrome c oxidase subunit III \textit{[Farfantepenaeus notialis]}, and fatty acid binding protein 10 \textit{[Litopenaeus vannamei]} are the signature down-regulated genes in H240.

\textit{Gene Expression Changes in Cyclic High DO Hypoxia Exposures (CP)}

For differentially expressed genes found in samples collected in the afternoon, hemocyanin 2 \textit{[Pacifastacus leniusculus]} and vitellogenin \textit{[Macrobrachium rosenbergii]} are among the up-regulated genes found in H24. Beta-carotene dioxygenase 2 \textit{[Apis mellifera]}, heme-binding protein 2 \textit{[Balanus amphitrite]}, and crustapain \textit{[Pandalus borealis]} are among the down-regulated genes in H120. Vitellogenin \textit{[Macrobrachium rosenbergii]} is the only down-regulated gene found in H240. A pronounced down-regulation of 40 genes as observed at H120, and 120 hours later there is a striking reversal with 85 genes being up-regulated (Figure 17). Combined with annotation table with BLAST E value less than 1E-5 (Table 13), there are 10 and 43 differentially expressed genes shown in H120 and H240, respectively. Of 43 genes up-regulated in H240, 15, 6, 4, 3, and 2 annotated genes are hemocyanine, cathepsin C or L, cytochrome c oxidase subunit I or III, PmAV \textit{[Penaeus monodon]}, and ATP synthase coupling factor 6 \textit{[Anopheles gambiae]}, respectively.

\textit{Gene Ontology and KEGG}

The differentially expressed genes identified during cyclic DO exposures were annotated by sequence similarity comparison against the genomic \textit{Drosophila melanogaster} RefSeq database with BLAST algorithm (BLASTX and BLASTN) (Altschul et al., 1997). The matched RefSeq identifiers were mapped to the corresponding Entrez Gene identi-
Cyclic (Low) Hypoxia vs. Normoxia, Down-regulated

Cyclic (Low) Hypoxia vs. Normoxia, Up-regulated
Figure 17: Distribution of differentially expressed genes during cyclic (low) exposure into different GO categories. Only GO terms with at least two genes assigned to are shown.
fiers and GO terms assigned in org.Dm.eg.db package. GOstats package from R was employed to assign probable GO terms to all annotated genes. Table 15 and Table 16 show the detailed assignment of genes to GO functional categories of cyclic low and high DO exposures, respectively. Only GO terms that have at least 2 genes assigned to them are shown.

For cyclic (low) DO exposure (Table 15), a total of 127, 44, and 101 genes were assigned to the three main groups in GO: biological process, cellular components, and molecular function. For biological processes, 18, 12, and 11 genes were associated with transport, defense response, and metabolic process. According to cellular components, the largest group of genes encoded proteins that are located in the extracellular region. Cyclic (low) DO regulated the expression of genes in various molecular functions. The most abundant group of genes was associated with oxygen transport activity, with additional genes being listed in binding terms, such as DNA binding, ATP binding, protein binding, nucleic acid binding, and various ion binding.

For cyclic (high) DO exposure (Table 16), a total of 276, 122, and 229 genes were assigned to the three main groups in GO: biological process, cellular components, and molecular function. Cyclic (high) DO regulated the expression of genes associated with a more broad range of functional categories. The most abundant groups of genes were associated with transport (19), defense response (16), and metabolic process (16). Additional genes encode proteins involved in proteolysis, protein amino acid phosphorylation, aerobic respiration, iron ion transport and homeostasis, and mitochondrial electron transport (cytochrome c to oxygen). As far as cellular components are concerned, 11 and 8 genes were listed as encoding proteins located in mitochondrion and extracellular region. Additional genes were associated with mitochondrial respiratory chain complex IV, mitochondrial inner membrane, mitochondrial matrix, and ferritin complex. For molecular functions, 33 and 8 genes were assigned to oxygen transport activity and ATP binding, respectively. Various genes were involved in oxidoreductase activity, cytochrome c oxidase
activity, heme binding, cathepsin L/B/K activities, and various ion bindings.

The Entrez Gene identifiers assigned in org.Dm.cg.db package were used to map the corresponding computed gene (CG) accession numbers in FlyBase. Custom scripts were used to retrieve pathway ID and descriptions associated with CG numbers. The differentially expressed genes were mapped to KEGG metabolic and regulatory pathways according to the gene distribution in Drosophila pathway database. Cyclic (high) DO regulated a broad range of pathways compared to cyclic (low) DO. Alkaloid biosynthesis I, Riboflavin metabolism, Oxygen transport, and Ribosome were the most abundant categories for cyclic DO exposures (Figure 18).

Table 15: Distribution of differentially expressed genes during cyclic (low) exposure into different GO categories. Only GO terms with at least two genes assigned to are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biological Process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transport</td>
<td>GO:0006810</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defense response</td>
<td>GO:0006952</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metabolic process</td>
<td>GO:0008152</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein amino acid phosphorylation</td>
<td>GO:0006468</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proteolysis</td>
<td>GO:0006508</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitotic spindle organization and biogenesis</td>
<td>GO:0007052</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pseudouridine synthesis</td>
<td>GO:0001522</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA metabolic process</td>
<td>GO:0006259</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rRNA processing</td>
<td>GO:0006364</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lipid transport</td>
<td>GO:0006869</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sister chromatid cohesion</td>
<td>GO:0007062</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitotic chromosome condensation</td>
<td>GO:0007076</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cell adhesion</td>
<td>GO:0007155</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>germ cell development</td>
<td>GO:0007281</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>open tracheal system development</td>
<td>GO:0007424</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein catabolic process</td>
<td>GO:0030163</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tRNA pseudouridine synthesis</td>
<td>GO:0031119</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>salivary gland cell autophagic cell death</td>
<td>GO:0035071</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ribosome biogenesis and assembly</td>
<td>GO:0042254</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>autophagic cell death</td>
<td>GO:0048102</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cellular Component**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>extracellular region</td>
<td>GO:0005576</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lipid particle</td>
<td>GO:0005811</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>larval serum protein complex</td>
<td>GO:0005616</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nucleus</td>
<td>GO:0005634</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extracellular space</td>
<td>GO:0005615</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitochondrion</td>
<td>GO:0005739</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>condensin complex</td>
<td>GO:0000796</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intracellular</td>
<td>GO:0005622</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nucleolus</td>
<td>GO:0005730</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lysosome</td>
<td>GO:0005764</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cohesin complex</td>
<td>GO:0008278</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>membrane</td>
<td>GO:0016020</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Molecular Function**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>oxygen transporter activity</td>
<td>GO:0005344</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP binding</td>
<td>GO:0005524</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein binding</td>
<td>GO:0005515</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nutrient reservoir activity</td>
<td>GO:0045735</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA binding</td>
<td>GO:0003723</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transporter activity</td>
<td>GO:0005215</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zinc ion binding</td>
<td>GO:0008270</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nucleic acid binding</td>
<td>GO:0003676</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA binding</td>
<td>GO:0003677</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cathepsin L activity</td>
<td>GO:0004217</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein serine/threonine kinase activity</td>
<td>GO:0004674</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pseudouridylate synthase activity</td>
<td>GO:0004730</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lipid transporter activity</td>
<td>GO:0005319</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lipid binding</td>
<td>GO:0008289</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tRNA-pseudouridine synthase activity</td>
<td>GO:0016439</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATPase activity</td>
<td>GO:0016887</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 16: Distribution of differentially expressed genes during cyclic (high) exposure into different GO categories. Only GO terms with at least two genes assigned to are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biological Process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transport</td>
<td>GO:0006810</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defense response</td>
<td>GO:0006952</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metabolic process</td>
<td>GO:0008152</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proteolysis</td>
<td>GO:0006508</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitotic spindle organization and biogenesis</td>
<td>GO:0007052</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>translation</td>
<td>GO:0006412</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein catabolic process</td>
<td>GO:0030163</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>salivary gland cell autophagic cell death</td>
<td>GO:0035071</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>autophagic cell death</td>
<td>GO:0048102</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein amino acid phosphorylation</td>
<td>GO:0006468</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitotic spindle elongation</td>
<td>GO:0000022</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nuclear mRNA splicing, via spliceosome</td>
<td>GO:0000398</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carbohydrate metabolic process</td>
<td>GO:0005975</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chitin catabolic process</td>
<td>GO:0006032</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitochondrial electron transport, cytochrome c to oxygen</td>
<td>GO:0006123</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actin filament organization</td>
<td>GO:0007015</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aerobic respiration</td>
<td>GO:0009060</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proton transport</td>
<td>GO:0015992</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sleep</td>
<td>GO:0030431</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytokinesis</td>
<td>GO:0000910</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chitin metabolic process</td>
<td>GO:0006030</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>regulation of transcription, DNA-dependent</td>
<td>GO:0006355</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iron ion transport</td>
<td>GO:0006826</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lipid transport</td>
<td>GO:0006869</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cellular iron ion homeostasis</td>
<td>GO:0006879</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phagocytosis, engulfment</td>
<td>GO:0006911</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytoskeleton organization and biogenesis</td>
<td>GO:0007010</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitosis</td>
<td>GO:0007067</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male meiosis</td>
<td>GO:0007140</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cell adhesion</td>
<td>GO:0007155</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>germ cell development</td>
<td>GO:0007281</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>germarium-derived egg chamber formation</td>
<td>GO:0007293</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>axon guidance</td>
<td>GO:0007411</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>visual perception</td>
<td>GO:0007601</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>visual behavior</td>
<td>GO:0007632</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>determination of adult life span</td>
<td>GO:0008340</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP synthesis coupled proton transport</td>
<td>GO:0015986</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chondroitin sulfate biosynthetic process</td>
<td>GO:0030206</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>olfactory behavior</td>
<td>GO:0042048</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ribosome biogenesis and assembly</td>
<td>GO:0042254</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phototaxis</td>
<td>GO:0042331</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male courtship behavior, veined wing generated song production</td>
<td>GO:0045433</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cellular Component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Term</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mitochondrion</td>
<td>GO:0005739</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extracellular region</td>
<td>GO:0005576</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nucleus</td>
<td>GO:0005634</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cytoplasm</td>
<td>GO:0005737</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lipid particle</td>
<td>GO:0005811</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitochondrial respiratory chain complex IV</td>
<td>GO:0005751</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lysosome</td>
<td>GO:0005764</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>membrane</td>
<td>GO:0016020</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>integral to membrane</td>
<td>GO:0016021</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>larval serum protein complex</td>
<td>GO:0005616</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitochondrial inner membrane</td>
<td>GO:0005743</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitochondrial matrix</td>
<td>GO:0005759</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>integral to plasma membrane</td>
<td>GO:0005887</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytosolic large ribosomal subunit</td>
<td>GO:0022625</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kinetochore</td>
<td>GO:0000776</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extracellular space</td>
<td>GO:0005615</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intracellular</td>
<td>GO:0005622</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spliceosome</td>
<td>GO:0005681</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nucleolus</td>
<td>GO:0005730</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mitochondrial proton-transporting ATP synthase, central stalk</td>
<td>GO:0005756</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plasma membrane</td>
<td>GO:0005886</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ferritin complex</td>
<td>GO:0008043</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Molecular Function**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecular Function</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>oxygen transporter activity</td>
<td>GO:0005344</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP binding</td>
<td>GO:0005524</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein binding</td>
<td>GO:0005515</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zinc ion binding</td>
<td>GO:0008270</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GO Terms</th>
<th>GO IDs</th>
<th>Counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>oxidoreductase activity</td>
<td>GO:0016491</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nucleotide binding</td>
<td>GO:0000166</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nucleic acid binding</td>
<td>GO:0003676</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytochrome-c oxidase activity</td>
<td>GO:0004129</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cathepsin L activity</td>
<td>GO:0004217</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calcium ion binding</td>
<td>GO:0005509</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chitlin binding</td>
<td>GO:0008061</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cation binding</td>
<td>GO:0043169</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA binding</td>
<td>GO:0003729</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein serine/threonine kinase activity</td>
<td>GO:0004674</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>binding</td>
<td>GO:0005488</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iron ion binding</td>
<td>GO:0005506</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>heme binding</td>
<td>GO:0020037</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA binding</td>
<td>GO:0003723</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>structural constituent of ribosome</td>
<td>GO:0003735</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actin binding</td>
<td>GO:0003779</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>catalytic activity</td>
<td>GO:0003824</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chitinase activity</td>
<td>GO:0004568</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hydrogen-exporting ATPase activity, phosphorylative mechanism</td>
<td>GO:0008553</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nutrient reservoir activity</td>
<td>GO:0045735</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA binding</td>
<td>GO:0003677</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transcription factor activity</td>
<td>GO:0003700</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA 3'-UTR binding</td>
<td>GO:0003730</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTPase activity</td>
<td>GO:0003924</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endonuclease activity</td>
<td>GO:0004519</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alpha-amylase activity</td>
<td>GO:0004556</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

continued on next page
Discussion

Several potentially hypoxia-responsive genes, including CdMT, mSOD, cSOD, HIF, and trachealess, were cloned and sequenced from previous studies using gene-by-gene method, and amplified and printed on microarrays. None of these genes are statistically significantly differentially expressed according to cDNA microarray during cyclic hypoxia exposures. HIF 1α expression, which also doesn’t change during chronic hypoxia (Chapter 4), can thus not be used as biomarker of chronic and cyclic exposures. However, HIF 1α expression levels do change considerably during hypoxic exposures, which suggests the changes may be biologically significant. For example, HIF 1α expression levels increase in cyclic (high) DO, with a 2.83- (p=0.053) and 2.15-fold (p=0.25) upregulation observed after 5- and 10-day, respectively. Additionally, HIF 1α is consistently down-regulated at all time points during chronic hypoxia (p > 0.05).
Figure 18: Pie chart of KEGG pathways.
Since hemocyanin is the oxygen carrier which is abundantly expressed in grass shrimp, arrays include 38 hemocyanin clones. For the first 24 hours, all of the down-regulated genes in low DO are hemocyanin genes. However hemocyanin genes are up-regulated after 10 days in high DO.

In general, cycles of hypoxia and reoxygenation can lead to increased production of reactive oxygen species and tissue injury, and down-regulated cellular antioxidant defense systems (Li and Jackson, 2002), which include cSOD, mSOD, glutathione (GSH) peroxidase and GSH reductase. In cyclic (high) DO exposure, mSOD is 1.74-fold (p=0.3) up-regulated after 24 and 48 hours, and cSOD is 2.3-fold up-regulated after 24 hour exposure. For chronic hypoxia, mSOD is 2.6- and 1.6-fold up-regulated at 120 and 240 hours (p=0.23), respectively (Chapter 4). In previous studies of grass shrimp exposed to hypoxia, cSOD was 19-fold down-regulated after 14-day exposure to chronic hypoxia (Brouwer et al., 2007, 2.5 mg/L) using more sensitive P33 cDNA labeling, and mSOD was 60-fold up-regulated after only 3-day exposure to cyclic hypoxia (Brown-Peterson et al., 2008). However, similar significant expression level changes were not observed at any time points during chronic and cyclic exposures in this study, suggesting Cy3/Cy5 labeling may not be sensitive enough to detect these changes.

Glutathione (GSH) peroxidase is a selenium-dependent enzyme that catalyzes breakdown of H2O2 and various peroxides and can be found in cytoplasm and mitochondria. In this study, glutathione peroxidase was identified in the up-regulated in the cyclic library (Chapter 3). However, no statistically significant upregulation was revealed using microarrays. Nevertheless, glutathione peroxidase is consistently up-regulated at 24, 48, and 120 hours, with a peak 2.11-fold change at 48 hours (p=0.19, CA), which may be biological relevant. In contrast to cyclic hypoxia, glutathione peroxidase is down-regulated for the initial 48 hours, then up-regulated at 5- and 10-day chronic exposures, with a peak 1.9-fold change (p=0.19) at day 5 (Chapter 4).

Several genes involved in sulfur redox and (homo)cysteine metabolism (thiore-
doxin, sulfide:quinone oxidoreductase, glutathione-S-transferase, cystathionine beta-synthase) are found in down-regulated libraries in response to cyclic hypoxia (Chapter 3). Glutathione-S-transferase is barely changed during the first 48 hours, then down-regulated at day 5 (1.56-fold, \( p=0.15 \)) and 10 (1.4-fold change, \( p=0.06 \)) in cyclic (high) hypoxia. According to microarray data, sulfide:quinone oxidoreductase is slightly up-regulated in both cyclic hypoxia at all time points, and a similar up-regulation also occurs in response to chronic hypoxia at all time points (\( p=0.14 \)). Thioredoxin is about 1.6-fold up-regulated in cyclic (low) hypoxia (\( p=0.28 \)).

Metallothionein belongs to a family of small, cysteine-rich, and heat stable proteins involved in the cellular regulation of essential metals (Zn, Cu, Se, etc.), and in detoxification of heavy metals (Cd, Hg, Ag, etc.). Studies relate metallothionein proteins with diverse physiological functions including protection against oxidative stress (Suzuki and Cherian, 2000, Chapter 1). English and Storey (2003) identified a 100 amino acid protein belonging to the metallothionein family using differential cDNA libraries constructed from the foot muscle of marine snails *Littorina littorea*. Metallothionein was up-regulated in both foot muscle and hepatopancreas in response to anoxia stress. After 24 h recovery from anoxia stress, transcript levels were reduced but remained elevated in hepatopancreas from anoxia-treated snails. CdMT obtained from previous study (Brouwer et al., 2007) was included in microarray. In this study, expression levels of metallothionein in cyclic (high) hypoxia are 1.4-fold up-regulated. In chronic DO, it is 1.74-fold down-regulated for the first 48 hours. Since metallothionein can be induced by multiple environmental stressors including hypoxia and heavy metals, and since its expression levels change minimally in response to cyclic and chronic hypoxia, metallothionein isn’t a candidate biomarker to monitor biological impacts of long or short time oxygen changes in aquatic ecosystem.

Like other invertebrates, crustaceans lack specific immunity, and their innate immune system must rely on non-self-recognition molecules to ensure efficient defense re-
sponses against infectious pathogens, and environmental toxicants and stressors (Rowley and Powell, 2007). So crustacea are regarded as an appropriate species for studying the innate immune system, which plays an important role in host defense system.

Lectins were first discovered in plants, and now are found throughout nature. These sugar-binding proteins typically bind to a carbohydrate molecule which is a part of a glycoprotein or glycolipid, and also agglutinate certain animal cells and/or precipitate glycoconjugates. Lectins serve many different biological functions, including regulation of cell adhesion during infection, glycoprotein synthesis, and the control of protein levels in the blood. Lectins from the hemolymph of invertebrates, including crustaceans, have also been regarded as molecules involved in immune recognition. Several lectin clones were identified from down-regulated copper and pyrene exposures, and up-regulated cyclic library (Chapter 3). In this study, lectin is up-regulated in response to cyclic (low) DO at day 1 (2.85-fold, p=0.01) and 2 (2.03-fold, p=0.19), to cyclic (high) DO at day 10 (3.23-fold, p <0.01), and to chronic DO at day 10 (2.68-fold, p < 0.01).

PmAV, a novel gene involved in virus resistance of shrimp *Penaeus monodon*, is highly expressed in the hepatopancreas and up-regulated on day 2 in response to viral infection (Luo et al., 2003, 2007). PmAV is closely related to C-type lectin. Earlier studies suggest PmAV, obtained from a chronic hypoxia SSH library, is also a hypoxia-responsive gene, which is down-regulated in grass shrimp after 14-day chronic exposure (Brouwer et al., 2007, 1.5 mg/L). Here we find expression of this PmAV is barely changed during cyclic hypoxia, with the only exception of day 10 in cyclic (high) DO (1.8-fold up-regulation, p < 0.05). About 4-fold upregulation is observed for several additional PmAV clones which were originally identified in the down-regulated cyclic DO SSH library, and in the up- and down-regulated copper SSH library (Chapter 3). In this study, a 2.1- and 1.8-fold up-regulation of PmAV occurs at 12 hours and day 10 in chronic hypoxia (p=0.098), respectively (Chapter 4). It is interesting that both lectin and PmAV genes which are supposed to be immunity-related in crustaceans, are also significantly
up-regulated in response to cyclic (high) hypoxia (p < 0.01).

Phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) is an important metabolite which has the highest energy phosphate bond found in living organisms, and is involved in glycolysis and gluconeogenesis. In gluconeogenesis, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) converts oxaloacetate into phosphoenolpyruvate and carbon dioxide. This reaction is a rate-limiting step in gluconeogenesis. However, PEPCK levels alone were found to be not highly correlated with gluconeogenesis in the mouse liver (Burgess et al., 2007). Therefore, the role of PEPCK in gluconeogenesis may be more complex and more factors may be involved than was previously believed.

The response of PEPCK to chronic and cyclic hypoxia exposure is rather complex. In this study, PEPCK is 1.5-fold up-regulated (p=0.3) after 2 days exposure to cyclic (low) DO, followed by 2.0-fold down-regulation on day 10 (p=0.26). PEPCK appears 1.8-fold upregulated on day 10 (p=0.02) during cyclic (high) hypoxia. Similar up-regulation of PEPCK was also observed after 7 day cyclic exposure of grass shrimp (Brown-Peterson et al., 2008). In response to chronic hypoxia, a 2.05-fold up-regulation of PEPCK is observed for the initial 6 hours (p=0.13). For the next 6 hours, PEPCK expression is completely reversed to significant downregulation (2.6-fold, p=0.01), then followed by up-regulation at day 5 (2.5-fold, p=0.01) and day 10 (1.9-fold, p=0.2).

Cluster analysis indicated the response patterns of high (CP) and low DO (CA) exposures were in the same cluster at 24 hrs, 48 hrs, and 120 hrs, however the correlation isn’t statistically significant (p < 0.05). At the above 3 time points, more up- and down-regulated genes are found in low DO than high DO (Figure 16 and Table 14). For low DO, few genes are significantly expressed after 5 days, while for high DO few genes are differentially expressed during the first 5 days.

In this study a poor correlation was observed between results from microarray and qPCR data. Generally microarray results underestimate the actual gene expression changes, and qPCR is more accurate and sensitive than microarray. However, data gen-
erated by microarray and qPCR often result in disagreement (Morey et al., 2006). Which of the two methods is more accurate is debatable (Allison et al., 2006). It suggests that certain microarray platforms don’t need any further validation because they perform very accurate (Epstein et al., 2002). Several factors can contribute to the lack of correlation between array and qPCR, such as up- vs. down-regulation, spot intensity, fold change, cycle threshold (Ct), array averaging, and tissue type and preparation (Morey et al., 2006). Sometimes it is reverse transcription PCR, not microarray data, results in the disagreement between microarray and qPCR. In this study, the RNA used for microarray was reverse-transcribed with Oligo(dT)\textsubscript{18} primer, while the same RNA was amplified in the presence of random hexamers for qPCR (Chapter 4). Random hexamer gives full length transcripts on average, while Oligo(dT) generates first strand cDNA from the 3' end of the transcripts. Better validation may be achieved if more primers designed from 3' end of the transcripts.

The results reported in this study provide a preliminary basis for a better understanding of gene expression changes of grass shrimp in response to cyclic hypoxia conditions. It illustrates a general picture of the molecular response to hypoxia exposures under laboratory conditions, and of the regulation pathways affected by hypoxia exposures. The observation that gene expression patterns are not only dependent on the duration of exposure but also on the time of day makes interpretation of the data generated by this study a very challenging undertaking.
CHAPTER VI

FIELD EXPOSURES

Abstract

Grass shrimp, Palaemonetes pugio, offer many advantages for ecological and toxicological research. A cDNA microarray was utilized to investigate the changes in gene expression in grass shrimp collected from two areas in Weeks Bay (Mobile, Alabama). One is a traditionally normoxic location (WBM), and the other is a traditionally cyclic hypoxic location (WC). There were no significant differences in egg counts between grass shrimp at WBM and WC, although the WBM shrimp had more eggs than WC shrimp. Shrimp from WC had a significant higher number of parasites than those from WBM. Six genes were significantly down-regulated in WC shrimp relative to WBM. All of those genes were also found in the SSH down-regulated cyclic DO library. A putative vitellogenin was the most significantly up-regulated gene in WC shrimp. Hemocyanin was found in both up- and down-regulated genes. It appears that grass shrimp hemocyanin has at least two distinct subunits. The up-regulated hemocyanin subunit showed high sequence similarity to the WSSV-inducible hemocyanin gene of Marsupenaeus japonicus and may function in pathogen defense. Hypoxia conditions in field locations were less severe than those in laboratory exposures, which may account for the observation that few significant genes were identified in field studies.

Keywords - Palaemonetes pugio; grass shrimp; crustacean; microarray; hypoxia; gene expression; annotation.

Introduction

In addition to chronic hypoxia in stratified deeper water, less severe episodic and often cyclic hypoxic conditions can occur during the summer time in shallow waters in
coastal estuaries. Smith and Able (2003) recorded DO concentrations in marsh salt pools to characterize the responses of fishes to rapid changes of DO. The greatest DO range of 0-20 mg/L occurred in mid-July, and from mid- to late August, DO remained < 1.0 mg/L for a long period. The effect of cyclic hypoxia is limited to a few aquatic species, such as marsh grass shrimp *Palaemonetes vulgaris* (Coiro et al., 2000), flounders (Stierhoff et al., 2006), weakfish *Cynoscion regalis* (Tyler and Targett, 2007), and grass shrimp (Brown-Peterson et al., 2008).

Many crustacean species are frequently exposed to chronic and cyclic hypoxia in their natural habitats. To evaluate the sublethal effects of cyclic hypoxia exposure on aquatic organisms in the laboratory, research should ideally mimic cyclic conditions that occur in the field. However, laboratory cyclic hypoxia with its rigid 24 hours DO cycle can at best only approach the actual field exposure. The significantly expressed genes identified during laboratory exposures must therefore be validated using field exposure to determine if potential biomarkers identified in laboratory exposures can be used in field studies as well.

In this study, DNA microarrays were used to measure differentially expressed genes in grass shrimp collected from normoxic and cyclic hypoxic field sites. Since grass shrimp are well adapted to hypoxia, and most of the shrimp caught for field study came from the surface, there may be fewer genes changed in shrimp from cyclic hypoxic field sites compared to laboratory cyclic hypoxia.

**Materials and Methods**

If not described below, please see Chapter 4.

*Field Exposures*

Grass shrimp were collected on September 11, 2006 from two areas (Figure 19) in Weeks Bay (Mobile, Alabama, USA). One is a traditionally normoxic location, Weeks
Bay Mouth (WBM, 30°22'66"N, 87°50'25"W), and the other is a traditionally cyclic hypoxic location, Weeks Creek (WC, 30°22'25"N, 87°49'95"W). Twenty grass shrimp were collected by hand-held dip net from each location and the thorax/hepatopancreas was removed and immediately fixed in the field in 1 mL RNALater for subsequent RNA extraction and microarray analysis. DO, temperature and salinity were measured continuously for 7 days (September 6-13) in both areas using a YSI Model 600XLM data sonde prior to collection of animals.

Shrimp were collected again on September 13, 2006 from the same locations in Weeks Bay. Twenty grass shrimp were collected by hand-held dip net from each location and placed into buckets with aerated water and transported to the laboratory for egg counts and dissection, following standard protocols.

**Experimental Design**

A simple reference design with dye swap was employed for field studies. A series of replicates were hybridized with the grass shrimp collected from normoxic (WBM) and hypoxic (WC) locations. Each sample was hybridized equally with Cy3 or Cy5 dye.

**Results**

**Water Quality Parameters and Grass Shrimp**

The average length of grass shrimp collected on September 11, 2006 in WBM and WC were 28.6 ± 3.30 (mm) and 25.6 ± 2.96 (mm), respectively. Shrimp collected at WBM were longer than those at WC (p < 0.01). The measured DO and salinity for 5 days prior to field collection was 6.34 ± 1.84 (mg/L) and 15.85 ± 2.15 (%) for WBM (Figure 20), and 1.05-8.87 (mg/L) and 16.85 ± 2.15 (%) for WC (Figure 21), respectively. During the collection (10-11 AM), the temperature, pH, DO, and salinity were 27.77 (°C), 7.46 (pH), 7.76 (mg/L DO), and 13.36 (%) for WBM, 26.32 (°C), 6.95 (pH), 3.32 (mg/L DO), and 12.89 (%) for WC, respectively. The time periods during which measured DO
Figure 19: Map of collection sites. Top: Weeks Bay located near Mobile Bay's eastern shore. Center: Weeks Creek, cyclic hypoxic site. Bottom: Weeks Bay Mouth, normoxic location.
was in the ranges of < 2 (mg/L DO) and between 2-3 (mg/L DO) over the 5 days recording time were 0 and 255 min for WBM, and 285 and 1005 min for WC, respectively.

The average length and weight of grass shrimp collected on September 13, 2006 were 28.5 ± 3.63 (mm) and 202.51 (mg) for WBM, and 25.9 ± 4.95 (mm) and 157.45 (mg) for WC, respectively. Shrimp collected at WBM were slightly longer and heavier than those at WC (p=0.04). During the collection (8-9 AM), the temperature, pH, DO, and salinity recorded as 27.70 (°C), 7.85 (pH), 5.21 (mg/L DO), and 16.33 (%e) for WBM, 27.98 (°C), 7.17 (pH), 2.38 (mg/L DO), and 20.38 (%e) for WC, respectively. Total number of eggs and parasites were 2691 (134.55 ± 10.95) and 42 (2.1 ± 0.8) for WBM, and 2381 (119.05 ± 14.00) and 138 (6.9 ± 1.9) for WC, respectively.

**RNA Extraction**

RNA concentrations were determined using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (ND-1000, see Chapter 4). The RNA concentration was 2.04 ± 0.77 µg/µl. The ratios of 260/280 and 260/230 were 2.06 ± 0.04 and 2.22 ± 0.04, respectively. No RNA degradation was shown by running diluted samples on RNA Nano Chips (Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100).

**Microarray Hybridization and Analysis**

Dye swaps were performed for replicate arrays using the RNA samples from WBM and WC. A model was established using the spatial correlation estimated between within-array duplicates (Smyth et al., 2005, Chapter 4). The fold changes and significant tests reported in Table 17 were corrected by any probe-specific dye effects. The significant genes were selected at p = 0.05. It is rather striking that most significantly down-regulated genes in WC grass shrimp were also in the SSH down-regulated cyclic DO library. A putative vitellogenin was the most significantly up-regulated gene in WC shrimp.
Figure 20: Dissolved Oxygen and Salinity at WBM from September 6 to 13, 2006.
Figure 21: Dissolved Oxygen and Salinity at WC from September 6 to 11, 2006.
Table 17: List of differentially expressed genes from field samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Libraries</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>logFC</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>E Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Down-regulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic DO</td>
<td>45B-A11.g</td>
<td>-2.97</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>crustapain [Pandalus borealis]</td>
<td>1.00E-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic DO</td>
<td>45B-B03.g</td>
<td>-2.96</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>beta-carotene dioxygenase 2 [Apis mellifera]</td>
<td>1.00E-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic DO</td>
<td>45B-A07.g</td>
<td>-2.29</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>polo-like kinase 1 [Drosophila melanogaster] (PLK1)</td>
<td>1.00E-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic DO</td>
<td>45B-A09.g</td>
<td>-1.88</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>protein pelota [Tribolium castaneum]</td>
<td>2.00E-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic DO</td>
<td>45B-B06.g</td>
<td>-1.74</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>chitinase 1 [Penaeus monodon]</td>
<td>3.00E-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic DO</td>
<td>45B-A01.g</td>
<td>-1.58</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>trypsinogen [Rana pirica]</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post molt</td>
<td>37A-F04.g</td>
<td>-1.16</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>hemocyanin subunit 1 [Gammarus roeseli]</td>
<td>5.00E-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up-regulated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic DO</td>
<td>35B-A12.g</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>vitellogenin [Ornithorhynchus anatinus]</td>
<td>3.00E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>39D-D09.g</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>calcium and integrin binding protein CIB [Bombyx mori]</td>
<td>4.00E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>39C-A03.g</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>putative ferrichrome iron receptor precursor [Plectonema boryanum]</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic DO</td>
<td>35B-C02.g</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>trypsinogen Y precursor [Gadus morhua]</td>
<td>2.00E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene</td>
<td>41B-D08.g</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>beta-glucocerebrosidase [Drosophila melanogaster]</td>
<td>2.00E-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post molt</td>
<td>37D-D07.g</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>vitellogenin [Cherax quadricarinatus]</td>
<td>8.00E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene</td>
<td>41C-B09.g</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>hemocyanin [Litopenaeus vannamei]</td>
<td>1.00E-72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

The role of oxygen in development, physiology, and pathology is an area of long-standing biological interest. Most of our understanding about the role of oxygen in mechanisms and gene expression patterns comes from studies on mammals or well-characterized model organisms, such as human (Gao et al., 2002), Caenorhabditis elegans (Jiang et al., 2001), Drosophila melanogaster (Bacon et al., 1998; Lavista-Llanos et al., 2002), and Danio rerio (Ton et al., 2003). In contrast, the effect of changing oxygen tension in aquatic environment has been little studied, especially for crustaceans. Oxygen enters the water body by photosynthesis of aquatic biota or by the physical transfer across the air-water interface. The maximum oxygen concentration in estuarine water (~35 ppt salinity) is about 7 mg/L at 20°C and 1 atmosphere pressure. The critical oxygen concentration at which grass shrimp can't uptake sufficient amount of oxygen from water to sustain aerobic metabolism, so they must turn to anaerobic metabolism, is 30-35 torr, or 1.8 mg/L (Cochran and Burnett, 1996b).

Oxygen concentrations in estuarine waters often change in a diel pattern and can drop periodically below 1.8 mg/L. In previous laboratory studies we have measured the effects of DO cycles on gene expression. However, these artificial cycles can only approach but not reproduce DO cycles as they occur in the field. For example, in this field study, DO, temperature, and salinity were recorded continuously using a multi-parameter YSI sonde, every 15 min for 5 days. For WBM, the minimal DO is 2.08 mg/L. During this period, 2.6% of measured DO is in the range of 2-3 (mg/L DO). For WC, the minimal DO is 1.05 mg/L. 2.2%, 2.0%, and 14.7% of DO concentrations measured in WC are in the ranges of < 1.5, 1.5-2, and 2-3 (mg/L DO), respectively. For cyclic laboratory exposures in Chapter 5, 21.2%, 11.1%, and 14.9% of DO are in the ranges of < 1.5, 1.5-2, and 2-3 (mg/L DO), respectively. Clearly, grass shrimp exposed to cyclic DO in the laboratory experienced more severe hypoxia than those collected from cyclic DO field site.

In addition, the grass shrimp from normoxic and hypoxic locations were usually
collected from the water surface, whereas DO was measured at the bottom using a YSI sonde. Finally, grass shrimp can climb out of water during periods of oxygen deficiency (Welsh, 1975; Anderson, 1985). In view of all this, we would expect fewer genes to be changed in grass shrimp from our field cyclic hypoxia site relative to laboratory cyclic hypoxia.

For grass shrimp collected on September 13, 2006, there were no significant differences in egg counts between grass shrimp at WBM and WC, although the WBM shrimp had more eggs than WC shrimp (134.55 ± 10.95 vs. 119.05 ± 14.00 eggs). A similar result was also observed in laboratory cyclic exposure. There is no significant difference in the number of eggs of shrimp in hypoxic and normoxic conditions. However, it takes shrimp in cyclic hypoxia longer to produce the second brood (Brown-Peterson et al., 2008). Furthermore, shrimp from WC had a significantly higher number of parasites than those from WBM (6.9 ± 1.0 vs. 2.1 ± 0.8 parasites per shrimp, p = 0.015). This suggests shrimp located in the cyclic DO area may be more stressed and susceptible to parasite infestation than shrimp from the normoxic site.

One family of genes that shows significant changes in crustaceans in response to hypoxia is the hemocyanin gene family (Brown and Terwilliger, 1999; Terwilliger et al., 1999, 2006; Brown-Peterson et al., 2008). Hemocyanins are multisubunit oxygen-transporting proteins in arthropods and mollusks. The hemocyanin subunits of arthropods can self-assemble into hexamers or multi-hexamer complexes. In addition to its role as an oxygen carrier, hemocyanin has been found to function in the innate immune response. Phenoloxidase, a related copper protein, catalyzes the hydroxylation of monophenols such as tyrosine to diphenols and further oxidizes them to highly reactive quinones (Johansson and Soderhall, 1996; Soderhall and Cerenius, 1998). These quinones are compounds with antimicrobial and antifungal properties that are critical in the arthropod immune response. Terwilliger et al. (2006) cloned and sequenced the complete cDNA of six hemocyanin subunits, two cryptocyanins, and one prophenoloxidase of *Cancer magister*. Alignment
of all nine amino acid sequences shows high similarity and high degree of conservation in this family. Hemocyanin transports oxygen used by phenoloxidase, and even functions as a phenoloxidase under certain conditions (Zlateva et al., 1996; Decker and Rimke, 1998; Decker and Tuczek, 2000). Moreover, hemocyanin subunits have recently been found to contribute to the immune function. Crayfish hemocyanin without domain I becomes an active phenoloxidase (Lee et al., 2004), and some antimicrobial peptides are derived from the C-terminal hemocyanin domain (Decker and Jaenicke, 2004).

Finally, a specific hemocyanin subunit is up-regulated in shrimp infected with WSSV virus (Lei et al., 2008). In this study, one hemocyanin gene (37A-F04, most similar to Gammarus hemocyanin subunit 1) is down-regulated in shrimp exposed to cyclic hypoxia, while a second distinct hemocyanin gene (41C-B09, most similar to Litopenaeus hemocyanin) is up-regulated. It appears that grass shrimp hemocyanin has at least two distinct subunits. Considering grass shrimp from the cyclic DO location are heavily infected with parasites, we speculate the up-regulated hemocyanin subunit, which shows high sequence identity (75%, E=4E-77) with WSSV-inducible hemocyanin gene of Marsupenaeus japonicus, may function in pathogen defense.

In conclusion, only a few genes are differentially expressed in grass shrimp exposed to cyclic hypoxia in the field study relative to those collected from a normoxia reference site. Compared with laboratory chronic and cyclic exposures, gene expression of grass shrimp was not as much affected by cyclic hypoxia probably because field DO conditions at our study site were less severe than those used in our laboratory studies.
CHAPTER VII

SUMMARY

Occurrence and severity of hypoxia is increasing in coastal and estuarine environments, and recovery of impacted habitats and living resources is slow. Detection of early effects of hypoxia is needed for timely remedial action to be taken. The overall objectives of this research was to develop molecular indicators of dissolved oxygen stress to assess the biological impact of hypoxia in coastal estuaries and validate their use through a combination of laboratory and field studies. In order to assess if the molecular indicators can be used widely along coastal ecosystems, the hypoxia responsive biomarkers must be identified from an oxygen-sensitive and hypoxia-tolerant species that has a wide range of geographical distribution. Grass shrimp, *Palaemonetes pugio*, is one of the most intensively studied crustaceans in eco-toxicology, and dissolved oxygen regulates its distribution and abundance along the shores of the Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. It has been recognized as an excellent model for the search of molecular biomarkers of oxygen stress in estuarine systems. Therefore, this study determined which genes are significantly up- or down-regulated in grass shrimp exposed to chronic and cyclic hypoxia in the laboratory, and evaluated if these hypoxia-responsive genes can be used as indicators of DO stress in the aquatic environment. To achieve these goals, this study addressed the following specific research objectives.

**Objective 1: Clone and sequence grass shrimp HIF.**

All organisms possess mechanisms to maintain oxygen homeostasis, which are essential for survival. In aquatic ecosystem, hypoxia is a state of oxygen deficiency in which the concentration of dissolved oxygen in the water column decreases from normoxic 7 mg/L to below 2 mg/L (NSTC, 2003). The hypoxia inducible factor (HIF), conserved during evolution from nematodes to flies to vertebrates, is a key transcription fac-
tor that controls a variety of cellular and systemic homeostatic responses to hypoxic stress (Semenza, 1998). The existence of HIF-1α has not been characterized in crustaceans, which experience wide fluctuations of oxygen tensions in their aquatic environment.

HIF-1α homolog in grass shrimp was cloned and sequenced using RT-PCR and RACE thus demonstrating the existence of HIF-1α gene in crustaceans. The 3822 bp full-length HIF-1α cDNA encodes a protein sequence of 1057 amino acids that shows a 46% similarity to Tribolium castaneum HIF-1α protein. Grass shrimp HIF-1α protein also shows a high level of conservation with other HIF-1α proteins in the bHLH domain, two PAS domains, an ODD domain with two proline hydroxylation motifs (LTHLAP and MRAPFIP), and a C-TAD with an asparagine hydroxylation motif (EVNAP). However, grass shrimp HIF-1α protein lacks N-TAD, and has a unique 230 amino acid sequence that isn’t found in any vertebrate HIF proteins. Similar conserved domains and motifs suggest that grass shrimp HIF-1α protein is regulated by similar molecular mechanism as other HIF-1α proteins. Successful cloning of grass shrimp HIF-1α gene is only the first step to fully understanding the response mechanisms in crustaceans exposed to hypoxia. More studies are needed to determine whether HIF expression at the mRNA (see Objective 3) and/or protein levels can be used as a potential molecular indicator of hypoxic stress in laboratory and field studies.

Objective 2: Perform sequence and bioinformatic analysis of ESTs from SSH libraries.

Genomics and related approaches have increasingly enhanced our understanding of the mechanisms that underlie toxic effects of chemicals on living tissues of various organisms and may help to identify gene expression profiles that may serve as biomarkers of exposure (Calzolai et al., 2007). Six libraries of expressed sequence tags (ESTs) were constructed by suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) from the grass shrimp exposed to environmental (moderate, severe, and cyclic hypoxia, copper, and pyrene) and biological (molt) stress. An in-house pipeline of cleaning, clustering, and assembling was
built for sequence analysis, and the ESTs were annotated by similarity searches against different public databases. GO and pathway analysis of the resulting potential transcripts revealed that stressor specific genes were present in different libraries. Several genes involved in sulfur redox and (homo)cysteine metabolism were all down-regulated in response to cyclic hypoxia. Up-regulation of cytochrome c oxidase subunit I and down-regulation of vitellogenin was a common response to chronic (1.5 mg/L and 2.5 mg/L) and cyclic DO exposures. The molting process was accompanied by changes in expression of many genes not found in the hypoxia/copper/pyrene libraries. The cDNA clones and sequence information can be used for future functional analysis and microarray design to monitor the environmental stressors using grass shrimp in coastal ecosystems.

**Objective 3:** Determine if HIF can be used as an indicator of chronic and cyclic hypoxia exposure by analyzing HIF expression levels using microarrays.

Potential putative genes selected from SSH libraries and HIF-1α were used to construct a cDNA microarray to measure gene expression changes and genetic pathways involved in response to hypoxia. Four HIF 1α clones didn’t show differentially expression during chronic hypoxia exposures. Similarly, none of the HIF clones showed significant changes in expression during cyclic hypoxia exposures and field studies. Thus HIF can’t be used as biomarker of chronic and cyclic exposures in both laboratory and field studies.

**Objective 4:** Determine if expression of hypoxia responsive genes can be used as an indicator of chronic and cyclic hypoxia exposure in the laboratory and field using microarrays.

The microarrays were used to examine differentially expressed genes in hypoxic vs. normoxic groups at 6 (H6), 12 (H12), 24 (H24), 48 (H48), 120 (H120), and 240 (H240) hours exposure to chronic hypoxia. Cluster analysis showed two response patterns, composed of an up- (including H6, H24, and H120) and down-regulated (including H12, H48,
and H240) dominated cluster. Venn diagrams of differentially expressed genes showed there is no gene up- or down-regulated common to all six groups. Changes of significant genes are too dynamic to serve as biomarkers of hypoxia stress in grass shrimp. However, some genes appear unique for specific time points. Most selected differentially expressed genes on the microarrays also showed similar up- or down-regulated patterns in qPCR.

Differentially expressed genes were determined in hypoxic vs. normoxic groups after 1, 2, 5 and 10 days exposure to cyclic hypoxia. Sampling on each day was conducted at two different time series, one in the morning (representing low DO, CA) and one in the afternoon (representing high DO, CP). There are distinct differences between the number and identity of specific genes that are significantly down- or up-regulated in shrimp collected at the low DO and high DO points of the cyclic DO cycle. However, cluster analysis showed that the overall response patterns of high (CP) and low DO (CA) exposures were in the same cluster at 24 hrs, 48 hrs, and 120 hrs. In contrast, the response patterns at different time points were in different clusters. There is no gene shared by any of the eight exposure groups. None of significant differentially expressed genes can serve as biomarkers of cyclic hypoxia stress in grass shrimp. Cyclic (high) DO regulated the expression of genes associated with a broader range of functional categories and pathways compared to cyclic (low) DO.

During cyclic hypoxia, microarray results had poor agreement with qPCR data. One possible explanation is that different modes of reverse transcription PCR results in the disagreement between microarray and qPCR. RNA used for microarray was reverse-transcribed with Oligo(dT)$_{18}$ primer, while the same RNA was amplified in the presence of random hexamers for qPCR. Random hexamer gives full length transcripts on average, and Oligo(dT) generates first strand cDNA from 3’ end of the transcripts. Better validation may be achieved if primers designed from 3’ end of the transcripts are used for qPCR.

In addition to genes obtained from the SSH libraries, several potentially hypoxia-
responsive genes, including CdMT, mSOD, cSOD, and trachealess, were cloned using a
gene-by-gene method, and showed significant differences in expression at certain time
points under chronic and cyclic hypoxia exposures. However, none of the genes are sig­
nificantly differentially expressed at all time points according to the cDNA microarray.

Only a few genes are differentially expressed in grass shrimp exposed to cyclic
hypoxia in the field study relative to those collected from a normoxic reference site. Com­
pared with laboratory chronic and cyclic exposures, gene expression of grass shrimp was
not as much affected by cyclic hypoxia probably because field DO conditions at our study
site were less severe than those used in our laboratory studies. Hemocyanin was found in
both up- and down-regulated genes. It appears that grass shrimp hemocyanin has at least
two distinct subunits. Since hemocyanin has been shown to play a role in immune defense
we suggest that up-regulation of hemocyanin may have been due to the presence of high
levels of parasite infestation at the cyclic DO field site.

Studies presented here demonstrated that while a HIF-1α homolog was success­
fully cloned from the grass shrimp, grass shrimp HIF is constitutively expressed and not
induced by chronic and cyclic hypoxia exposures in both laboratory and field studies.
While several potentially hypoxia-responsive genes were cloned and sequenced, none
of genes are consistently and significantly differentially expressed according to cDNA
microarray during chronic and cyclic hypoxia exposures. Some differentially expressed
genes were identified at certain time points during laboratory and field exposures, how­
ever, the lack of consistency limits their use as sensitive biomarkers of hypoxia stress in
aquatic ecosystem.

This study presents the first grass shrimp cDNA microarray constructed from a
limited number of cDNA clones to detect gene expression changes in response to hy­
poxia. The utility of shrimp microarray was confirmed in this study. GO-based and pathway­
based mapping of hypoxia-responsive genes to biological pathways and processes repre­
sents a key step in microarray data mining to illustrate why and how genes response to
hypoxia. Microarray provides a general picture of the molecular respond to hypoxia exposures under laboratory and field conditions, and of the regulation pathways affected by hypoxia exposures. Data analysis revealed that the response to chronic hypoxia was pronounced and transient at the experimental time points, and grass shrimp don’t respond gradually to chronic exposure. Gene expression changes of grass shrimp in response to cyclic hypoxia conditions were even more dynamic at different time points. The expression levels are not only dependent on the duration of exposure but also on the time of day. The dynamic nature of the response to hypoxia precludes a biologically meaningful interpretation of the limited data generated by this study.
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