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ABSTRACT

YELLOW HEAD VIRUS: TRANSMISSION AND GENOME ANALYSES

by I Iongwei Ma

December 2008

Yellow head virus (YHV) is an important pathogen to shrimp aquaculture. Among 13 species of naturally YHV-negative crustaceans in the Mississippi coastal area, the daggerblade grass shrimp, *Palaemonetes pugio*, and the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*, were tested for potential reservoir and carrier hosts of YHV using PCR and real time PCR. The YHV replicated in the daggerblade grass shrimp, and was still detectable on 36 d post-inoculation, causing 8% mortality after injection. However, YHV did not replicate in the blue crab. These data suggest that the daggerblade grass shrimp could act as a reservoir host for YHV.

Storage conditions of hemolymph samples containing YHV may result in a decline of virus or exhibit false-negative results in viral detection. The YHV-positive hemolymph was stored at either 4 or 25 °C for a 6-d period, the viral load number at 4 °C was not significantly different from that stored at 25 °C. The only difference was between the samples stored for 6-d at either 4 or 25 °C and those stored at -80 °C, suggesting that shrimp hemolymph can be stored at either 4 or 25 °C for 3–5 d without a significant reduction in measured YHV RNA levels.

The whole genome of 3 isolates in *Penaeus monodon* obtained from Thailand in 1992, 1995, and 1999 was sequenced. The only indel event in the coding region was located in the 5' end of ORF1a, containing a segment of 12 nt. Other indels occurred in the non-coding region. The 3' untranslated region (UTR) forms a putative pseudoknot,
with an octonucleotide motif being the counterpart in the 3' UTR of Coronavirus. The phylogeny of Nidovirales was reconstructed based on 48 nidovirus proteome sequences, demonstrating a consistency with the contemporary phylogeny.

Recombination in RNA viruses plays a major role in virus diversity and evolution. Seven recombination events were detected among the 4 YHV genomes with high statistical support. The divergence times for the most recent common ancestor of the YHV lineage were dated back to 1970-1980s based on 3 recombination-free data sets. These values were consistent with shrimp culture practice in Asia.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The focus of this research is to detect whether some crustaceans in the coastal area of the Gulf of Mexico can serve as reservoir or carrier hosts for a highly pathogenic virus, yellow head virus (YHV), to analyze how long YHV can be stable for diagnosis in hemolymph samples, to analyze 4 isolates of YHV genome, and to analyze the phylogeny of Nidovirales and the evolution of YHV.

Yellow head disease (YHD) has caused serious economic damage to Asian shrimp aquaculture in the 1990s, and YHV has been detected by Nunan et al. (1998) and our laboratory in the US in frozen commodity shrimp imported from Asia. This product can cause mortality in bioassay shrimps (Durand et al. 2000, Overstreet et al. unpublished). If local Gulf of Mexico animals get infected with YHV and serve as reservoir hosts, hosts in which virus replicates, or as carrier hosts, hosts in which virus does not replicate but can be transmitted, the virus may both be endangering local penaeid shrimp stocks in the wild and in penaeid aquaculture facilities. Defining a representative carrier and reservoir host will provide a useful tool to investigate the threat of YHV to the natural biodiversity and to predict the future epidemics for YHD.

Genomic information is important in unveiling YHV replication and transcription strategies. By comparing the genomes of YHV and other nidoviruses, I analyzed the genomic profiles of YHV; by analyzing the natural recombination of YHV isolates collected on different years, I predicted the evolution of YHV and the interaction between YHV and global shrimp aquaculture.
Yellow Head Disease and Yellow Head Virus

History of YHD and YHV

YHD is a highly pathogenic disease. It was first recognized in Thailand in 1990 and was named for the gross signs of disease that included a yellowish cephalothorax and pale overall coloration of moribund, infected shrimp (Limsuwan 1991, Boonyaratpalin et al. 1993, Chantanachookin et al. 1993). Histologically, YHV infections can be easily recognized by densely basophilic inclusions, particularly in hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stained gill sections (Flegel et al. 1997, Flegel 2006). Smears are useful in early stages of infection but not later when hemocyte populations have been depleted by the virus. YHV was first mistakenly considered to be a baculovirus (Chantanachookin et al. 1993, Wongteerasupaya et al. 1995a, Takahashi et al. 1996), but it was soon discovered during purification and characterization that some morphological features differed from that of baculoviruses (Wongteerasupaya et al. 1995a). By electron microscopy, thin tissue sections revealed the presence of unusual filamentous nucleocapsid precursors and much shorter, mature, rod-shaped, enveloped virions within the cytoplasm in necrotic lymphoid organ (LO) and gill cells, in masses underlying the cuticle of secondary gill lamellae, and in intercellular spaces. With negative staining, the virus particles were found to be enveloped with a halo of appendages characteristic of some RNA viruses. Then, it was found that the genome consisted of single-stranded (ss) RNA of positive sense (Wongteerasupaya et al. 1995a, Tang & Lightner 1999). Diagnostic probes were prepared by cDNA preparation and cloning (Tang & Lightner 1999) and reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) methods (Wongteerasupaya et al. 1997).
YHV-like viruses are found in wild and farmed penaeid shrimp. After the discovery of YHD, a virus morphologically indistinguishable from YHV, but characterized by spheroids within LO, was observed in healthy wild and farmed *P. monodon* in Australia in 1993-1994 and was named lymphoid organ virus (LOV) (Spann et al. 1995). Later, a disease with gross signs of a reddish host body and a brownish-pink discoloration of gills was subsequently observed in the summer seasons of 1995-1996, and the causative virus was named “gill-associated virus” (GAV) by its characteristic virions accumulating in abundance in the gills as well as in LO cells. The tissue distribution of GAV and histopathological alterations caused in LO and other tissues were very similar to those found in YHD, but the typical signs, including pale-yellowish coloration of the carapace and the rapid mortality, were not observed in shrimp infected with GAV. However, comparison of GAV from moribund shrimp and LOV from healthy shrimp indicated that they shared more than 97% sequence identity. Moreover, injection of filtered LO extract from LOV-infected *P. monodon* can induce acute GAV disease, and the diluted GAV inoculum prepared from moribund shrimp can result in an asymptomatic, chronic LOV-like infection that is primarily restricted to LO spheroid cells, indicating that GAV and LOV appear to represent the same virus recovered from chronic and acute infection states (Cowley et al. 2000).

Following the discovery of YHD, YHV-like virions were also detected commonly in healthy wild and farmed shrimp in Southeast Asia and the Indo-Pacific Region (see Munro & Owens 2007). Retrospective examination of earlier electron micrographs revealed the existence of virus in healthy broodstock prior to the emergence of YHD in Thailand (Flegel et al. 1995b). GAV infection was 98% in wild and farmed *P. monodon*
in Australia during 1997-1999 by the RT-PCR method (Cowley et al. 2000). Currently, there are at least 6 genotypes of YHV-like viruses detected, of which only YHV (genotype 1) and GAV (genotype 2) are pathogenic to penaeid shrimps. Genotype 1 was detected in Thailand, GAV/LOV (genotype 2) was detected in Australia, Thailand, and Vietnam, genotype 3 was detected in India, Malaysia, Mozambique, Taiwan, and Vietnam, genotype 4 was detected in India, genotype 5 was detected in Malaysia, Philippines, and Thailand, and genotype 6 was detected in Mozambique (Wijegoonawardane et al. 2008).

Screening the histologically diagnosed YHV-positive shrimp tissue from South Carolina, Texas, South and Central Americas using probe and RT-PCR, it was concluded that YHV was not present in America (Tang & Lightner 1999). But the frozen commodity shrimps imported from Asia were reported as YHV and white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) positive and the bioassay shrimp showed mortality after infected by either YHV or WSSV from the frozen commodity shrimps (Lightner et al. 1997, Durand et al. 2000). Recently, *Litopenaeus vannamei* cultured in salt and freshwater environments in Mexico along the Pacific coast were tested YHV-positive by RT-PCR and dot blot methods (de la Rosa-Vélez et al. 2006, Sánchez-Barajas et al. 2008). Moreover, WSSV and YHV have been detected by histological and PCR methods from penaeid shrimps in the Gulf of Mexico (Matthews & Overstreet, unpublished) before Hurricane Katrina in 2005, they apparently disappeared after hurricane for about 1.5 years, perhaps because of no shrimp processing plant was in operation during those period. Above data indicate that YHD may have emerged in Mexico or other American countries and the Gulf of Mexico.
The reason for the sudden emergence of a highly virulent YHV genotype in intensive *P. monodon* aquaculture in Thailand in the early 1990s remains unknown. Morphological, morphogenetic, pathological, and genetic evidences support that YHV may also have been involved in the crash of the shrimp farming industry in Taiwan in the mid-1980s (Chantanachookin et al. 1993, Walker et al. 2001). It is possible that YHD may have emerged from the asymptomatic chronic infections in *P. monodon* as a result of chance mutation or recombination event rather than host-switching of YHV because viruses in the YHV-complex display a strong natural host preference for *P. monodon* (see Cowley & Walker 2008). Pond densities and stock distribution networks in the intensive coastal aquaculture regions of Asia played an important role in accelerating outbreaks once YHD had emerged. Evidence showed that a low dose of GAV and YHV can develop a chronic persistent infection but escape disease (Flegel et al. 1995b, Anantasomboon et al. 2008). It is also known that changes in water pH or reduced dissolved oxygen can induce acute YHV infection in asymptomatic hosts (Flegel et al. 1995a). It is believed that YHV (genotype 1), like other genotypes, is a natural infection of *P. monodon* occurring at low prevalence as a chronic infection in wild populations before environmental stress factors can trigger acute replication of virus and produce acute morbidity and mortality (Cowley & Walker 2008).

**Taxonomy**

The order Nidovirales includes 3 families, Coronaviridae (consisting of the genera *Coronavirus* and *Torovirus*), Arteriviridae (consisting of *Arterivirus*), Roniviridae (consisting of *Okavirus*), and the unclassified genus *Bafinivirus*. The genus *Coronavirus* is further subdivided into 3 groups. Nidoviruses are enveloped, positive-sense, ss RNA
viruses that share similar genome organization (Figure 1) and replication strategy. However, they differ significantly from each other in terms of genome size (12.7 kb to more than 30 kb), virion morphology, host range, and various biological properties (Snijder & Meulenberg 1998, Gorbalenya et al. 2006, Siddell & Snijder 2008).

Nidoviruses have a linear ss RNA genome that contains a 5' cap structure and a 3' poly (A) tail (Figure 1). The nidovirus replicase gene comprises 2 large and overlapping open reading frames (ORFs) with each encoding a large replicase polyprotein, pp1a and pp1ab, respectively. These are proteolytically processed by 3 or 4 virus-encoded proteinases to produce the functional subunits, such as key viral enzymes like helicase and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) (Figure 2). Expression of the downstream ORF1b is mediated by a programmed -1 ribosomal frameshifting (RFS) just upstream of the ORF1a termination codon (Ziebuhr et al. 2000). A nested set of at least 2 (but usually 5 to 9) 3' co-terminal subgenomic (sg) mRNAs is produced in the infected cell to express the viral structural proteins and occasionally accessory proteins from genes located in the 3' -proximal third of the genome (the region downstream of ORF1b), which remains inaccessible for ribosomes translating the genome RNA (Figure 1).

Generally, expressed are the ORFs contained within the 5' unique regions only of each mRNA, i.e. the regions not found in the next smallest mRNA. Arterivirus and coronavirus transcription is regulated by several transcription-regulating sequences (TRSs) that are located both at the 5' end of the genome and preceding each ORF in the 3'-terminal third of the genome (van Marle et al. 1999, Zúiga et al. 2004, van den Born 2006). Transcription of the largest torovirus sg mRNA is presumably also regulated by such a TRS element. Transcription of all other torovirus sg mRNAs and each ronivirus sg

### Arteriviridae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Size (kb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5'</td>
<td>replicase ORF1a</td>
<td>EAV</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Coronaviridae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Size (kb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5'</td>
<td>replicase ORF1a</td>
<td>MHV</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'</td>
<td>heptad repeat (HR)</td>
<td>SARS</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Roniviridae

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Size (kb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5'</td>
<td>replicase ORF1a</td>
<td>GAV</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The genome organization of Nidovirales. Images redrawn from van den Born (2006) and van den Born & Snijder (2008).

All nidoviruses are distinguished by a common backbone of conserved functional domains in their nonstructural proteins. This conservation can be identified as the linear arrangement of (a) 3C-like protease (3CLP) flanked by 2 hydrophobic transmembrane domains, (b) a large RdRp, (c) a zinc finger binding and helicase domains, and (d) a uridylate-specific endoribonuclease (UN) (Siddell & Snijder 2008). Depending upon the specific nidovirus family, this backbone may be adorned by additional functions, including 1 or more papain-like protease (PLPs), ADP-ribose 1' -phosphatase (ADRP), RNA primase, 5' -to-3' exonuclease (ExoN), 2' -O-methyltransferase (O-MT), and cyclic phosphodiesterase (CPD) (Table 1).

Based on virion morphology, YHV was originally named yellow-head baculovirus (YBV) (Boonyaratpalin et al. 1993, Chantanachookin et al. 1993). However, after the discovery of a >22 kb RNA genome, Wongteerasupaya et al. (1995a) suggested
that YHV was more likely a rhabdovirus or coronavirus. The YHV genome was confirmed to be ~22 kb in length and comprising ssRNA, possessing negative polarity and related to rhabdoviruses (NadnLa et al. 1997). However, these data were refuted by evidence that viral RNA isolated from clarified shrimp hemolymph containing mature YHV particles only could be detected by in situ hybridization using an RNA probe of negative polarity with respect to a continuous ORF, or by RT-PCR using cDNA synthesized in this orientation, indicating that the YHV genome comprises positive sense ssRNA and that the virus could not be a rhabdovirus (Tang & Lightner 1999).

After finding that GAV has overlapped ORF1a and ORF1b coding the important replicase as other nidoviruses, and has 2 3′ coterminus sg RNAs, Cowley & Walker (2002) regarded YHV and GAV as belonging to nidoviruses. Based on genomic organization and RNA transcriptional strategy, GAV was designated as the type species of a new genus *Okavirus* in the new family Roniviridae within the order Nidovirales (Mayo 2002, Sittidilokratna et al. 2002, Walker et al. 2005).

Evidences that support inclusion of GAV and YHV in the order Nidovirales include the following: (a) large genome (>26 kb) of ss (+) RNA with 3′ polyA tail; (b) intracellular transcription of 2 3′ coterminous sg RNAs and dsRNA equivalents; (c) the translation of polyprotein pp1ab through a -1 ribosomal frame shifting sequence characterized by a slippery sequence AAAUUUU and a large pseudoknot; and (d) the existence of a 3C-like proteinase (3CLP) domain in ORF1a and ‘SDD’ motif in RdRp, metal binding domain, helicase 1, and other domains in ORF1b as in Nidovirales.

**Characteristics of Roniviridae**

As a unique family in Nidovirales, Roniviridae has the following characteristics:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Coronavirus</th>
<th>Torovirus</th>
<th>Arterivirus</th>
<th>Bafinivirus</th>
<th>Okavirus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virion</td>
<td>vertebrate</td>
<td>vertebrate</td>
<td>vertebrate</td>
<td>fish</td>
<td>crustacean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>architecture</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>discal or rod-shaped</td>
<td>spherical</td>
<td>rod-shaped</td>
<td>rod-shaped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>envelope</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>envelope spikes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>knob-like projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nucleocapsids symmetry</td>
<td>helical symmetry</td>
<td>helical symmetry</td>
<td>isosahedral</td>
<td>helical symmetry</td>
<td>helical symmetry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>virion size</td>
<td>120-160 nm</td>
<td>120-140 nm</td>
<td>45-60 nm</td>
<td>150 x 40 nm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spike glycoprotein (S)</td>
<td>180-220 kDa</td>
<td>200 kDa</td>
<td>30-45 kDa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>major surface glycoprotein (GP5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36-42 kDa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minor surface glycoprotein (GP2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 kDa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minor surface glycoprotein (GP3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15-28 kDa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minor surface glycoprotein (GP4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 kDa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large spike glycoprotein (gp116)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>110-135 kDa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small spike glycoprotein (gp64)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60-65 kDa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>membrane protein (M)</td>
<td>23-35 kDa</td>
<td>27 kDa</td>
<td>16 kDa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small envelope protein (E)</td>
<td>9-12 kDa</td>
<td></td>
<td>9 kDa</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.4 kDa?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nucleocapsid protein (N)</td>
<td>50-60 kDa</td>
<td>19 kDa</td>
<td>12 kDa</td>
<td></td>
<td>20-22 kDa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemagglutinin-esterase (HE)</td>
<td>65 kDa</td>
<td>65 kDa</td>
<td>65 kDa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genome</th>
<th>Coronavirus</th>
<th>Torovirus</th>
<th>Arterivirus</th>
<th>Bafinivirus</th>
<th>Okavirus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(+) ssRNA</td>
<td>27.4-31.4 kb</td>
<td>28.5 kb</td>
<td>12.7-15.7 kb</td>
<td>26.6 kb</td>
<td>26.2-26.7 kb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sgRNA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORFs</td>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>4-9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>3-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'UTR</td>
<td>195-528 nt</td>
<td>858 nt</td>
<td>156-224 nt</td>
<td>905 nt</td>
<td>68-71 nt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' leader</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slippery sequence</td>
<td>UUUAAAC</td>
<td>UUUAAAC</td>
<td>UUUAAAC</td>
<td>UUUAAAC</td>
<td>AAAUUUU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pseudoknot structure</td>
<td>2-3 helices</td>
<td>2 helices</td>
<td>2-4 helices</td>
<td>2 helices</td>
<td>4 helices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM domain in pp1a</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3CLP</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLP1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLP2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ExoN</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-MT</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPD</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADRP</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA primase</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'UTR</td>
<td>231-645 nt</td>
<td>195 nt</td>
<td>59-151 nt</td>
<td>260 nt</td>
<td>129-316 nt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Present in the longest of the 4 sgRNAs; 3C-like protease (3CLP), papain-like protease (PLPs), ADP-ribose 1'-phosphatase (ADRP), 5'-to-3' exonuclease (ExoN), uridylate-specific endoribonuclease (UN), 2'-O-methyltransferase (O-MT), and cyclic phosphodiesterase (CPD).
(a) members parasitizing invertebrates, especially penaeid shrimps (Cowley & Walker 2008);

(b) rod-shaped rather than spheroidal or discal (Boonyaratpalin et al. 1993, Chantanachookin et al. 1993);

(c) 5' untranslated region (UTR) short, 68-71 nt, without a typical 5' leader structure as seen in other nidoviruses (Dhar et al. 2004);

(d) hydrophobic transmembrane (TM) domains 4 rather than 3 as in pp1a of Coronaviridae and Arteriviridae (Cowley et al. 2000, Cowley & Walker 2008);

(e) genetic organization of structural proteins differ, e.g., nucleocapsid (N) protein is the first structural protein rather than the last as in members of Coronaviridae and Arteriviridae (van den Born & Snijder 2008); and

(f) ORF4 truncated (Wijegoonawardane et al. 2008, Sittidilokratna et al. 2008).

Okavirus Genome Organization

The unique features of Okavirus, the type genus in the family Roniviridae (Mayo 2002), are listed in Table 1. The complete nucleotide sequences have been determined for the (+)sense ss RNA genomes of GAV (26,235 nt) and YHV (26,662 nt) (Cowley et al. 2000, Sittidilokratna et al. 2008). As shown in Figure 2, the GAV genome contains 5 long ORFs arranged in the order 5' -ORFla/b-ORF2-ORF3-ORF4-3' and is polyadenylated at the 3' terminus (Cowley & Walker 2008). The ORF4 gene of Okavirus varies. In YHV, the ORF4 gene is truncated relative to GAV due to a nucleotide insertion near the 5' end of the gene (Sittidilokratna et al. 2008).
Figure 2. Schematic organization of *Okavirus*. Image redrawn from Sittidilokratna et al. (2008). Functional domains in ORFla: hydrophobic transmembrane regions (TM1-TM4), 3C-like protease (3CLP), papain-like protease (PL1), and a domain (PL2) homologous to PL1. Functional domains in ORFlb: RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), cysteine- and histidine-rich domain (C/H), helicase (HEL), exoribonuclease (ExoN), uridylicate-specific endoribonuclease (UN), and ribose-O-methyl transferase (MT). ORF2 encodes the nucleoprotein (p20, also N protein). ORF3 encodes a polyprotein post-translated to envelope proteins (gp116 and gp64) and N-terminal unknown protein (p22). The ribosomal frameshift sequence (RFS) allows read-through translation of pp1ab from ORFla and ORFlb, with known (arrows) and possible (arrowhead) sites of proteolytic cleavage of polyproteins indicated.

Secondary Structure of Ribosomal Frameshifting Sequence

RNA pseudoknots are structural elements found in almost all classes of RNA. Pseudoknots form when a single-stranded region in the loop of a hairpin base-pairs with a stretch of complementary nucleotides elsewhere in the RNA chain. This simple folding strategy is capable of generating a large number of stable 3-dimensional folds that display a diverse range of highly specific functions in a variety of biological processes.

Nidoviruses produce 2 large replicase polyproteins, pp1a and pp1ab. Translation of ORFla terminates at a translation stop codon in a region where ORFla and ORFlb briefly overlap. Translation can either be terminated at the ORFla stop codon or continued following a –1 RFS just upstream of this termination codon. RFS signals generally contain 2 elements: a heptanucleotide slippery sequence (XXXYYYYN) and a
frameshift RNA pseudoknot, located 5-9 nt downstream the slippery sequence. In general, the slippery sequence consists of triplets of A, U or G residues, followed by the tetranucleotide UUUA, UUUU or AAAC (Jacks et al. 1988, ten Dam et al. 1990, 1994, Brierley 1995, Cowley et al. 2000, Giedroc et al. 2000, Sittidilokratna et al. 2002) (Figure 3). This type of –1 RFS signal has been identified in a number of virus groups, such as retroviruses (Jacks et al. 1988, Chamorro et al. 1992), double-stranded RNA viruses of yeast (Tzeng et al. 1992, Lopinski et al. 2000), astroviruses (Marczinke et al. 1994, Lewis & Matsui 1997), and luteoviruses (Prüfer et al. 1992). Among Nidovirales, the RNA elements responsible for RFS during nidovirus genome translation were first identified for infectious bronchitis virus (IBV), a member of group 3 coronavirus (Brierley et al. 1987, 1989, 1991). The frameshift signal of IBV consists of a heptanucleotide UUUAAAC stretch, which is highly conserved in coronaviruses, followed by an RNA pseudoknot (Figure 3L).

The primary structure of this pseudoknot apparently is not a determinant in the frameshifting mechanism. Frameshift-inducing pseudoknots similar to that of IBV were identified in coronavirus group 1 (Figure 3G & H) and group 2 (Figure 3I-K). A slippery sequence and downstream pseudoknot were also identified in the genome of the torovirus BEV (Figure 3F) and the recently sequenced Bafinivirus (Figure 3B), and both were similar to those in coronaviruses but with a relatively short connecting loop. RFS signals similar to those of coronaviruses were also predicted by some research groups studying arterivirus genomes (Figure 3C-E) (Snijder et al. 1990, Godeny et al. 1993, Snijder & Meulenberg 1998, Wootton et al. 2000).
Figure 3. RNA secondary structure models for the ribosomal frameshift (RFS) sites in Nidovirales. (A) Roniviridae, arrowheads showing the compensatory base changes (CBC) and semi-CBC between yellow head virus (YHV) and gill-associated virus (GAV). (B) White bream virus (genus Bafinivirus) (from Schütze et al. 2006). (C) Lactate dehydrogenase-elevating virus (Godeny et al. 1993). (D) Equine arteritis virus. (E) Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus. (F) Breda Torovirus. (G) Coronavirus group 1. (H) Human coronavirus 229E. (I) Coronavirus group 2a. (J) SARS Coronavirus. (K) Coronavirus group 2c. (L) Coronavirus group 3.
Compared with other nidoviruses, the RFS of *Okavirus* has the following characteristics:

(a) a unique "slippery" sequence AAAUUUU rather than UUUAAAC as in *Coronavirus, Torovirus, Bafinivirus, and Arterivirus* (only EAV has a GUUAAAC);

(b) stop codon UAA of ORF1a occurs ~30-nt downstream of the slippery sequence; while the stop codon of coronaviruses locates upstream of the slippery sequence, in arteriviruses, the stop codon locates immediately 0-3 nt downstream slippery sequence, but in *Bafinivirus*, it is located 18 nt downstream from the slippery sequence;

(c) more nucleotides involved in the formation of RFS than other nidoviruses (except human coronavirus 229E); and

(d) 2 special stem and loop structures (S3, S4, L3, and L4) involving RFS formation (Figure 3).

In *Coronavirus*, there is a third stem-loop structure in addition to the pseudoknot (Figure 3G-L), whereas arteriviruses do not have this stem-loop (except for EAV); specifically, the stem-loop structure is different in position, nucleotide number and configuration.

**Structural Protein Genes**

Other distinguishing features of *Okavirus* genome organization are the number, order, and structure of genes encoding the structural proteins (Figure 2, Table 1). Okaviruses contain only 2 structural protein genes even though there are 3 putative genes. The ORF2 gene, encoding the N protein (p20), is located upstream of the glycoprotein gene rather than near the 3′ end of the genome as in other nidoviruses; *Okavirus* ORF3 gene is unique in that it encodes a polyprotein from which the 2 structural glycoproteins
are released by posttranslational enzymatic cleavage (Cowley & Walker 2002, Jitrapakdee et al. 2003) (Figure 2). Proteolytic cleavage of ORF3 is also predicted to generate a small triple-membrane-spanning protein (p22 as in Figure 2) that is similar in size and structure to the integral membrane proteins M and 3a proteins of other nidoviruses. However, other nidovirus M and 3a proteins, encoded in discrete cistrons, are structural components of the virion, and have a membrane topology in which the N terminus is external. The Okavirus M-like protein is not a major component of virions and is predicted to have a membrane topology in which the C-terminus is external.

Another unique characteristic of Okavirus is that the ORF4 is extremely truncated, with 20 amino acids in YHV, 36 amino acids in genotype 3 and 4, 37 amino acids in genotype 5, and 83 amino acids in GAV (genotype 2) (Wijeoonawardane 2007, Sittidilokratna et al. 2008).

**Mechanism of Subgenomic RNA Synthesis**

Transcription of a 3′ -coterminal nested set of sg mRNAs is a prerequisite for classification within the order Nidovirales. In Okavirus, 3 mRNAs were detected, of which the first is a genome-length RNA (RNA1), the second is an ~ 6-kb sg mRNA, and the third is an ~ 5.5-kb sg mRNA.

An Okavirus-like transcription strategy of without 5′ leader sequence appears to be employed to produce all but the largest of the Torovirus sg mRNAs (van den Born & Snijder 2008). However, the TRS present in the Torovirus intergenic region (IGR) is also highly conserved, and, like in okaviruses, directs the synthesis of sg mRNAs with 5′-AC dinucleotide termini (Cowley & Walker 2008).
The development of a reverse genetics system to rescue modified synthetic genomes, which in recent years has facilitated the analysis of the transcriptional mechanisms used by arteriviruses and coronaviruses (see Curtis et al. 2004, Yount et al. 2003, 2006, Masters & Rottier 2005, Deming & Baric 2008), may help explore the molecular mechanisms governing okavirus replication and transcription. However, such technology awaits establishment of a continuous cell line from shrimp or another crustacean that supports the replication of okaviruses.

Virion Morphology and Assembly

Okavirus virion is an enveloped rod-shaped structure with size of 150-200 × 45 nm (Boonyaratpalin et al. 1993, Chantanachookin et al. 1993, Lu et al. 1994, Spann et al. 1995, 1997, Wongteerasupaya et al. 1995a, Wang et al. 1996, Smith 2000). The lipid envelope is studded with regularly spaced projections, ~8 nm thick and up to 11 nm long. The internal nucleocapsid is a tightly coiled structure with a diameter of ~25 nm and a 5-7 nm helical periodicity. Unassembled nucleocapsids appear slightly smaller in diameter (14-18 nm), varying substantially in length from ~80 to over 800 nm (Chantanachookin et al. 1993, Spann et al. 1995). Nucleocapsids bud at endoplasmic reticulum/Golgi membranes or occasionally at the plasma membrane or nuclear envelope into cytoplasmic vesicles (Boonyaratpalin et al. 1993, Chantanachookin et al. 1993). Release of mature virions into intercellular space appears to occur primarily by the fusion of vesicles with the plasma membrane.

The Okavirus particle comprises 2 envelope glycoproteins, gp116 and gp64, and a p20 structural N protein that are associated with the genomic RNA to form the helical viral nucleocapsids (Jitrapakdee et al. 2003).
Transmission

Horizontal transmission of YHV in *P. monodon* occurs readily following cannibalism of infected carcasses and through exposure to infected shrimp tissue in seawater (Flegel et al. 1995b, Lightner et al. 1998). In high-density aquaculture systems, cannibalism of weak, infected shrimp is a common behavior and accounts for the rapid escalation of disease and mortalities after YHV and GAV introductions. YHV has also been transmitted to *P. monodon* by feeding the non-penaeid shrimps *Acetes* spp. and *Palaemon styliferus* collected from ponds affected by YHD (Flegel et al. 1997), implicating non-penaeid crustacean species as sources of infection. Feeding tissue of diseased shrimp to *P. monodon* postlarvae has also been shown to induce mortalities in 20-d postlarval (PL20) shrimp but not in PL15 shrimp, suggesting an age-related susceptibility of postlarvae to disease (Flegel et al. 1995b). Frozen commodity shrimps are 1 of the major routes by which YHV may be dispersed to other countries (Durand et al. 2000). Unlike TSV, however, feces of birds fed shrimp with YHD have not been shown to transmit disease, which might be expected since the virus particles possess a lipid envelope. The lipid envelope of YHV may be digested in the digestive tract of birds, suggesting that birds are unlikely to transmit YHV among ponds and farms by feces (Vanpatten et al. 2004). Recently, some marine microalgae have been shown to transmit WSSV (Liu et al. 2007), but whether such microalgae can transmit YHV to shrimp remains unknown.

Vertical transmission of GAV has been detected in *P. monodon* from wild and farmed individuals and may occur for YHV. Unlike YHV, GAV has been detected by RT-PCR in spermatophores and in mature ovarian tissue (Walker et al. 2001).
spermatophores. In situ hybridization and TEM have identified the presence of virions in seminal fluid of adult males reared in captivity (Cowley et al. 2002). Furthermore, GAV levels detected in eggs, nauplii, protozoa, and PL5 suggested that transmitted virus was associated with the egg (Cowley et al. 2002). PCR detection showed that YHV and GAV at high prevalence in healthy postlarvae in hatcheries in Vietnam also suggested that virus transmitted in eggs from brooders is the likely source of infection (Phan 2001).

Host Range

YHV has been reported to infect a range of crustacean species. In addition to *P. monodon* (Flegel et al. 1995b, Flegel 1997, Walker et al. 2001, Dhar et al. 2004, Walker 2006, Cowley & Walker 2008), natural infections have been reported in *P. merguiensis* and *Metapenaeus ensis* as well as the non-penaeids *Palaemon styliferus, Euphasia superba* (Flegel et al. 1995b, 1997). The Western Hemisphere species, *Litopenaeus stylirostris* and *L. vannamei*, are also susceptible to experimental infection, disease, and mortalities (Lu et al. 1994, Lightner et al. 1998). However, even though juvenile *Farfantepenaeus aztecus, F. duorarum, and L. setiferus* showed disease and mortalities when fed with YHV-infected shrimp carcasses, postlarvae are refractive to disease, indicating again that disease susceptibility is age- or stage-related (Lightner et al. 1998). *Metapenaeus ensis* and *Fenneropenaeus merguiensis* can be infected by injection or exposure to membrane-filtered tissue extracts of YHV-infected *P. monodon* (Flegel et al. 1995b). The extracts of *Acetes* spp. from YHD-affected ponds can produce YHD in *P. monodon* by injection, indicating that non-penaeid species can serve as potential reservoirs of YHV. *Palaemon styliferus* was also shown to be a reservoir host to transmit YHV to *P. monodon* (Flegel et al. 1995b). Five palaemonid shrimp species,
Macrobrachium rosenbergii, M. lanchesteri, M. sintangense, P. styliferus, and P. serrifer, were experimentally exposed to YHV, and all but M. rosenbergii and M. lanchesteri were susceptible to YHV; however, natural infection has not been reported in those species (Longyant et al. 2005).

Shrimp Viral Diseases

Reservoir and Carrier Host Concepts

The term “reservoir host” refers to a host in which the agent replicates and the host serves as a reservoir of a virus able to infect another host of interest. A chronic infection in the reservoir host can provide long-term storage of virus between epidemics in penaeids. “Carrier hosts” are those vectors in which the virus does not replicate, but virus can remain active until departing the host or transmitted through food webs.

Pathogenicity and Virulence

“Pathogenicity” is the quality or state of being pathogenic, the potential ability to produce disease; whereas, “virulence” is the disease producing-power of an organism, or the degree of pathogenicity within a group. Pathogenicity is a qualitative term, an “all-or-none” concept; whereas, virulence is a term that quantifies pathogenicity (Shapiro-Ilan et al. 2005). These definitions readily apply to both lethal and non-lethal diseases.

Invertebrate pathologists commonly use dose-response bioassays to estimate virulence as LD50 or LC50 (dose or concentration needed to kill 50% of hosts exposed, Thomas & Elkinton [2004]).
Table 2
Penaeid viruses. (As of August 2008; modified from Lightner 1999).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nimaviridae (dsDNA)</td>
<td>white spot syndrome virus (WSSV)</td>
<td>Wongleerasupaya et al. 1995b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baculoviridae (dsDNA)</td>
<td>Baculovirus penaei-type (BP)</td>
<td>Couch 1974, Broch et al. 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Penaeus monodon-type (MBV)</td>
<td>Lightner 1983, Lester et al. 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baculoviral midgut gland necrosis virus (BMV)</td>
<td>Brock &amp; Lightner 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type C baculovirus of <em>P. monodon</em> (TCBV)</td>
<td>Lightner 1996b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hemocyte-infecting nonoccluded baculo-like virus (PHRV)</td>
<td>Owens 1993F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iridoviridae (dsDNA)</td>
<td>Shrimp iridovirus</td>
<td>Lightner &amp; Redman 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parvoviridae (ssDNA)</td>
<td>Infectious hypodermal and hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHHNV)</td>
<td>Lightner et al. 1983, Bonami et al. 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatopancreatic parvovirus (HPV)</td>
<td>Lightner &amp; Redman 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spawner-isolated mortality virus (SMV)</td>
<td>Fraser and Owens 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lymphoidal parvo-like virus (LPV)</td>
<td>Owens et al. 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicistroviridae (ssRNA+)</td>
<td>Taura syndrome virus (TSV)</td>
<td>Brock et al. 1995, Hasson et al. 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roniviridae (ssRNA+)</td>
<td>Yellow head virus (YHV)</td>
<td>Boonyaratpalin et al. 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lymphoid organ virus (LOV)</td>
<td>Spann et al. 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Togaviridae (ssRNA+)</td>
<td>Lymphoid organ vacuolization virus (LOVV)</td>
<td>Bonami et al. 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totiviridae (dsRNA)</td>
<td>Infectious myonecrosis virus (IMNV)</td>
<td>Lightner et al. 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoviridae (dsRNA)</td>
<td>Reo-like virus III</td>
<td>Tsing &amp; Bonami 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reo-like virus IV</td>
<td>Hukuhara &amp; Bonami 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhabdoviridae (ssRNA-)</td>
<td>Rhabdovirus of penaeid shrimp (RPSV)</td>
<td>Nadala et al. 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Laem-Singh virus (LSNV)</td>
<td>Sritunyalucksana et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Important and Emerging Viral Diseases in Shrimps

The most economically important diseases of cultured penaeid shrimps are caused by infectious agents (Flegel 1997, Flegel & Alday-Sanz 1998, Lightner 1999). Since the first recognized shrimp virus, baculovirus penaeid type virus (BP), was reported (Couch 1974), over 20 shrimp viruses have been described (Lightner 1999; Table 2). Of those, infectious hypodermal and hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHHNV), Taura syndrome virus (TSV), WSSV, YHV, and baculoviruses have caused severe economic losses in the shrimp farming industry (Lightner 1999).

As a consequence of the rapid growth and development of the penaeid aquaculture industry, many of the most significant shrimp pathogens were moved from the regions where they initially appeared to new regions (Lightner 1996, Flegel & Alday-Sanz 1998). The viral diseases caused by IHHNV, TSV, and WSSV were all transferred before their etiology was understood and diagnostic methods were established. International trade accelerated the transmission of viral diseases between 2 hemispheres. Frozen commodity shrimp have been implicated as the route of spread of WSSV from Asia to Americas. TSV was transferred to Asia with infected live broodstock from Central America (Nunan et al. 1998, Durand et al. 2000, Yu & Song 2000, Nielsen et al. 2005, Do et al. 2006, Liu et al. 2007). Recently, after infectious myonecrosis virus (IMMNV) was detected in Brazil in 2004 (Tang et al. 2005, Poulos & Lightner 2006, Poulos et al. 2006), this dsRNA virus was then detected in 2006 in Indonesia, where it caused serious disease (Senapin et al. 2007). The pandemic outbreaks due to the penaeid viruses have cost the shrimp industry billions of dollars, e.g., $5-7 billion from WSSV,
$1-2$ billion from TSV, $0.5$ billion from YHV, and $0.5-1$ billion from IHNV (Lightner 2003).

More recently, additional emerging diseases have been found in shrimp aquaculture, such as monodon slow growth syndrome (MSGS) that may be caused by Laem-Singh virus (LSNV) (Chayaburakul et al. 2004, Anantasomboon et al. 2006, Sakaew 2006, Sritunyalucksana et al. 2006, Prakash et al. 2007), loose shell syndrome (LSS) (Mayavu et al. 2003, Gopalakrishan & Parida 2005, Alavandi et al. 2007), and bamboo-shaped disease (BSD) (Sakaew 2006). Viruses may be the cause even though they are yet to be confirmed for these emerging diseases.

**Shrimp Susceptibility to YHV and Antiviral Research**

*Penaeid cellular Receptors and Genes Related to Viral Infection*

Shrimp defense mechanisms, including the signaling system, are poorly understood. Nonetheless, interest in understanding shrimp immunity has increased because of its importance in the control of diseases.

There are 2 putative cell receptors that have been cloned and analyzed. A Toll receptor gene has been cloned from *L. vannamei* (Yang et al. 2007). The Toll contains 926 residues, with a putative signal peptide of 19 residues expressed in many tissues, including hemocyte, gill, heart, brain, stomach, intestine, pyloric cecum, muscle, nerve, hypodermis, and sperm. A putative cell receptor for YHV of ~65 kilodalton (kDa) protein has been identified by using a virus overlay protein binding assay (Assavalapsakul et al. 2006). Double-stranded RNA corresponding to the coding sequence of this receptor has been shown to markedly reduce expression levels in LO cells and YHV RNA levels detected following virus challenge, indicating that this protein
plays a role in the intracellular transmission of YHV. However, whether it indeed functions directly as the YHV cell surface receptor or indirectly through co-association with heparin sulfate or glycosaminoglycans remains to be determined (Assavalapsakul et al. 2006).

Some penaeid shrimp pattern-recognition protein genes have been cloned. The β-1,3-glucan binding protein genes have been cloned from *P. monodon* (Sritunyalucksana et al. 2002) and *L. vannamei* (Romo-Figueroa et al. 2004, Cheng et al. 2005). Lipopolysaccharide gene has been cloned from *L. vannamei* by Cheng et al. (2005) and *L. stylirostris* by Roux et al. (2002). Lectin genes have been cloned from *P. monodon* by Luo et al. (2006) and *L. schmitti* by Cominetti et al. (2002). A defender against apoptotic death gene (DAD1), expressed in the hepatopancreas, hemocytes, and digestive tissue was recently cloned from *P. monodon* (Molthathong et al. 2008). Real-time RT-PCR with RNA extracts from hemocyte of *P. monodon* exposed to YHV revealed that the transcriptional level of DAD1 declined dramatically after YHV exposure. Moreover, an apoptosis-associated shrimp translational controlled tumor protein (TCTP) has a similar gene expression pattern to DAD1 in viral infected shrimp, suggesting that the DAD1 protein would be more likely to act in concert with other proteins in the control of apoptosis (Bangrak et al. 2004). Although this interaction suggests that DAD1 or TCTP may play a role in mortality caused by YHV, control of apoptosis is complex and involves the interaction of many proteins, few of which have been characterized for shrimp. Hence, the role of DAD1 awaits the description and characterization of other proteins.
Inhibition of YHV Replication by dsRNA and Morpholino

RNA interference (RNAi) is a dsRNA-induced gene silencing mechanism that is known to mediate antiviral responses in invertebrates, vertebrates, and plants (e.g., Cowley & Walker 2008). Recent studies demonstrated that introduction of dsRNA into shrimp prior to viral challenge can prevent viral propagation and shrimp mortality (Robalino et al. 2004, 2005, Tirasophon et al. 2005, Yodmuang et al. 2006, Tirasophon et al. 2007). Synthetic dsRNAs corresponding to regions in the 3CLP, RdRp, and helicase domains of the YHV replicase genes have shown to abrogate cytopathologic effects and substantially reduce viral RNA and gp116 levels in YHV-infected LO cells. Injection into juvenile shrimp with a 3CLP-specific dsRNA also inhibited YHV replication (Yodmuang et al. 2006). Both in LO cell culture and in shrimp treated in vivo, the sequence-specific inhibitory effects of dsRNA were dose dependent and sustained for up to 5 days (Tirasophon et al. 2005, Yodmuang et al. 2006). RNAi is considered a promising strategy for controlling viral diseases and has demonstrated in many organisms. However, many obstacles in development of the RNAi-based approach into a practical means of antiviral control need to be overcome. Delivery of dsRNA to target cells or tissues is one of the major bottlenecks in development of RNAi-based drugs and therapeutics (Tirasophon et al. 2007). Although injected dsRNA provides effective antiviral activity, it may not provide sustainable antiviral immunity in shrimps.

Antisense phosphorodiamidate morpholino oligomers (PMOs) are short chains of about 25 morpholino subunits with high binding affinity and exquisite specificity to mRNA. They can block translation initiation in the cytosol (by targeting the 5' UTR through the first 25 bases of coding sequence), can modify pre-mRNA splicing in the
nucleus, or can inhibit mRNA maturation and activity. Morpholinos are stable in cells and do not induce innate immune responses. The novel delivery systems like ‘endo-porter’ for cultured cells and vivo-morpholinos make it easy to deliver morpholinos into cells or animals (Summerton 1999, 2005, 2007, Bastide et al. 2006). The strong antiviral effect observed suggests that with further development, PMO may provide an effective therapeutic approach against a broad range of coronavirus and arterivirus infections (Neuman et al. 2005, 2006, van den Born et al. 2005, Burrer et al. 2007). However, as indicated above, morpholino delivery remains the major obstacle to overcome before this approach can be used to protect shrimp from viral infection.

Study Objectives

Can Some of the Crustaceans Commonly Found in the Gulf of Mexico Serve as Reservoir or Carrier Hosts for YHV?

Prior studies of local penaeids and locally processed imported commodity frozen shrimp products from Asia suggested that YHV may be introduced into local waters. If local Gulf of Mexico animals are getting infected with YHV, then the virus may be endangering local penaeid stocks and possibly other invertebrates. Moreover, if the virus infects local animals, it can also infect similar animals in Florida and Texas and endanger penaeid culture facilities located near shrimp processing plants in those states.

I will initiate experimental studies on some representative invertebrates to determine susceptibility to YHV (e.g., blue crab, fiddler crabs, stone crab, grass shrimps, penaeids, and other representative invertebrates). The animals will be fed and injected with YHV-positive tissue and homogenate, respectively. The samples (hemolymph and other tissues) will be collected and stored at -80 °C before RNA extraction. The YHV
infection will be detected by conventional PCR, semi-nested PCR or real-time PCR to determine whether these local crustaceans can serve as a representative reservoir host and carrier host being pathogenic or infective to the penaeids in the Gulf of Mexico.

*How Long Can YHV in Shrimp Samples Be Stable For Diagnosis by RT-PCR?*

It is of interest to know how long after collection YHV in shrimp samples can be detected by conventional RT-PCR. Shrimp farmers and managers usually care about how long the shrimp samples can be stored and still be useful for detection of viral pathogens.

*Genome Analysis of YHV Isolated from Penaeus monodon*

YHV is a disastrous agent to the shrimp aquaculture and causes serious economic losses compared with its congener GAV that had been completely sequenced in 2000. The whole genome of an early isolate collected in 1992 from Thailand is still not sequenced though it has been researched for bioassay, pathology, in situ hybridization, and molecular biology by most of the U.S. researchers in Arizona and Hawaii. Moreover, 2 YHV isolates from frozen commodity shrimp imported from Thailand in 1995 and 1999 proved to be able to infect and kill bioassay shrimp.

Sequencing and analyzing of these isolates collected from different years will enable us not only to understand the evolution and of this virus, but also provide us with information for the replication and transcription strategies for this virus.

*Evolution of YHV through Natural Recombination*

Recombination is a common phenomenon in viruses, especially RNA viruses. After sequencing different isolates of YHV collected from different years and different sources, I can predict how this virus evolves under natural environments using some
recently developed programs. I may analyze the putative divergence time when YHV emerged as a pathogenic agent to shrimp aquaculture.
CHAPTER II
DAGGERBLADE GRASS SHRIMP (*Palaemonetes pugio*): A RESERVOIR HOST FOR YELLOW HEAD VIRUS (YHV)

Abstract

Yellow head virus (YHV) is a major pathogen in penaeid shrimps. I surveyed small samples of 13 crustacean species in 8 families from 2 orders, commonly found in the Mississippi coastal area and freshwater environments for potential reservoir or carrier hosts of YHV using semi-nested PCR, without detecting any natural infection. The daggerblade grass shrimp, *Palaemonetes pugio*, and the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*, were exposed to YHV by injection and per os. The dynamics of YHV in the cephalothorax of daggerblade grass shrimp and in the hemolymph of blue crab were detected by semi-nested RT-PCR and qRT-PCR. The YHV replicated in daggerblade grass shrimp, causing 8% mortality (9/112) after injection. The viral titer in daggerblade grass shrimp reached a peak at 14 d post-inoculation (PI) and was still detectable on 36 d PI. The infection rate and viral load, however, in daggerblade grass shrimp were low when the virus was administered by feeding. Viral RNA in hemolymph of blue crab by injection was sustained at a low level for 3 d and expired after 7 d PI, and viral RNA by feeding reached a peak on 3 d PI, and was still detectable on 7 d PI, but became undetectable by 14 and 21 d PI. These data suggest that the daggerblade grass shrimp under some conditions could act as a reservoir host for YHV but that the blue crab cannot; the blue crab could serve as a poor carrier host.
Introduction

Yellow head virus (YHV) was first described from an epizootic infection in Thailand shrimp farms (Limsuwan 1991). Subsequent outbreaks of YHV have been reported from cultivated shrimp throughout Asia (Walker 2006). Infections have also been reported from frozen imported commodity shrimp in the United States (Durand et al. 2000, Ma & Overstreet, unpublished) and from 2 cultured penaeid shrimps, *Litopenaeus vannamei* and *Litopenaeus stylirostris*, along the west coast of Mexico (de la Rosa-Vélez et al. 2006, Sánchez-Barajas et al. 2008). As the cause of an important emerging shrimp disease, YHV has caused an estimated economic loss of $500 million US from its discovery in 1991 until 2006 (Lightner, personal communication). The YHV and 2 other related viruses from Australia, gill-associated virus (GAV) and lymphoid organ virus (LOV), have been placed in the family Roniviridae of the order Nidovirales (see Cowley & Walker 2002, Gorbalenya et al. 2006).

Populations of grass shrimps (*Palaemonetes* spp., Palaemonidae, Decapoda) are important consumers and are the key prey for many crustacean and fish species. Among these grass shrimp species, the daggerblade grass shrimp, *Palaemonetes pugio*, living in habitats of shallow water in or around tidal marshes, submerged vegetation, and oyster reefs (Anderson 1985), is distributed in Atlantic and Gulf coasts from Massachusetts to Texas. They survive in salinity less than 1 to over 30-ppt (Heard 1982) and constitute more than 95% of all grass shrimps from estuarine tidal areas in many locations along the coast lines.

The blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*, occurs commonly along the Gulf of Mexico; its natural distribution includes most coasts of the western Atlantic Ocean from Nova
Scotia to Argentina. It comprises 1 of the most valuable commercial fisheries in the U.S. (Kennedy et al. 2007). Recent research has shown that the blue crab can serve as a reservoir host for the white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) (Chapman et al. 2004, Matthews & Overstreet, unpublished).

Studies by Thai researchers on YHV carrier or reservoir hosts reported a limited number of hosts such as the sergestid Acetes sp. (Flegel et al. 1995a, b) and the palaemonids Palaemon serrifer, P. styliferus, Macrobrachium sintangense, and M. lanchesteri but not 16 species of crabs belonging to 6 families (Longyant et al. 2006). The purpose of this study was to probe the dynamics of YHV in the cephalothorax of daggerblade grass shrimp and the hemolymph of blue crabs by qRT-PCR after injection exposure and by semi-nested RT-PCR after per os exposure to evaluate their potential as reservoir and carrier hosts of YHV.

Materials and Methods

YHV Isolate

The YHV isolate (YHV92) used in this study was originally collected in 1992 from Penaeus monodon in Thailand; this viral isolate has been used by previous authors (e.g., Lu et al. 1994, 1997, Natividad et al. 1999, Tang & Lightner 1999). Partial sequences of this isolate were deposited in GenBank with acc. no. DQ978355-DQ978363 by de la Rosa-Vélez and colleagues. I sequenced the whole genome of this isolate and deposited the sequence in GenBank as acc. no. XXXXXX. YHV92 homogenate was prepared as follows: 10 g cephalothorax tissue was homogenized in 90 ml TN buffer, centrifuged at 1800 × g and 4 °C for 5 min. The supernatant was decanted and centrifuged again at 1800 × g and 4 °C for 5 min. The resultant supernatant was
centrifuged at 18000 \times g and 4 ^\circ C for 20 min. This supernatant was then aliquoted into numerous 1.5 ml vials and stored at -80 {\circ} C. In injection experiments, an aliquot was diluted with 2% saline and filtered through a 0.45-\mu m membrane. A 100-\mu l inoculum of 1:1000 diluted tissue homogenate of YHV92 was injected into the third abdominal segment of specific pathogen free (SPF) Litopenaeus vannamei (obtained from Shrimp Improvement System, Florida). When some shrimp became moribund 3 d post-inoculation (PI), the hemolymph was drawn using an EDTA-coated 1-ml syringe and samples were pooled as a reference stock of the virus. The pooled shrimp hemolymph and tissue samples were stored in aliquots at -80 {\circ} C until required.

**Experimental Animals**

During May-September 2007, I collected 13 species of crustaceans belonging to 8 families in 2 orders in the coastal areas and a freshwater bayou in Mississippi (Table 3). *Palaemonetes pugio*, 4 species of *Uca*, *Armases cinereum* and *Sesarma recticidatum* were collected from the coast near Ocean Springs, Mississippi (30°23'45.99''N, 88°48'36.53''W); *Callinectes sapidus*, *Clibanarius vittatus*, *Menippe adina*, and *Squilla empusa* were captured near Deer Island, Biloxi, Mississippi (30°21'56.15''N, 88°50'14.03''W); *Emerita talpoida* was collected from Horn Island, Mississippi (30°14'34.10''N, 88°39'44.29''W); and *Palaemonetes kadiakensis* was collected from a bayou in Gulfport, Mississippi (30°28'16.68''N, 89° 9'37.09''W). After collection, the cephalothorax were tested for natural infection using semi-nested PCR, and then the uninfected animals were acclimatized in 19-l tanks containing artificial sea water (Marinemix, Houston) at the same salinity as the collection sites for 7 d before administration of YHV.
**Virus Administration**

Five Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) (mean 12 g) were cultured individually in 19-l aerated tanks for 7 d. Blue crab hemolymph was drawn and the RNA extracted and tested for YHV, white spot syndrome virus (WSSV), and the dinoflagellate disease-agent *Hematodinium* sp. The blue crabs negative for YHV, WSSV, and *Hematodinium* were cultured individually in 19-l aerated tanks containing 20-ppt artificial sea water (Marinemix, Houston) for 7 d before being administered YHV. About 200 daggerblade grass shrimp were distributed equally among 10 19-l aerated tanks containing 20-ppt artificial sea water for 7 d before being administered YHV. Sixty Mississippi grass shrimp were cultured in 6 19-l aerated tanks containing freshwater for 7 d before being administered YHV. Crabs of genera *Uca* and *Sesarma* were cultured in tanks containing wet sand. For other crustaceans, 1-10 individuals, depending on animal size, were cultured in 19-l aerated tanks containing 20-ppt artificial sea water for 7 d before being administered YHV. These animals were fed once a day with commercial pelleted feed (size #3, Rangen, Buhl, Idaho); half of the sea water was changed every other day, and the temperature was controlled at 26 ± 0.5 °C by placing the tanks in a single water bath.

A 100-µl inoculum of 1:1000 diluted homogenate of YHV92 with a total virus copy number of 2.36 \times 10^4 (as determined by qRT-PCR) was injected into the third abdominal segment of 5 white shrimp, a 20-µl inoculum of 1:100 diluted YHV92 homogenate with a 4.72 \times 10^4 virus copy number was injected into 120 daggerblade grass shrimp and 60 Mississippi grass shrimp; a 200 µl inoculum of 1:100 diluted homogenate of YHV92 with a total viral copy number of 4.72 \times 10^5 was injected intra-muscularly (im).
into the propodus of the cheliped through the flexible arthrodial membrane joining the
dactyl in 5 blue crabs, and the same number of viral particles was also injected into the
body cavity through the right rear coxa of 5 additional crabs. Other experimental animals
were injected im with a total virus copy number of $4.72 \times 10^4$.

In the feeding experiments, 5 blue crabs were fed 1 g of YHV-positive *L. vannamei*
tissue for 3 consecutive d, and 50-70 μl of hemolymph was drawn from each 6
h after the third feeding, which was recorded as 6 h PI. Thereafter, the blue crabs were
fed pelleted food. Eighty grass shrimp were distributed among 4 tanks, and 1 g of minced
YHV-positive tissue was introduced into each tank for 3 consecutive days of feeding
after which the shrimp were switched to pelleted food. The same feeding protocol was
applied to all other animals.

At 1, 3, 5, 7, 14, 21, and 36 d PI, 2 grass shrimp were randomly picked from each
tank and stored in RINAlater™ (Ambion Inc.) at 4 °C overnight and then stored at -20 °C
until RNA extraction. About 50-70 μl of hemolymph from *L. vannamei* and *C. sapidus*
was drawn into EDTA-coated 1 ml syringes at 6 h PI and at 1, 3, 7, and 14 d PI, and
those samples were stored at -80 °C.

In all other species, 3 to 10 individuals were sampled on 3, 7, and 14 d PI and
stored as indicated above.

*Total RNA Extraction*

Tissue RNA was extracted using the protocol from the High Pure Tissue RNA Kit
(Roche). Briefly, the animals were rinsed with autoclaved distilled water, and 9-20 mg of
cephalothorax tissue was sliced and homogenized in 400 μl of lysis(binding buffer using
a pestle in a 1.5 ml tube. After being digested in DNase I and washed, the RNA was
eluted into 100 µl of autoclaved RNase-free water and stored at -80 °C. Hemolymph RNA was extracted following the protocol from the High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid Kit (Roche). Briefly, 150 µl of autoclaved nuclease-free water was added to 50 µl of hemolymph and mixed with 250 µl of binding buffer containing poly A and proteinase K. The extracted RNA was eluted into 100 µl of autoclaved RNase-free water, measured using a Thermo Scientific NanoDrop™ ND1000 Spectrophotometer, and stored at -80 °C.

Semi-Nested RT-PCR

The non-stop, semi-nested RT-PCR was conducted using SuperScript™ III One-Step RT-PCR System with Platinum® Taq High Fidelity (Invitrogen) in 25 µl of reaction mixture containing 1.1 µM P64A1 (5'-CTA GGA TCG TTT GGC TTT GGT TC-3'), 0.1 µM P64S1 (5'-ATT ACA CCG CAG ACT TCA AGA CAA-3'), 0.2 µM P64S2 (5'-GTC TCC TCC TGA ATC CGC AT-3'), and 0.8 µM P64S3 (5'-TCA CTA TTA CTC CAG TTA TCA-3') (Kiatpathomchai et al. 2004). The reaction was initiated by reverse transcription at 50 °C for 30 min followed by transcriptase inactivation at 94 °C for 2 min. This procedure was followed by the sequential cycling protocols of (1) 5 cycles of 94 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 45 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, followed by (2) 15 cycles of 94 °C for 15 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s, followed by (3) 35 cycles of 94 °C for 15 s, 50 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s, and finally by (4) 1 cycle of 72 °C for 5 min after which the reaction was placed on hold at 4 °C. Following amplification of the product, I examined 10 µl by electrophoresis in a 1.2% (w/v) agarose gel as stained with ethidium bromide and viewed on a FluorS Multilmage analyzer (Bio-Rad).
qRT-PCR

The iScript™ One-Step RT-PCR Kit for Probes (BioRad) was used to perform qRT-PCR with primers YHV141F: 5'-CGT CCC GGC AAT TGT GAT C-3', YHV206R: 5'-CCA GTG ACG TTC GAT GCA ATA-3' (Dhar et al. 2002), and YHV TaqMan probe: 5'-/FAM™/CCA TCA AAG CTC TCA ACG CCG TCA/TAMRA™-Sp-3' (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc.). The standard was a 72 bp segment from a sequence (GenBank AF148846), which contained 66 bp amplicon with an extra 3 bp on both ends.

The qRT-PCR amplifications were undertaken in an iCycler Thermocycler (BioRad). The qRT-PCR was conducted in a 25 μl reaction volume containing 2 μl RNA, 12.5 μl 2 × RT-PCR reaction mix for probe, 300 nM of primers, 100 nM probe, and 0.5 μl iScript Reverse Transcriptase Mix for One-Step RT-PCR. The thermal profile of qRT-PCR was 10 min at 50 °C for cDNA synthesis, 5 min at 95 °C for iScript reverse transcriptase inactivation, 40 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C and 30 s at 56 °C (data collection step) for amplification then held at 4 °C (Ma et al. 2008).

Results

Natural and Administered Infection

Among the 13 species of crustaceans examined and tested for infection of YHV by semi-nested PCR (Table 3), I did not find any naturally occurring YHV in those tested. Using 1-step PCR, 2 species of palaemonids and the blue crab were positive and all other species of crustaceans tested negative. Although experimental infections from either feeding or injection of YHV had some species showing positive results using semi-nested PCR, they became negative by 14 d PI.
Table 3
Experimental infection of yellow head virus in decapod and stomatopod crustaceans from coastal Mississippi determined by RT-PCR. d – day, nd – not detectable, and PI – post-inoculation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Natural infection</th>
<th>Injection (d PI)</th>
<th>Feeding (d PI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-step PCR</td>
<td>Semi-nested PCR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palaemonidae</td>
<td>Palaemonetes</td>
<td>Daggerblade grass shrimp</td>
<td>0 (20)</td>
<td>6 (10)</td>
<td>10 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pugio</td>
<td>grass shrimp</td>
<td>0 (10)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P. radakensis</td>
<td>Mississippi grass shrimp</td>
<td>0 (10)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portunidae</td>
<td>Callicetes</td>
<td>Blue crab</td>
<td>0 (20)</td>
<td>6 (19)</td>
<td>10 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sapidus</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (10)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diogenidae</td>
<td>Clibanarius</td>
<td>Thinstripe hermit</td>
<td>0 (10)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>villatus</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocypodidae</td>
<td>Uca spinicarpa</td>
<td>Spined fiddler</td>
<td>0 (10)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocypodidae</td>
<td>Uca longirostris</td>
<td>Gulf marsh fiddler</td>
<td>0 (10)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocypodidae</td>
<td>Uca virens</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (6)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocypodidae</td>
<td>Uca panacea</td>
<td>Gulf sand fiddler</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesarmidae</td>
<td>Armases cinctus</td>
<td>Squareback crab</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesarmidae</td>
<td>Sesarma recticulum</td>
<td>Purple marsh crab</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menippidae</td>
<td>Menippe adina</td>
<td>Gulf stone crab</td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippidae</td>
<td>Emerita tepida</td>
<td>Atlantic sand crab</td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
<td>0 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squillidae</td>
<td>Squilla empusa</td>
<td>Mantis shrimp</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
<td>0 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 4. qRT-PCR quantification of YHV RNA levels in the grass shrimp *Palaemonetes pugio* at different time periods after injection. The log viral copy per µg RNA of combined data from 72-336 h PI is significantly higher than those of 6-24 h PI and 864 h PI, respectively (p<0.05, one-way ANOVA).

**Viral Dynamics in Palaemonetes pugio**

A total of 20 *P. pugio* from the Davis Bayou, Ocean Springs, Mississippi, were examined for YHV using semi-nested RT-PCR, of which none was infected. In grass shrimp, PCR of YHV after im injection showed the viral copy number per µg RNA increased gradually during the 36 d period. The mean log viral copy number per µg RNA at 6, 24, 72, 168, 336, and 864 h PI was 3.659 ± 0.224, 3.770 ± 0.428, 4.770 ± 0.975, 4.969 ± 0.817, 5.142 ± 0.589, and 3.681 ± 1.361, respectively (Figure 4). The mean log viral copy number of per µg RNA in combined samples of 72-336 h PI was significantly higher than those of 6-24 h PI and 864 h PI, respectively (p<0.05, one-way ANOVA).
Semi-nested RT-PCR also showed slightly brighter bands during the 3-14 dl PI (Figure 5, lower panel). The mortality of im injected grass shrimp was 8% (9/112).

Figure 5. Semi-nested RT-PCR amplification of RNA from grass shrimp. Upper panel, fed; lower panel, injected. Lane M, 100 bp ladder DNA marker; +, positive control from *Litopenaeus vannamei* administered YHV; −, RNase-free water; =, shrimp injected with autoclaved saline for 5 and 14 d, respectively. Arrows show the faint infection of YHV.

Grass shrimp administered YHV orally did not demonstrate any mortality (0/80). The semi-nested RT-PCR showed that the viral load in fed individuals was lower than...
that of injected ones (Figure 5). A few shrimp showed a faint positive result by semi-nested RT-PCR (Figure 5, arrowheads).

Figure 7. The qRT-PCR quantification of YHV RNA levels in hemolymph of blue crab at different times. $4.72 \times 10^5$ YHV copies was injected into the body cavity and muscle.

Viral Dynamics in Callinectes sapidus and Litopenaeus vannamei

A total of 30 Callinectes sapidus from Back Bay and Deer Island of Biloxi, Mississippi, were collected and examined for YHV using semi-nested RT-PCR, but none was infected. After these crabs were exposed to YHV-positive tissue per os, semi-nested RT-PCR was positive at 6 h PI, reaching the highest signal 3 d after the last feeding, with the signal becoming weaker at 7 d PI and undetectable at 14 d PI (Figure 6). The positive control (YHV-infected Litopenaeus vannamei) showed a strong signal of 3 bands; blue crab hemolymph showed 1 positive band, indicating that the viral load was low. The blue crab experienced different infection patterns after feeding and injection. The viral load in
blue crab hemolymph reached its peak on 3 d PI after the 3 d of feeding, but was still detectable 7 d PI. Thereafter, the viral number decreased to undetectable levels. When YHV was injected into the blue crab muscle or body cavity, viral load did not show a significant difference at 6, 24, and 72 h PI and the viral number did not increase to a peak as seen in crabs fed the virus. The viral load was undetectable in injected groups on 7 d PI.

Figure 8. The qRT-PCR quantification of RNA levels in hemolymph of blue crab and white shrimp at different times. $4.72 \times 10^5$ and $2.36 \times 10^4$ copies of YHV were injected, respectively.

The mean log viral copy number per μg blue crab hemolymph RNA during the first 72 h is shown in Table 4 and Figure 7. There was no statistically significant difference between injection into the body cavity and muscle in regard to mean log viral copy number, so the 2 groups were combined; there also was no statistically significant difference among copy number at 6, 24, and 72 h PI. The results showed that YHV did
not replicate in the blue crab, but the number was sustained for 72 h after which the virus was rapidly eliminated. In contrast, YHV replicated quickly after being injected into *Litopenaeus vannamei*, and the initial mortality appeared before 72 h PI, with mortalities progressing to 100% before 120 h PI (Table 4, Figure 8).

Table 4

Comparison of YHV log viral copy/μg hemolymph RNA in *Callinectes sapidus* and *Litopenaeus vannamei* administered YHV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Time (h PI)</th>
<th>Injection site</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean of log viral copy/μg RNA</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Callinectes sapidus</em></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>body cavity</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>0.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>muscle</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>0.511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>body cavity</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>muscle</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>body cavity</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>1.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>muscle</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>0.844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Litopenaeus vannamei</em></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>muscle</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>muscle</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>0.935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>muscle</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>0.432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The term “reservoir host” as used here refers to a host in which the agent replicates and the host serves as a reservoir of virus able to infect a host of interest. In this case, the reservoir host daggerblade grass shrimp may serve as a source of YHV for commercial penaeid shrimps. The chronic infection in the grass shrimp can serve as a long-term reservoir of virus that can infect penaeids in areas without an abundance of free virus or during seasons when no other source would be available. “Carrier hosts” are
those vectors in which the virus does not replicate but it can remain active until departing the host.

Most tested penaeid shrimps are susceptible to YHV, such as *Farfantepenaeus azteca*, *Farfantepenaeus duorarum*, *Fenneropenaeus indicus*, *Fenneropenaeus merguiensis*, *Litopenaeus setiferus*, *Litopenaeus stylirostris*, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, *Metapenaeus affinis*, *Metapenaeus brevicornis*, *Metapenaeus ensis*, *Metapenaeus japonicus*, and *Penaeus monodon* (Flegel et al. 1995b, Flegel 2006, Wang et al. 1996, Lightner et al. 1997, Lu et al. 1997, Longyant et al. 2005). However, whether they are reservoir or carrier hosts is rarely reported. *Acetes* sp. and *Palaemon styliferus* may serve as reservoir hosts (Flegel et al. 1997). Longyant et al. (2005) screened 5 species of palaemonid shrimp, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*, *Macrobrachium lanchesteri*, *Macrobrachium sintangense*, *Palaemon styliferus*, and *Palaemon serrifer*, collected near farms containing *Penaeus monodon* in Thailand using RT-PCR and monoclonal antibodies specific to structural proteins of YHV, but they did not detect a natural YHV infection in any individual examined. After injection with YHV, a small proportion of *Macrobrachium lanchesteri* showed mild YHV infections at 3 d but no infection at 10 and 30 d; *Macrobrachium sintangense*, *Palaemon styliferus*, and *Palaemon serrifer* were susceptible to YHV (Longyant et al. 2005).

To determine whether the daggerblade grass shrimp and blue crab serve as reservoir or carrier hosts, the 1-step primer usually did not work well because the YHV load was 1 to several log values lower than those in susceptible hosts (e.g., *Penaeus monodon* and *Litopenaeus vannamei*). This may be the reason why Longyant et al. (2006) did not detect YHV after 3 d in 16 species of crabs after injection. The more sensitive
semi-nested RT-PCR can grade infections into 3 levels depending on bands present on a gel (Kiatpathomchai et al. 2004) and serves as a more useful method than the 1-step primer method for detecting vector hosts. From our observations, the semi-nested RT-PCR is as sensitive as qRT-PCR; however, conventional 1-step RT-PCR can produce negative results when a 1-step primer is used to evaluate YHV in grass shrimp and blue crab fed the virus or even the early stages injected im. But the disadvantage to using the semi-nested RT-PCR method is that it may produce a false positive result because of high sensitivity. In an attempt to make the results comparable to those obtained by Kiatpathomchai et al. (2004) using their original semi-nested RT-PCR method for YHV, I decreased the number of amplification cycles in consideration of both sensitivity and specificity values.

In grass shrimp feeding experiments, the semi-nested RT-PCR amplification detected the virus in the cephalothorax on 5, 7, and 21 d PI, even though the viral concentration was low. There are several reasons that can cause this situation (1) some shrimp may consume more viral particles than others and (2) grass shrimp may have a different feeding habit from blue crab, consuming only a small amount of YHV-positive tissue. After im injection, the semi-nested RT-PCR still showed positive results at 36 d PI in 5 random samples with a viral load at about $10^4$ copies µg$^{-1}$ RNA. If the grass shrimp is preyed upon by susceptible hosts, there exist opportunities for penaeid shrimps as well as other hosts to acquire the infection.

Grass shrimp, penaeid shrimps, and the blue crab constitute the primary crustacean food source for fishes, crustaceans, and other animals in most estuarine habitats from Texas to Northeast Florida as well as along the Atlantic coast (e.g.,
Christmas & Langley 1973, Kneib & Wagner 1994, Rozas & Minello 2001, Granados-
Dieseldorff 2006). As hypothesized by Odum et al. (1982), those crustaceans appear to represent a major link between detritus production in wetlands and coastal food webs. *Palaemonetes pugio* is the primary dietary component for many fishes. For example, it dominates the diet of juvenile red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*). In Alabama, it occurs in 3 cm standard length (SL) fish and becomes the most important component in 4 to 7 cm SL fish (Morales & Dardeau 1987), and it also contributes heavily to the diet of larger red drum in Mississippi (Overstreet & Heard 1978). Overstreet & Heard (1982) also report other inshore fishes in Mississippi as consumers of *Palaemonetes pugio* and *Palaemonetes vulgaris*. Predation of infected hosts by fishes results in infected feces, another source for infections in penaeids, grass shrimps, and other vectors.

*Palaemonetes pugio* is a permanent resident of the inshore estuaries. Commercial penaeids and the blue crab are not, but they use the estuaries as nurseries; the shrimps spawn offshore and the blue crab spawns near barrier islands. The grass shrimp feeds on postlarval blue crabs (Olmi & Lipcius 1991). Its behavior shows that it is not a "search and capture" predator but rather it depends on chance encounters and state of hunger (Morgan 1980); it also feeds on epiphytes, detritus, and algae (Quiñones-Rivera & Fleeger 2005). As trophic generalists with mixed diets, the grass shrimps as well as the shrimp and crab all feed on each other, depending on body size, habitat type, time of day and tide, and season. Penaeids have been shown to eat grass shrimps (e.g., Leber 1985, Kneib 1987), and the blue crab feeds on grass shrimps and other caridean shrimps as well as on penaeids, other blue crabs, and fishes (Laughlin 1979). Seasonal differences and overlapping of these different crustaceans in the northern Gulf of Mexico (e.g.,
Livingston 1984, 2004) allow continual feeding on each other and potential transmission of YHV and other agents.

The Mississippi grass shrimp, *Palaemonetes kadiakensis*, which is commonly found in freshwater environment in central and southern U.S. (Pennak 1978, Anderson 1985), also showed YHV-positive by 1-step and semi-nested RT-PCR after being either injected or fed. As a congener of the daggerblade grass shrimp, the Mississippi grass shrimp is also a potential reservoir host for YHV. Hence, both freshwater and brackish palaemonids from different continents seem to serve as reservoir hosts for YHV.

After administered YHV by injection, other animals, e.g., *Armases cinereum*, *Clibanarius vittatus*, *Emerita talpoida*, *Menippe adina*, *Sesarma recticulatum*, *Squilla empusa*, *Uca longisignalis*, *Uca panacea*, *Uca spinicarpa*, and *Uca virens*, were YHV-negative using 1-step PCR and by feeding using semi-nested PCR, demonstrating a low possibility for these animals to serve as reservoir or carrier hosts. Even though some crustacean species were tested positive after injection by semi-nested PCR, it appears that these crustaceans cannot harbor virus more than 7 d. For example, the commonly occurring 4 ocypodids and 2 grapsids in soft sand or mud near or around the edges of shallow salt marshes of Mississippi, the contaminated individuals, may not be important vectors for transmission of YHV.

Reasons for different infection patterns by feeding and injection in the blue crab are not clear. The individuals may have consumed YHV-positive tissue at different times. Even if they all consumed tissue at the same time, the viral number in the tissue may differ. Hence, the qRT-PCR may not be an exact method for evaluating virus in fed animals. One reason that YHV was lost more quickly from the hemolymph of blue crabs
injected rather than fed might be that the relatively large amount of virus injected all at
once induced a rapid host response eliminating the virus. However, the fed virus may
take longer to pass from the digestive tract to the hemolymph or it may have remained
free in the hemolymph longer and not been sequestered as rapidly by host hemocytes.
CHAPTER III

STABLE YELLOW HEAD VIRUS (YHV) RNA DETECTION BY QRT-PCR DURING SIX-DAY STORAGE

Abstract

Storage conditions of haemolymph samples which contain yellowhead virus (YHV) may result in a decline of YHV RNA concentration or false-negative results in the detection of YHV. I evaluated the stability of YHV RNA in haemolymph stored at different temperatures for 6 d with conventional RT-PCR and TaqMan qRT-PCR. Specific pathogen free individuals of *Litopenaeus vannamei* were challenged with YHV92TH isolate, and haemolymph samples of 3 groups of 10 pooled moribund shrimp were aliquoted and stored at 4 and 25 °C for 0, 2, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, and 144 h. All samples were evaluated by conventional RT-PCR and qRT-PCR. After the optimization of experimental conditions, TaqMan qRT-PCR showed a very strong linear relationship between the log scale of the standard DNA copy number and the cycle threshold (C<sub>T</sub>) values ($R^2 = 0.999$) over a 7-log range from $10^2$ to $10^8$ copy number per reaction. Even though the haemolymph was stored at either 4 or 25 °C for a 6-d period, the viral load number at 4 °C was not significantly different from that stored at 25 °C. The only difference was between the samples stored for 144 h at either 4 or 25 °C and those stored at -80 °C. I conclude that shrimp haemolymph can be drawn from shrimp at farms or in the wild and stored at either 4 or 25 °C for 3–5 d without a significant reduction in measured YHV RNA levels and without having to immediately freeze the samples.
Introduction

Yellow head virus (YHV), lethal to most commercially cultivated penaeid shrimp species (Walker 2006), was first described as an epizootic from Thai shrimp farms (Limsuwan 1991); subsequent outbreaks of YHV have been reported from cultivated shrimp in many locations in Asia (Walker 2006). The YHV agent has been reported from frozen imported commodity shrimp in the United States (Nunan et al. 1998, Durand et al. 2000) and from *Litopenaeus vannamei* (according to Pérez Farfante & Kensley [1997], also referred to as *Penaeus vannamei* by others [Flegel 2007]) and *L. stylirostris* cultured on the northwest coast of Mexico. (de la Rosa-Vélez et al. 2006). As an important shrimp emerging disease, YHV has caused an estimated economic loss of $500 million since its discovery in 1991 until 2006 (Lightner, personal communication). The YHV and 2 other related disease-causing viruses from Australia, gill-associated virus (GAV) and lymphoid organ virus (LOV), have been placed in the family Roniviridae of the order Nidovirales (Cowley & Walker 2002, Gorbarenata et al. 2006).

Many molecular methods have been developed to diagnose YHV such as conventional RT-PCR (Wongteerasupaya et al. 1997, Cowley et al. 2004, Kiatpathomchai et al. 2004), gene probe (Tang & Lightner 1999, Tang et al. 2002, 2007), qRT-PCR (Dhar et al. 2002), and loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) (Mekata et al. 2006). Among these, qRT-PCR has become the “gold-standard” for various research and clinical studies because of its sensitivity and specificity.

Hemolymph has been widely used as the source of material to assess acute shrimp viral diseases, especially the viral dynamics and host gene expression after viral challenge. A common protocol was to draw the hemolymph quickly and to store it at -70
50°C or liquid nitrogen as soon as possible. The question remained whether the hemolymph drawn at a shrimp farm or some other sites distant from the testing laboratory and stored at either 4°C or room temperature for 3–5 d would still contain active YHV or a high enough copy number for diagnosis. The objective of this study was to determine whether hemolymph was still useful for diagnosis and quantification of YHV using conventional and qRT-PCR after being stored at different temperatures and time periods.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Challenge and Sample Storage

A 100 μl inoculum of 1:1000 diluted homogenate of YHV isolate TH92 was injected into the third abdominal segment of 30 specific pathogen free (SPF) shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*, Kona TSV-sensitive stock, Oceanic Institute, average 15 g). These shrimps were fed once a day with commercial pelleted feed (Rangen, Buhl, Idaho) divided among 6 aerated 19-l aquariums containing 20 ppt artificial seawater, maintained in a water bath at 26.0 ± 0.5°C. When some shrimp became moribund after 3 d post-inoculation, the hemolymph from 3 random groups of 10 shrimp each was drawn into an EDTA coated 1-mL syringe and then pooled, producing 3 sample groups. Then 21 aliquots of 50 μl hemolymph were collected from each sample group. They were divided by storing 9 aliquots at 4°C, another 9 aliquots at room temperature (~25°C), and the remaining 3 aliquots at -80°C. At 2, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, and 144 h of storage, 1 subsample from each aliquot of the 3 sample groups at 4 and 25°C (for a total of 54 subsamples with an additional 9 subsamples at -80°C) was randomly picked and stored at -80°C until analysis.
Total RNA Extraction

Hemolymph RNA was extracted following the protocol of the High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid Kit (Roche). Each stored 50 μl hemolymph aliquot had 150 μl autoclaved RNase-free water added; this solution was mixed with 250 μl binding buffer working solution containing poly A and proteinase K. The RNA was then eluted into 100 μl of autoclaved RNase-free water and stored at -80 °C.

Conventional RT-PCR

Conventional RT-PCR was processed following the protocol of SuperScript™ III One-Step RT-PCR System with Platinum® Taq High Fidelity (Invitrogen) in which the following primers were added: primer 273F: 5'-CAA GAT CTC ACG GCA ACT CA-3' and 273R: 5'-CCG ACG AGA GTG TTA GGA GG-3' (Tang & Lightner 1999). The total RNA extracted was incubated at 50 °C for 30 min to synthesize the cDNA. Inactivation of the reverse transcriptase at 94 °C for 2 min was followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 15 sec, annealing at 55 °C for 30 sec, and extension at 68 °C for 30 sec. A final extension step at 68 °C for 5 min completed the reaction after which it was held at 4 °C.

qRT-PCR

The iScript™ One-Step RT-PCR Kit for Probes (BioRad) was used to perform qRT-PCR with primer YHV141F: 5'-CGT CCC GGC AAT TGT GAT C-3', YHV206R: 5'-CCA GTG ACG TTC GAT GCA ATA-3' (Dhar et al. 2002) and YHV TaqMan: 5'-FAM™/CCA TCA AAG CTC TCA ACG CCG TCA/TAMRA™-Sp-3' (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc.). The positive control used for the standard curve was a 72-bp synthesized oligo (Invitrogen Co.) which contained the 66-bp amplicon and an extra 3 bp
on both ends based on GenBank accession no. AF148846. A gradient cycler (PTC200 DNA Engine) was used to adjust the annealing temperature to an optimal condition before performing qRT-PCR. The amplification products were separated using 2% agarose gel electrophoresis and analyzed with a Fluor-S MultiImager (BioRad). The qRT-PCR was optimized by using salt-free YHV positive oligo and different concentrations of primers and probe.

The qRT-PCR amplifications were undertaken in an iCycler Thermocycler (BioRad). The qRT-PCR was conducted in duplicate, with each 25-μl reaction volume containing 2 μl RNA (= 1 μl original hemolymph), 12.5 μl 2 x RT-PCR reaction mix for probe, 300 nM of primers, 100 nM probe, and 0.5 μl iScript Reverse Transcriptase Mix for One-Step RT-PCR. The thermal profile of qRT-PCR was 10 min at 50 °C for cDNA synthesis, and 5 min at 95 °C for iScript reverse transcriptase inactivation, with 40 cycles of 15 sec at 95 °C and 30 sec at 56 °C (data collection step) for amplification.

Figure 9. Conventional RT-PCR amplification of RNA from aliquoted hemolymph from Litopenaeus vannamei stored at different temperatures over 6 days. M, 1k bp DNA ladder (Promega, G829A); lane 0, stored at -80 °C; lanes 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, and 17 are samples stored at 25 °C for 2, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, and 144 h, respectively; lanes 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12,14, 16, and 18 are samples stored at 4 °C for 2, 6, 12, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, and 144 h, respectively.
Results

RT-PCR

When RNA samples were tested for YHV by the conventional RT-PCR method, subsamples of all 3 groups tested YHV-positive during the 6 d storage at 4 and 25 °C; Figure 9 shows the RT-PCR result for 1 group of subsamples during the 6 d storage at 4 and 25 °C.

qRT-PCR

The TaqMan qRT-PCR method showed a very strong linear relationship between the log scale of the standard DNA copy number and cycle threshold (Ct) values ($R^2 = 0.999$) over a 7-log range from $10^2$ to $10^8$ copy numbers per reaction (Figure 10). The PCR efficiency was as high as 95.4 %.

Figure 10. Standard curve generated from qRT-PCR and a 10-fold dilution series. $R^2 = 0.999$, $\hat{Y} = -3.436 X + 42.203$. 
Even though the hemolymph subsamples were stored at 4 and 25 °C for a 6 d period, the viral load numbers at 4 °C were not significantly different from the corresponding value at 25 °C as analyzed by 2-way ANOVA (Figure 11). The only statistical difference was between the samples stored for 144 h at both 4 and 25 °C and those stored at -80 °C.

![Figure 11. Quantitative analysis of log scale in Litopenaeus vannamei hemolymph at 4 and 25 °C for 6 d storage. Bars exhibit mean value, and error bars express mean ± 1SE.](image)

**Discussion**

The real-time PCR method has been graded as the “gold-standard” for pathogen detection, gene expression, and various studies because of its simplicity, sensitivity, and reproducibility as well as its amenability to high-output screening and ability to
accurately quantify infection levels (Mackay et al. 2002, Œspy et al. 2006, Rajendran et al. 2006, Watzinger et al. 2006, Belák 2007). Consequently, several qRT-PCR (or qPCR) protocols have been developed for viral RNA/DNA detection, including those by either TaqMan probe or SYBR Green chemistry for infectious hypodermal and hematopoietic necrosis virus (IHHNV) (Dhar et al. 2001, Tang & Lightner 2001), WSSV (Dhar et al. 2001, Durand & Lightner 2002), Taura syndrome virus (TSV) (Dhar et al. 2002, Mouillesseaux et al. 2003, Tang et al. 2004), YHV (Dhar et al. 2002), GAV (de la Vega et al. 2004), Mourilyan virus (Rajendran et al. 2006), and infectious myonecrosis virus (IMNV) (Andrade et al. 2007). However, the viral copy number in different tissues or different host individuals may vary significantly and can not provide reasonably comparable data. Many factors may affect results significantly such as the time point when the sample was collected, tissue type, viral dose administered, administration methods (per os or muscularly), RNA extraction method, and other aspects of qRT-PCR. Theoretically, TaqMan probe has more advantages over SYBR Green chemistry because its high specificity and stability can reduce the possibility of false-positive results produced by SYBR Green. More simplified qRT-PCR kits for probes obtained from different companies allows qRT-PCR to be used easily as a routine standard method for pathogen detection in shrimp. Even though most of the cited authors claimed that qPCR can detect as few as 10 copies of a viral molecule, one should be very cautious when interpreting data because the linear quantification may not be reliable at that level due to inevitable inconsistencies in the distribution of specific target molecules in the aliquot added to the reaction. At the same time, pipetting procedures and the experimental environment can also increase inconsistencies. When samples have about 10 copies of the
viral molecule, a more reliable detection can be achieved by running a gel together with
the negative control sample and determining whether an inconsistency results from a non-
specific amplification or a primer dimer.

Our conventional RT-PCR results agreed well with those of qRT-PCR because
large amounts of virus occurred in hemolymph, e.g., $5.0 \times 10^7$ copies/µg at 0 h and $1.5 \times
10^7$ copies/µg at 144 h storage. When viral loading in the hemolymph is relatively low,
conventional RT-PCR may not detect the viral copies that would be detectable by qRT-
PCR (e.g., Chen et al. 2007).

Suboptimal storage temperatures may affect YHV RNA stability and influence
viral load measurements. From our experiment, the YHV copy number of aliquoted
samples was not statistically significantly different when samples were stored at 4 or 25
°C for a 5 d period, even though a statistically significant difference existed between the
samples stored for 6 d (144 h) at both 4 and 25 °C and those immediately stored at -80 °C
after being collected and aliquoted. This result for YHV may be consistent with that of
hepatitis C virus (HCV) RNA, even though both viruses belong to different orders. Some
reports have claimed that HCV RNA in serum is stable for at least 3–4 d at 4 °C or room
temperature (Krajden et al. 1999a, b, de Gerbehaye et al. 2002). Also, the concentration
of HCV RNA remained stable in serum specimens subjected to 3 to 8 freeze-thaw cycles
(Krajden et al. 1999a). Grant et al. (2000) reported that infected whole blood
anticoagulated with EDTA or CPDA-1/EDTA could be stored for 5 d at ≤25 °C without
any significant loss in the plasma HCV RNA level. Moreover, Kiatpathomchai et al.
(2004) used Isocode (R) filter paper to store dried hemolymph for YHV semi-nested PCR
for up to 6 months at room temperature.
Different studies using different blood collection tubes and different processing times are not really comparable. The general profile of both HCV and YHV virions may be more stable than previously thought. The reason could be because the stable virion can protect viral RNA from being degraded by chemical factors in hemolymph, as the host hemocyte RNA can breakdown and degrade more easily than the RNA in an active virion. Unfortunately, no data are available about how long YHV particles retain infectivity in the environment. When in water, isolated TSV is infective for over a month in 25 ppt salinity, but the DNA virus WSSV becomes inactive in less than 1 h (unpublished). One experiment showed that the avian influenza virus (H6N2) having a $1 \times 10^6$ mean tissue-culture infective dose can persist at 17 °C in 0 ppt at pH 8.2 for 100 d and at 28 °C in 20 ppt at pH 8.2 for 9 d (Stallknecht et al. 1990). Consequently, environmental factors influence the persistence of viral infectivity.

The practical aspects of our experiment show that hemolymph 1) can serve as an important diagnostic medium; it can be drawn at a shrimp farm and kept at either 4 or 25 °C and then delivered to a reference laboratory for diagnosis or viral quantification and 2) does not have to be stored in RNA stabilization buffers (e.g. RNAlater™ or PrepProtect™ Stabilization Buffer) if it is used specifically for viral PCR or viral quantification as long as the viral RNA can be extracted from it within 5 d before being analyzed or stored at -80 °C until analysis can be performed.

Because Penaeus monodon is highly susceptible to WSSV, more and more Asian shrimp farms are switching from P. monodon to L. vannamei as their animal for culture (Wyban 2007). However, because the naïve L. vannamei is also susceptible to YHV (Lu et al. 1994, 1995, Lightner 1996a), shrimp farmers, managers, and researchers should be
very cautious to avoid reemergence of the pandemic YHV disease in Asian shrimp farms.

As a valuable tool, qRT-PCR can be used as a routine protocol for surveillance and
diagnosis of YHV in shrimp farms and hatcheries.
CHAPTER IV

GENOME ANALYSIS OF THREE ISOLATES OF YELLOW HEAD VIRUS (YHV)
AND PHYLOGENY OF NIDOVIRALES

Abstract

Yellowhead virus (YHV) is a major pathogenic nidovirus in penaeid shrimps. I sequenced the genome of 3 isolates from Penaeus monodon obtained from Thailand in 1992, 1995, and 1999. The genome of the 3 isolates is 26,673, 26,662 and 26,652 nt in length, respectively. They share identical 5' UTR, ribosomal frameshifting sequence, and a partial fragment of the 3' UTR. The 5 available genome sequences of Okaviruses share 79.3-99.0% nucleotide and 81.8-98.9% amino acid identities within ORFla, 81.9-99.2% of nucleotide and 88.3-99.5% amino acid identities in ORFlb, 78.6-99.3% of nucleotide and 82.9-99.3% amino acid identities in ORF2, and 72.7-99.5% nucleotide and 75.9-99.3% amino acid identities in ORF3. The only indel event in the coding region for the 4 available isolates of YHV locates in the 5' end of ORFla, containing a segment of 12 nt (CUAGCCUCUCAG) with 4 corresponding amino acids. Other indels locate in the non-coding region. I predicted 4 hydrophobic transmembrane domains of ORFla in okaviruses, and that 3' UTR would form a putative pseudoknot. A potential octonucleotide motif UGAAUAGC in the pseudoknot of 3' UTR of Okavirus may be a counterpart of the octonucleotide (GGAAGAGGC) found in the 3' UTR of Coronavirus. The phylogeny of Nidovirales reconstructed by composition vector analysis based on 48 nidovirus proteome sequences, demonstrated a consistency with the contemporary phylogeny.
Introduction

Nidoviruses, among the largest RNA viral groups, excite an increasing amount of research interest, not only because they are associated with severe diseases, but also because they exhibit extraordinary genetic complexity (Siddell & Snijder 2008). Twenty years after publication of the first complete nidovirus genome sequence, the public databases include about 408 full-length and thousands of partial nidovirus sequences listed as of April 2008. The most extensive sequencing efforts started very recently, after the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) outbreak in 2003. This trend increased with the higher efficiency of sequencing techniques and available nidovirus sequences as references.

As a unique family in Nidovirales, Roniviridae has the following characteristics: (a) members parasitizing invertebrates, especially penaeid shrimps; (b) morphologically rod-shaped rather than spheroidal or discal; (c) a short 5' untranslated region (UTR) composing only 68-71 nt, without a typical 5' leader structure as seen in most other nidoviruses (Dhar et al. 2004); (d) 4 hydrophobic transmembrane (TM) domains other than 3 TMs in pp1a (Cowley et al. 2000, Cowley & Walker 2008); (e) different genetic organization of structural proteins, e.g., N protein being the first structural protein rather than the last one as in Coronaviridae and Arteriviridae; and (f) a truncated ORF4 (Sittidilokratna et al. 2008, Wijegoonawardane et al. 2008). Among Roniviridae, the yellowhead virus (YHV) complex in the genus Okavirus, contains isolates that have caused serious economic loss to the shrimp farming industry and they exhibit genetic diversity with diverse pathogenic effects (Limsuwan 1991, Lightner & Redman 1998, Lightner et al. 1998, Dhar et al. 2004, Walker 2006). Recent data show that there are at
least 6 genotypes of YHV-complex distributed in farmed shrimps from the Asian regions (Cowley & Walker 2008, Wijegoonawardane et al. 2008). Another rod-shaped virus associated with the mortalities of the freshwater crab, *Eriocheir sinensis*, may also belong to *Okavirus* based on virion morphology and nucleic acid size (Zhang & Bonami 2007). The complete sequence of the gill-associated virus (GAV), which is the type species of *Okavirus* and a low virulent isolate, was the first of the YHV-complex to be determined (Cowley & Walker 2002). Now the whole genome of a YHV isolate collected in 1998 from Chachoengsao, Thailand, a more virulent and devastating strain, has been sequenced (Sittidilokratna et al. 2008).

Whole genomes are believed to contain complete evolutionary information, and the phylogenetic analyses based on those genomes are expected to equate to the evolution of the organisms. But the most profound difficulty in building phylogenies using whole genomes is to effectively and efficiently depict the evolutionary information hidden in the whole genome. Among recently established phylogenetic approaches for whole genome analyses are gene order breakpoint distance analysis (Blanchette et al. 1999), neighbor pair analysis (Herniou et al. 2001), and Z-curve approach (Zheng et al. 2005). Also, composition vector approach has been shown to have some advantages in reconstruction of phylogeny in bacteria, DNA and some RNA viruses (Gao et al. 2003, Qi et al. 2004a, b, Gao et al. 2007, Gao & Qi 2007).

In this chapter, I report the genome sequences of 3 YHV isolates from *Penaeus monodon*, predict the hydrophobic transmembrane domains in pp1a and a secondary structure of 3' UTR, and construct a phylogenetic tree by analyzing the amino acid composition vector tree of 48 sequences of nidoviruses.
Materials and Methods

Source of Yellow Head Virus

Three isolates of YHV were sequenced: 1) the YHV92 isolate (GenBank acc. no. xxxxx), was originally collected from *Penaeus monodon* from Thailand in 1992 and this same virus transferred into penaeid shrimp was used in the research of several authors (e.g., Lu et al. 1994, 1995, Nadala et al. 1997, Tang & Lightner 1999), which I transferred 1 generation into specific pathogen free *Litopenaeus vannamei*; 2) YHV95 (GenBank acc. no. yyyyy) and 3) YHV99 (GenBank acc. no. zzzzz) were collected from commodity *P. monodon* imported from Thailand in 1995 and 1999, respectively, in Tucson, Arizona. The YHV95 from *P. monodon* was transferred into *Litopenaeus stylirostris* for one generation and stored at -80 °C and then this gill homogenate from *L. stylirostris* was injected into local white shrimp *L. setiferus* that were tested white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) and YHV-free. The muscle homogenate of *P. monodon* for YHV99 was injected into WSSV- and YHV-free *L. setiferus* for one generation. When some shrimp became moribund after 3 d post-inoculation (PI), the hemolymph was drawn by EDTA-coated 1 ml syringes and pooled as a reference stock of the virus. The pooled hemolymph and tissue were aliquoted and stored at -80 °C until required.

Total RNA Extraction

Total RNA from hemolymph was extracted following the protocol of the High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid Kit (Roche). The RNA was then eluted into 100 μl of autoclaved RNase-free water and stored at -80 °C.
Table 5  
Primers designed for RT-PCR and sequencing based on YHV and GAV sequences using Vector NTI Advance™ 10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>sequence (5’–3’)</th>
<th>segment length</th>
<th>location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y1a1F</td>
<td>ACGTTACGTTCCACGTTACTATTCC</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>1-788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a1R</td>
<td>GTCGACTCGATGAGCACCGAGAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a2F</td>
<td>CTTCCTGGCGCTCCTCGCTCTCA</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>756-1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a2R</td>
<td>GTGAGCGATGATGACTGAGCGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a3F</td>
<td>TAGATTGCAAGACTACAGATCTCTCC</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>1475-2305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a3R</td>
<td>GAGGGTGAGCGATGATGACTGAGCGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a4F</td>
<td>CCAAGCGACTCACTCATCGACATCG</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>2203-3018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a4R</td>
<td>GACAGTGCGTGGACAGGCGAGCGTGGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a5F</td>
<td>TGTTGACTCCCGAGCTCGACATCG</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>2950-3775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a5R</td>
<td>TGCAACTGGCTAAGATGTCGACTG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a6F</td>
<td>AGATGCAAGAATCTCTCCGGCTCTCC</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>3679-4519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a6R</td>
<td>GAGGGGGAACCACTGGATGACAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a7F</td>
<td>CAATGCGTTGCAATCTCGCTGCGC</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>4425-5265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a7R</td>
<td>CGACTGGGAGCGGCTCTGAGCTCAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a8F</td>
<td>TGTCACATCACTCCACACATCC</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>5171-6008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a8R</td>
<td>GCCACTGGACGACATCTGCTTCC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a9F</td>
<td>GTTCCTCAAAACCGGACTCTGA</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>5918-6737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a9R</td>
<td>GGGAGTGGTGTTGAGTGAATG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a10F</td>
<td>TACAGCTGACACTAGATCTTCA</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>6677-7508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a10R</td>
<td>GCCGACACCATAGATCTTCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a11F</td>
<td>ACACCGCTGCAAGAGATGT</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>7444-8265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a11R</td>
<td>CGGGTGAAAGGAGATGTCGAGCGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a12F</td>
<td>CTCAATGATGAAGCCTCCACGC</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>8165-8981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a12R</td>
<td>GCCGACATGGACACCAACCCCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a13F</td>
<td>CAAATTCTGGTAAAACCTCAAACGGC</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>8891-9715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a13R</td>
<td>GCAAGAGGGGCTCGACAGAGATGAAAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a14F</td>
<td>TCTTTGTCATGACGCTCCCCCGCTTCTC</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>9612-10436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a14R</td>
<td>CATGTTGGAGCGTTGCTGAGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a15F</td>
<td>GTGGATCTTTGGGCATCTTCTCTCA</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>10369-11205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a15R</td>
<td>CGTACTCTACGACTATTGCTGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a16F</td>
<td>CTTCATACAAACTCCTGACTGCTGCT</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>11142-12068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a16R</td>
<td>GCGCATGGAGGTATCGATGAGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a17F</td>
<td>TGTCCTCATCCATCGGCTCTCGC</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>11927-12785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1a17R</td>
<td>TGCCATCTTGGAGATGTCAGTG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1b1F</td>
<td>TACTCTCTCATCGATCTCCATGC</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>12042-12906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1b1R</td>
<td>TCGAAGTCATAGACGGCTTCTGGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1b2F</td>
<td>GCACAGTCATTCGCATTACAAGCA</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>12818-13785</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5 (continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>sequence (5’—3’)</th>
<th>segment length</th>
<th>location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y1b2R</td>
<td>GGAAGTAGAGGGTTTCGAGAGGAGGAGG</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>13687-14599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1b3F</td>
<td>CAATGAGTTCAAATGAGGAGGAGG</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>14529-15443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1b3R</td>
<td>GGGCTGTCTTTAATGTGCTG</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>15409-16305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1b4F</td>
<td>ACAGCAGCAGCTCATCAGGAAACTGCG</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>16234-17049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1b4R</td>
<td>CGGATAGTTATGAGATAATCGCGAGGGA</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>16966-18019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1b5F</td>
<td>CGGGAGACAAATCAGGCGATTACATGGA</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>18352-19171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1b5R</td>
<td>GCCGAGCCATTCCATTAGGCGAGG</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>17888-18610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1b6F</td>
<td>ATCGCATGTAATTTCATTAGGGGCAC</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>18656-19502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1b6R</td>
<td>CGCCGAGCTGTCAGTCAATGCAGAGG</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>19393-20298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1b7F</td>
<td>GCCAAGGCTGTAATGGGAGAGG</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>20277-20821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1b7R</td>
<td>GCCAAGGCTGTAATGGGAGAGG</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>20413-20821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1b8R</td>
<td>GCCAAGGCTGTAATGGGAGAGG</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>20500-21314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y1b9R</td>
<td>GCCAAGGCTGTAATGGGAGAGG</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>21159-21970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2F</td>
<td>TCTTCTTGGACACAGCGGCAC</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>21805-22572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2R</td>
<td>AACCACGCTGACAGAGGCGGCAC</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>22334-23096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ygp3F</td>
<td>GATGTTTCTTACCAATGGGAGG</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>23001-23772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ygp3R</td>
<td>GATGTTTCTTACCAATGGGAGG</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>23001-23772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ygp4F</td>
<td>GCCAAGGACACTGATGTCCATT</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>23665-24427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ygp4R</td>
<td>GCCAAGGACACTGATGTCCATT</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>23665-24427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ygp5F</td>
<td>TAATTCAGCAGGCTCGTG</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>23665-24427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ygp5R</td>
<td>TAATTCAGCAGGCTCGTG</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>23665-24427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ygp6F</td>
<td>CGCGATTTATTTCTGACCATG</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>23665-24427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ygp6R</td>
<td>CGCGATTTATTTCTGACCATG</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>23665-24427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ygp7F</td>
<td>CCAATTTCTTCTGACCATG</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>24353-25114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ygp7R</td>
<td>CCAATTTCTTCTGACCATG</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>24353-25114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ygp8F</td>
<td>AGTTTAAATTCAGCCTACATC</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>25018-25919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ygp8R</td>
<td>AGTTTAAATTCAGCCTACATC</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>25018-25919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y3F</td>
<td>TTCCATCAAAATGATCTGATCTCCA</td>
<td>1081</td>
<td>25596-26676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y3R</td>
<td>TTCCATCAAAATGATCTGATCTCCA</td>
<td>1081</td>
<td>25596-26676</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RT-PCR Amplification and Nucleotide Sequencing

The YHV partial sequences and the whole genome of Chachoengsao 1998 strain of Thailand (YHV98) (GenBank acc. no. EU487200) (Sittidilokratna et al. 2008) were used to design 39 sets of primers (Table 5) to amplify overlapping regions covering the genomic RNA using Vector NT1 10 software (Invitrogen). RT-PCR was processed following the protocol of SuperScript™ III One-Step RT-PCR System with Platinum® Taq High Fidelity (Invitrogen). The RT-PCR reactions (25 µl) contained the kit reagents plus 0.1-0.2 µg total RNA and 0.4 µM primers. The above reactions were incubated at 50 °C for 30 min to synthesize the cDNA. Inactivation of the reverse transcriptase at 94 °C for 2 min was followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 15 sec, annealing at 56 °C for 30 sec, and extension at 68 °C for 60 sec. A final extension step at 68 °C for 5 min completed the reaction, after which the product was held at 4 °C. One µl of PCR product was used to check the presence and purity of the objective segment, with the rest of the product being cleaned by PCR product purification kit (Qiagen) if the segment showed a sharp and clean band on 1.2% agarose electrophoresis; otherwise, new primers were designed to cover the related regions. The concentration and purity of the purified DNA segment was measured by NanoDrop ND-1000 and adjusted to a reasonable range for sequencing. The DNA products were sequenced directly using each of the PCR primers on an ABI 3130 sequencer (Applied Biosystems), with each product sequenced a minimum of 4 times using the forward and reverse primers.

Sequence Assembly and Analysis

Individual sequence fragments were assembled using Vector NT1 Advance™ 10 (Invitrogen). ORF Finder and BLAST 2.0 (Altschul et al. 1997) accessed
al http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov were used for identifying open reading frames (ORF) and for database sequence similarity searches. Amino acid sequences were translated and analyzed using EMBOSS Transeq and pl/MW Tool software available at http://www.expasy.ch/tools/. Hydrophobic transmembrane domains of ORF1a and ORF3 were predicted by TMHMM and Phobius servers at http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/ (Krogh et al. 2001, Käll et al. 2004).

ClustalX 2.0 was used for pair-wise and multiple sequence alignments (Thompson et al. 1997). Ribosomal frameshift (RFS) was scanned by RF Finder server (Moon et al. 2004) at http://wilab.inha.ac.kr/fsfinder2/, and the pseudoknots of RFS and the 3' untranslated terminal region (3' UTR) were predicted by Pknosrg server (Reeder & Giegerich 2004) accessible at http://bibiserv.techfak.uni-bielefeld.de/pknosrg/submission.html. The predicted pseudoknots were redrawn using Photoshop CS2. The ORF3 amino acid sequence was submitted to the NetNGlyc server at http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetNGlyc/ for scanning for N-glycosylation sites and at http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetOGlyc/ for O-glycosylation sites (Julenius et al. 2005).

Phylogenetic Analysis

Composition vector trees (CVTrees) were generated for the representative proteome sequences in Nidovirales using CVTree program (Qi et al. 2004a, b) available at (http://cvtree.cbi.pku.edu.cn/), setting a value of $K = 5$ or 6 for both the whole proteome and the ORF1 amino acid sequences. The distance matrix document was then submitted to Phylip package 3.67 for generating the neighbor-joining (NJ) and minimum evolution (ME) trees (Felsenstein 1989).
Figure 12. Schematic genomic organization of Okavirus. Image from Sittidilokratna et al. 2008). Functional domains in ORF1a: hydrophobic transmembrane regions (TM1-TM4), 3C-like protease (3CLP), papain-like protease (PLP1), and a domain (PLPX) with homology to PLP1. Functional domains in ORF1b: RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), cysteine- and histidine-rich domain (C/H), helicase (HEL), exoribonuclease (ExoN), uridylylate-specific endoribonuclease (UN), and ribose-O-methyl transferase (MT). ORF2 encodes the nucleoprotein (p20, also N protein). ORF3 encodes a polyprotein post-translated to envelope proteins (gp116 and gp64) and N-terminal unknown protein (p22). The ribosomal frameshift site (RFS) allows read-through translation of pp1ab from ORF1a and ORF1b. Known (arrows) and possible (arrowhead) sites of proteolytic cleavage of polyproteins are indicated.

Results

Genome Sequence of the Three YHV Isolates.

The positive sense ssRNA genome of YHV was 26,673, 26,662, and 26,652 nt in length excluding the polyA tail for YHV92, YHV95, and YHV99 (GenBank acc. no. XXXXX, YYYYY, and ZZZZZZ), respectively. The complete genomes of the 3 YHV isolates were composed of 5' UTR (untranslated terminal region), ORF1-4 (open reading frame), intergenic regions (IGRs), and 3' UTR. The genome organization is illustrated in Figure 12 for YHV92 sequence. The 71-nt 5' UTR of the 3 isolates of YHV that I sequenced was identical to that of YHV98.

The nucleotide and amino acid identities among the whole genome of 5 isolates of Okavirus are listed in Table 6. They shared 79.3-99.0% nucleotide and 81.8-98.9% amino
acid identities within ORF1a; the ORF1b had 81.9-99.2% of nucleotide and 88.3-99.5% amino acid identities; the ORF2 shared 78.6-99.3% of nucleotide and 82.9-99.3% amino acid identities; and ORF3 shares 72.7-99.5% nucleotide and 75.9-99.3% amino acid identities.

Figure 13. The indel event in the coding region of ORF1a and comparison in the intergenic regions. (A) A 12-nt (4 aa) indel event in the coding region of ORF1a with identical flanking amino sequences, (B) comparison in the intergenic regions upstream of ORF2, ORF3, and ORF4 in YHV and GAV. The initiation and termination codons of flanking ORFs are indicated in bold and underlined. The putative transcription regulatory sequence (TRS) (ACAACCU) between ORF2 and ORF3 sg mRNA and the variable TRS (U/(G)CCAACCU) between ORF3 and ORF4 are both indicated in bold and italic, respectively. Identical nucleotide in aligned cognate YHV and GAV intergenic region (.) and aligned sequence of the different intergenic regions (|) are indicated. The insertion of nucleotide C in 3 isolates of YHV compared with all other cognate intergenic regions is underlined.

The only indel event in the coding region for the 4 isolates of YHV was located in the 5' end of ORF1a, containing a segment of 12nt (CUAGCCUCUCAG) with 4
corresponding amino acids (aa) (LASQ), whereas the amino acid sequences flanking this
indel region were identical (Figure 13A). Other indel events were located in the non-
coding regions, with some occurring in IGR1 between ORF1b and ORF2, with 1 nt indel
occurring in the conserved transcription regulatory sequence (TRS) site between ORF3
and ORF4 (Figure 13B, the C in UCCAACCU), and with other indel events located in the
3' UTR (not shown).

The 4 YHV isolates contained differences in several non-coding and coding
regions. The ORF1a overlapped ORF1b by 37 nt, terminating at a site 30 nt downstream
of the 'slippery' sequence (AAAUUUU), at which a -1 ribosomal frameshift (RFS)
allowed read-through translation of ORF1b to generate the large pp1ab replicase
polyprotein (Figure 14A). Among the coding regions of the 4 YHV isolates, ORF1a that
contained 12,219-12,231 nt shares 95.3-99.0% identity; ORF1b that contained 7,887 nt
shares 98.2-99.2% identity; ORF2 that contained 441 nt shares 98.6-99.5 identity; ORF3
that contained 5,001 nt shared 98.9-99.5% identity; and ORF4 (63 nt) encoding 20
potential amino acids were identical. For the 4 YHV isolates, IGR1 containing 345-451
nt shared 98.8-99.7% identity, IGR2 had and identical sequences of 54 nt, and IGR3
containing 298-299 nt shared 99.3-99.7 identity. The 3' UTR, which contained 311-316
nt, shared 98.4-99.7% identity, but the 131 nt from the 3' end was 100% identical for all 4
YHV isolates.

Secondary Structures for RSF and 3' UTR.

A secondary structural model predicted for the RFS of Okavirus is shown in Figure
14A. The 4 YHV isolates had an identical RFS sequence, but it differed from that of
GAV by 11 nt, comprising 2 compensatory base changes (CBC) and 2 semi-CBC located at the stem (S1 and S2) region and 7 nt in the loop (L1-L4) (Figure 14A, arrowheads).

Figure 14. RNA secondary structure models for the ribosomal frameshift (RFS) sites and 3' untranslated region (UTR) of Roniviridae. (A) RFS with arrowheads indicate the compensatory base changes (CBC) and semi-CBC, slippery sequence is underlined, and the stop codon of ORF1a is shaded. (B) 3' UTR, stop codon of ORF4 (for GAV) is shaded, arrows indicate the variable sites between YHV and GAV, arrowheads in L3 point to the insertion event of YHV compared with GAV. Putative octonucleotide motif UGAAUAGC is circled and shaded. Standard nucleotide ambiguity codes are used.
The complete 129-nt 3' UTR of GAV and the 131-nt 3' UTR terminus of the 4 isolates of YHV were predicted to generate a pseudoknot (Figure 14B). The 33 nt downstream from the stop codon UAA of ORF4 (for GAV) formed a hairpin containing 2 short stems, a bulge, and a terminal loop, of which the 2 short stems contained 5 and 3 bp, respectively. Downstream from the hairpin there were 3 unpaired nucleotides connecting a large pseudoknot structure. The pseudoknot was formed by 2 stem structures (S1 and S2), an extended loop (L1) with a long stem (S3) and a variable loop (L3), and a short loop (L2) of 4 nt. The 7-bp S1 had 5 CBC nucleotides, S2 was formed by 3 bp, and S3 consisted of 18 bp and 12 unpaired nucleotides. L3, the most variable structure for okaviruses 3' UTR, consisted of 10 nt for YHV and 8 nt for GAV. L3 in YHV had 2-nt insertion (arrowheads) compared with GAV. Other variable nucleotides between GAV and YHV were indicated by arrows (Figure 14B).

**Deduced Amino Acid Sequences of Three pp1a and pp1ab Polyproteins.**

The ORF1a encoded a polypeptide (pp1a) of 4,072 for YHV95 and YHV99, and 4,076 aa for YHV92. If a RFS occurs in all isolates at the conserved slippage site, translation through YHV ORF1b would result in an extended polyprotein (pp1ab) of 6,700 aa for YHV95 and YHV99, and 6,704 aa for YHV92.

The overall amino acid sequence identity among the 4 isolates of YHV coding regions is shown in Table 6. The pp1a was more variable than pp1b, which involved most of the indel event for Roniviridae, e.g., YHV92 has 4 more amino acid insertions than the other 3 YHV isolates, whereas the later 3 YHV isolates were 12 amino acids longer than the GAV pp1a.
### Table 6

Sequence identity matrix for *Okavirus*. For each gene, the left lower half is the nucleotide identity and the right upper half is the amino acid identity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene and virus isolate</th>
<th>YHV92</th>
<th>YHV95</th>
<th>YHV98</th>
<th>YHV99</th>
<th>GAV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YHV92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>0.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHV95</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHV98</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHV99</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAV</td>
<td>0.794</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.794</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene and virus isolate</th>
<th>YHV92</th>
<th>YHV95</th>
<th>YHV98</th>
<th>YHV99</th>
<th>GAV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YHV92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHV95</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHV98</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHV99</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAV</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.822</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene and virus isolate</th>
<th>YHV92</th>
<th>YHV95</th>
<th>YHV98</th>
<th>YHV99</th>
<th>GAV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YHV92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHV95</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHV98</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHV99</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAV</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene and virus isolate</th>
<th>YHV92</th>
<th>YHV95</th>
<th>YHV98</th>
<th>YHV99</th>
<th>GAV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YHV92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHV95</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHV98</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHV99</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAV</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.727</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scanning the pp1a sequences of YHV and GAV using TMHMM and Phobius servers, I identified 4 conserved hydrophobic domains that may functionally serve as the transmembrane domains (TM1-TM4) (Figures 1, 4) anchoring the nidovirus replication complex to intracellular membranes (Prentice et al. 2004). TM1, containing 197-199 aa (V(A)\(^{116}-L^{315}\) for the 4 isolates of YHV and I\(^{117}-L^{313}\) for GAV), had 4 membrane-spanning domains; TM2, containing 221 aa for the 4 YHV isolates (L\(^{940}-V^{1160}\) for
Figure 15. Alignments and topology of the putative Okavirus hydrophobic transmembrane (TM) domains in pp1a. (A) TM1, (B) TM2, (C) TM3, (D) TM4, and (E) schematic topology of TMs in pp1a. Absolutely conserved (*) and similar (: or .) amino acids are indicated according to the similarity groups defined in ClustalX. Scaled line shows the approximate residue position of each domain.
YHV92 and L\textsuperscript{936-I\textsuperscript{1156}} for the other 3 isolates) and 231 aa for GAV (L\textsuperscript{928-F\textsuperscript{1158}}), had 7 membrane-spanning domains; TM3, having 132 aa (L\textsuperscript{2603-Y\textsuperscript{2740}} for YHV92, L\textsuperscript{2605-Y\textsuperscript{2736}} for the other 3 YHV isolates, and L\textsuperscript{2584-Y\textsuperscript{2725}} for GAV), had 3 membrane-spanning domains; and TM4, containing 279 aa (L\textsuperscript{3171-F\textsuperscript{3449}} for YHV92, L\textsuperscript{3167-F\textsuperscript{3445}} for the other 3 YHV isolates, and C\textsuperscript{3157-C\textsuperscript{3435}} for GAV), had 8 membrane-spanning domains. The TM3 and TM4 domains flanked the 3C-like protease (3CLP) domain.

Figure 16. Predicted topology of Okaviruses ORF3 and alignment of the transmembrane (TM) domains of okaviruses. TMHMM software was used to predict topology (Krogh et al. 2001). Cylinders represent TM1-6, while solid lines indicate the predicted inside and outside domains, with arrowheads showing the proteolytic cleavage sites. Scaled line shows the approximate residue position of each domain. Numbers on the solid line indicate the glycosylation sites predicted by the NetNGlyc server. Numbers among the aligned domains TM1-6 show the residues outside or inside the cellular membrane.

Scanning ORF3 of YHV92 using the TMHMM program, I predicted 6 hydrophobic membrane-spanning domains for YHV (Figure 16) (Jitrapakdee et al. 2003),
of which 3 occurred in the N-terminus (residues L^{25}-S^{47}, Y^{128}-L^{155}, F^{208}-I^{229}), 2 in the 3/5 region of ORF3 (residues G^{1027}-N^{1049}, F^{1166}-L^{1128}), and 1 in the C-terminus (residues Y^{1630}-L^{1652}). The identities of TM1-TM6 between YHV and GAV ranged from 50-83% (Figure 16).

Twelve potential N-linked glycosylation sites were predicted along the whole length of ORF3 for YHV92 and YHV95 using the NetNGlyc program (Figure 16), with 2 residues (65 and 73) on the 25 kDa domain, with 8 residues (205, 272, 291, 386, 438, 477, 669, and 711) on the gp116 domain, and with 2 residues (11252 and 1333) on the gp64 domain. However, YHV98 and YHV99 did not have the N-linked glycosylation site at residue 65. Using the NetOGlyc3.1 server (Julenius et al. 2005), I predicted O-linked glycosylation sites of Thr residues (296, 297, 298, 301, 302, 309, 569, 807, and 1396) and Ser residues (299 and 304) for YHV95, YHV98, and YHV99, but YHV92 did not have O-linked glycosylated Ser at residue 299.

*Phylogenetic Tree Based on Composition Vectors*

Coronavirus clustered into 3 distinct groups, of which group 1 has 2 subgroups and group 2 had 4 subgroups; Arterivirus formed 4 distinct subgroups: SHFV, PRRSV, EAV, and LDEV. Genera Torovirus and Bafinivirus exhibited a close relationship (Figure 17). Comparing the CVTrees based on the whole proteomes and ORF1 of the 48 sequences, I detected almost identical tree topology (tree not shown for ORF1). There was no distinct topological difference by analyzing the CVTrees using a different K value (5 or 6) for the proteome and the ORF1 amino sequences. Among the 4 isolates of YHV, YHV95 clustered with the other 4 YHV isolates.
Discussion

I report here the genomic sequences of 3 isolates of YHV collected from Thai *Penaeus monodon* in 1992 and frozen *P. monodon* imported into the U.S. in 1995 and 1999. The genomes shared the same organization exhibited by other *Okavirus*. Three YHV isolates had identical 5' UTR and ribosomal frameshift (RFS) sequence as YHV98 and shared 82.4% sequence identity with the 68-nt 5' UTR of GAV (Cowley et al. 2000, Sittidilokratna et al. 2008). The genome sequence of the 5 *Okavirus* isolates had 75.3-99.2% identity. An approximate 57% of the total indel (250 nt) event was found in the IGR1 between ORF1b and ORF2 and in the IGR3 between ORF3 and ORF4; 1 important indel event of okaviruses occurred in the transcription regulatory sequence (TRS) as $\overline{C}$ in $D\overline{C}C\overline{A}C\overline{C}C\overline{U}$ ($D$ refers to G/A/U in standard ambiguous codes) of the IGR3 (Figure 13B). Whether or not different IGRs of *Okavirus* involve different strategies for *Okavirus* replication, transcription, translation, and virulence is still not known; however, the 6 genotypes of YHV-complex found in the Asian regions show a very diverse length of IGR1 (Wijegoonawardane et al. 2008), implying that IGR1 may undergo extensive evolutionary selection pressure and may be a marker for monitoring *Okavirus* epidemiology and evolution.

The nidovirus replicase is expressed as 2 large polyprotein precursors, and further autoproteolytically cleaved into 13-16 functional subunits for *Coronavirus*, including key enzymes and TM subunits (Tijms et al. 2007). Among the 3 reported TMs, TM2 and TM3 are known to affect the structure or function of 3CLP (Tibbles et al. 1996, Piñon et al. 1997), and TM1 is required for PLP2 mediated processing in *Coronavirus* (van der Meer et al. 1998) and the membrane association of the replication complex in *Arterivirus*.
(van der Meer et al. 1908). Notably, a unique TM1 as a specific hydrophobic domain detected only in Roniviridae parasitizing invertebrate hosts, is not seen in Coronaviridae and Arteriviridae which cause vertebrate diseases. In Okavirus, 4 TM domains have been predicted by Ziebuhr et al. (2003) and Sittidilokratana et al. (2008), and TM2-4 may have potential functions similar to those of TM1-3 of other nidoviruses (arteri-, bafini-, corona-, and toroviruses) because of the relative position in ppla; whereas, TM1 is specifically predicted in Okavirus, having 4 membrane-spanning domains with the first domain being further apart from the other 3 domains. The TM1 of Okavirus may be specifically related to membrane fusion function in the invertebrate intercellular membrane during the highly coordinated replication, transcription, and translation processing.

Available experimental evidence indicates that partial 3' UTR of Arterivirus (Beerens & Snijder 2006, 2007) and Coronavirus (Williams et al. 1999, Hsue et al. 2000, Goebel et al. 2007) can form a conserved pseudoknot that plays a critical role in regulating viral RNA synthesis. This structure was found in all coronavirus subgroups and was conserved in terms of location and higher-order structure, but it was not apparent at the sequence level (van den Born & Snijder 2008). Mutagenesis experiments demonstrated that this RNA pseudoknot was involved in BCoV replication (Williams et al. 1999). In the Coronavirus 3' UTR, a 68 nt bulged hairpin located at the 5' end upstream of the pseudoknot, appears to be essential for replication and has been suggested to function during plus-strand RNA synthesis in BCoV (Hsue et al. 2000). The counterpart of this 5' UTR upstream hairpin structure for GAV and partial hairpin structure of YHV 3' UTR, a 33-nt structure, was also detected to be immediately
downstream of the stop codon of ORF4 (Figure 14B). As indicated, the 3' UTR pseudoknot in *Coronavirus* has a large loop and a small loop (Goebel et al. 2007), the L2 in *Okavirus* has only 5 nt (UUCCC), but the L1 in *Okavirus* has an extremely extended large stem form (S3) and terminal loop (L3) structure. A total of 13 variable sites were found on 3' UTR in *Okavirus*. L3 contained 6 variable nucleotides, of which YHV had a 2 nt insertion (Figure 14B, arrowheads) compared with 3 other genotypes including GAV (Wijegoonawardane et al. 2008); S1, a stem structure that had 7 bp but contained 5 CBCs for all genotypes of *Okavirus* (Wijegoonawardane et al. 2008), was another highly variable region, but it may have a stable secondary structure. Of the other 2 mutations, 1 occurred in the hairpin structure upstream of the pseudoknot and the other was on S3 as a U:A pair in YHV or a U:U mismatch in the other genotypes of *Okavirus*. S2 is a conserved structure, although it has only 3 bp. Compared with other nidoviruses (except human coronavirus E229), *Okavirus* has more nucleotides and 2 special stem and loop structures (S3 and S4, L3 and L4) involving RFS formation. Moreover, the slippery sequence (Figure 14A, underlined) and the position of ORF1a stop codon UAA (Figure 14A, shaded) also differ from most of the other nidoviruses.

Notably, different secondary structures of 3' UTR were predicted using mFold and Pknotsrg software. These displayed as a stable S3 and variable L3 (= helices 3-4 as discussed by Wijegoonawardane et al. 2008) and a variable 5' end upstream hairpin and pseudoknot structure, implying the predicted pseudoknot may be exchangeable in certain circumstances. A conserved octonucleotide motif UGAAUAGC located in the middle 5' end of S3 in all okaviruses (Figure 14B, shaded in circles) may be the counterpart motif of GGAAGAGC determined in all coronaviruses. Remarkably, further downstream of
Figure 17. Unrooted NJ tree by analysis of 48 amino acid sequences of Nidovirales using CVTree program. GenBank accession numbers were listed after virus type.
3' UTR in some coronaviruses, a large hairpin structure could be identified where the
deletion of part of this hairpin affected the viral replication, and more importantly, the
deletion extensively influenced the viral pathogenicity (Liu et al. 2001, Goebel et al. 2004,
Goebel et al. 2007).

The contemporary comment on the nidovirus phylogenetic tree asserts that oka-,
toro-, corona-, and even the recently sequenced bafinivirus should group together to form
a super-cluster of large nidoviruses; arteriviruses would then be the first to split from the
nidovirus trunk (Gorbalenya et al. 2006). The exact relationship among nidoviruses is yet
to be rigorously resolved (Gorbalenya et al. 2006). If RdRp is used as a gene marker, the
overall relative position of roniviruses and arteriviruses remain poorly supported
(Gorbalenya et al. 2006). Moreover, I find it noteworthy that roniviruses are located
between coronaviruses and toroviruses in the phylogenetic trees based on the amino acid
sequences of helicase 1. Part of the original and remaining confusion concerning
nidovirus phylogeny could be partially attributed to the technical aspects, e.g., alignment
quality, genes analyzed, and software used, all of which may cause uncertainty in the
alignment and could lead to the use of different alignment methods resulting in different
conclusions and other problems (Wong et al. 2008). Moreover, with the large number of
whole genome sequences being deposited in public databases, the traditional
phylogenetic analysis methods based on 1 or few genes having short sequences which
consist less than 10% of genome information will suffer more challenges and can hardly
reflect a realistic phylogeny and evolution.

The CVTree program provides a recent method inferring phylogeny using
complete proteome sequences. This program facilitates a user to avoid the difficult and
seemingly impossible task of making alignments from distantly related sequences, and it analyzes the entire genome or proteome rather than sections that may have evolved separately. The phylogenetic analysis of large dsDNA viruses and 432 prokaryotic genomes using the composition vector approach concurs well with the systematist method (Gao et al. 2007). This approach has also been used to determine the phylogeny of 11 representative viruses of 3 families in Nidovirales. From this analysis, Torovirus should be further separated from Coronavirus (Draker et al. 2006). A distance matrix was generated from analysis of the proteomes of 48 representatives in Nidovirales, and it calculates the frequency of short amino acid sequences of a fixed length ($K$ string of amino acid, with $K$ being the only parameter that can be adjusted in each analysis). Our phylogenetic analyses of Coronavirus support the conclusion that coronaviruses are probably better classified into group 1 (subgroups 1a and 1b), group 2 (subgroups 2a, 2b, 2c, and 2d), and group 3 rather than into 7 groups (Woo et al. 2007). Our results show that coronaviruses 2b, 2c, and 2d are monophyletic; BCoV, HCoV OC43, and PHEV cluster together with CoV HKU1 to form group 2a. The FIPV, PRCV, and TGEV cluster to form group 1a, and BtV HKU2, HCoV NL63, PEDV, and BtCoV G1 cluster to form group 1b. Three groups of Coronavirus have the most recent common ancestor. Torovirus and Bafinivirus are closely related to form a distinct cluster as discussed by Gorbalenya (2008). Moreover, when the ORF1 sequences that consist of approximately 2/3 of the proteome were used to analyze the phylogeny, the topology was very similar to that of the whole proteome, which further shows the advantage of this method.
CHAPTER V
EVOLUTION OF YELLOW HEAD VIRUS THROUGH RECOMBINATION

Abstract

Recombination in RNA viruses is considered to play a major role as a driving force in virus diversity and evolution. Analyzing 5 genome sequences of okaviruses, important pathogens in penaeid shrimps, I detected 7 recombination events using an RDP3 program among the 4 available yellow head virus (YHV) genomes with high statistical support. Two recombination events were detected in YHV92, with 1 from nucleotide 3444 to 3574 and the other from 12074 to 13489; 2 recombination events were determined in YHV95, with 1 from nucleotide 3793 to 4230 and the other from 16573 to 18422; 2 events were detected in YHV98, with 1 from nucleotide 6694 to 9324 and the other from 21507 to 25644; and 2 events were determined in YHV99, with the first being the same as that in YHV98 and the other from 11932 to 14863. No recombination event was detected in gill-associated virus. Analyzing 3 aligned data sets from a 1473-nt of the 5' end and a 2620-nt of the 3' end of ORF1a and a 3939-nt of the 3' end of ORF3 sequences, which have mean substitution rates of $5.51 \times 10^{-3}$, $5.66 \times 10^{-3}$, and $2.64 \times 10^{-3}$, respectively, I dated back the divergence times for the most recent common ancestor of the YHV lineage in 1976 (95% highest posterior density, 95% HPD, 1951-1992), 1983 (95% HPD, 1961-1992), and 1971 (95% HPD, 1921-1992), respectively. These values are consistent with the shrimp culture practice in Asia.
Introduction

Yellow head disease (YHD), caused by yellow head virus (YHV), was first recognized in 1990 from shrimp farms in Thailand (Limsuwan 1991) and then later in other Asian countries such as China (including Taiwan), India, Sri Lanka, Vietnam, Malaysia, and the Philippines. The YHV was also detected from imported commodity shrimp in US, and it caused serious bioassay shrimp mortality (Durand et al. 2000). The economic loss from YHV in Asian countries was about $500 million US from its outbreak to 2006 (Lightner, personal communication). After the pandemic outbreaks of the white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) in Asia and America in the middle 1990s, YHD seemed to have been concealed by infections with WSSV. However, recent studies showed that YHV was present in Mexican shrimp farms along Pacific coastal areas in salt- and freshwater environments (de la Rosa-Vélez et al. 2006, Sánchez-Barajas et al. 2008). On the other hand, at least 6 genotypes of YHV-like viruses (including the pathogenic YHV and the related gill-associated virus, GAV) have been widely detected in postlarvae, juvenile, and even healthy penaeid shrimps (Cowley & Walker 2008).

The genome of YHV comprises a non-segmented single-stranded (ss) positive-sense RNA of approximately 26.6 kb (Sittidilokratna et al. 2008). In a previous study, I reported the genome of 3 isolates of YHV collected in Penaeus monodon in 1992, 1995, and 1999, the genome of the 3 isolates is 26673, 26662, and 26652 nt in length, respectively. They share an identical 5' untranslated region (UTR), ribosomal frameshifting sequence, and a partial of the 3' UTR. The 5 available genome sequences of okaviruses share 79.3-99.0% of the nucleotide and 81.8-98.9% of the amino acid identities within ORF1a, 81.9-99.2% and 88.3-99.5%, respectively, in ORF1b, 78.6-
99.3% and 82.9–99.3%, respectively, in ORF2, and 72.7–99.5% and 75.0–99.3%, respectively, in ORF3. The only indel event in the coding region for the 4 available isolates of YHV is located in the 5' end of ORF1a, containing a segment of 12 nt with 4 corresponding amino acids. Other indels are located in the non-coding region (Ma et al. 2009).

As a unique family in Nidovirales, Roniviridae has the following characteristics: (a) members parasitizing invertebrates, especially penaeid shrimps; (b) rod-shaped rather than spheroidal or discal; (c) a short 5' UTR composing only 68-71 nt, without a typical 5' leader structure as seen in other nidoviruses (Dhar et al. 2004); (d) 4 hydrophobic transmembrane (TM) domains rather than 3 in pp1a as reported in Coronaviridae and Arteriviridae (Cowley et al. 2000b, Cowley & Walker 2008); (e) genetic organization of structural proteins, having a nucleocapsid (N) protein the first structural protein rather than the last one as in Coronaviridae and Arteriviridae; and (f) ORF4 truncated (Sittidilokratna et al. 2008, Wijegoonawardane et al. 2008).

Recombination in RNA viruses is considered to play a major role as a driving force in virus variability and thus in virus evolution. The emergence of new pathogenic RNA viruses is frequently due to RNA recombination (Lai 1992, Worobey & Holmes 1999), which can lead to dramatic changes in viral genomes by creating novel combinations of genes, motifs, or regulatory RNA sequences. RNA recombination can change the infectious properties of RNA viruses and render vaccines and other antiviral methods ineffective (Serviene et al. 2005). Although the importance of recombination was underappreciated in early studies of viral genome evolution, it is now recognized as a widespread phenomenon among positive-stranded RNA viruses in humans, animals

Herein, I detected that YHV isolates appear to have been recombining actively and naturally amongst themselves. Using the BEAST program, I can date the divergence time for the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) of YHV lineage back in 1970-1980s using 3 data sets located in ORF1 and ORF3. The prediction result is consistent with the rapid development of the shrimp aquaculture industry in Asia.

Materials and Methods

Sequence Data of Yellow Head Virus

Four isolates of YHV were used in this study. The YHV92 (GenBank acc. no. xxxxx), was originally collected in 1992 from Penaeus monodon in Thailand and was the same isolate studied by several authors (e.g., Lu et al. 1994, 1995, Nadala et al. 1997, Tang & Lightner 1999) after being injected 1 time into specific pathogen free
Litopenaeus vannamei. YHV95 (GenBank acc. no. yyyy) and YHV99 (GenBank acc. no. zzzzz) were collected from imported commodity shrimp (P. monodon) in 1995 and 1999 in Tucson, Arizona, USA. YHV95 was injected into Litopenaeus stylirostris for time and then stored at -80°C, this homogenate of L. stylirostris was then injected into white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) and YHV-free local white shrimp Litopenaeus setiferus; the YHV99 homogenate from P. monodon was injected into WSSV- and YHV-free L. setiferus for 1 time and then the viral RNA was extracted and sequenced. The viral genome for YHV98 was obtained from GenBank (acc. no. EU487200). PCR amplification and sequencing of YHV92, YHV95, and YHV99 were reported previously (Ma et al. unpublished).

Codon Substitution Statistics

The synonymous and non-synonymous analysis program (SNAP) available at http://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/index was used to analyze the substitution.

Analysis of Natural Recombinants

ClustalX 2.0 was used for pair-wise and multiple sequence alignments (Thompson et al. 1997). The aligned data sets containing the whole genomes of Okavirus were further analyzed using the Recombination Detection Program (Martin & Rybicki 2000), Maximum Chi Square (Maynard Smith 1992), Bootscan (Martin et al. 2005a), Geneconv (Padidam et al. 1999), Chimaera (Martin et al. 2005a), and Siscan (Gibbs et al. 2000) recombination detection methods as implemented in RDP3 (Martin et al. 2005a) available from http://darwin.uvigo.es/rdp/rdp.html. The analysis was performed with default settings for the different detection methods and a Bonferroni corrected P-value cut-off of 0.05. The breakpoint positions and recombinant sequence(s) inferred for every
detected potential recombination event were manually checked and adjusted when necessary using the extensive phylogenetic and recombination signal analysis features available in RDP3. Once a set for a unique potential recombination event was identified, I compiled a breakpoint map by plotting the positions of all clearly identifiable breakpoints. A breakpoint density plot was then constructed from this map and the statistical significance of potential breakpoint.

The aligned data sets containing ORF1a, ORF1b, ORF2, and ORF3 were also submitted to GARD server (Kosakovsky Pond et al. 2006) available at http://www.datamonkey.org/GARD/ for further multiple breakpoint detection. Both positive and negative selection models were detected by the HYPHY package in the GARD server (Kosakovsky Pond & Frost 2005).

Estimation of Divergence Dates

The divergence dates for Okavirus lineage were estimated based on 3 alignments of partial sequences that contained a 1473-nt of the 5' end of ORF1a, a 2620-nt of the 3' end of ORF1a, and a 3939-nt of the 3' end of ORF3. Before submission for divergence dates, the aligned sequences were scanned using the RDP3 program as mentioned above to trim out the recombination regions. The uncorrelated relaxed clock model in BEAST version 1.4.7 (http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/) was used to analyze the divergence dates (Drummond & Rambaut 2007). Under this model, the rates were allowed to vary at each branch independently. Sampling dates for each isolate were used as calibration points, and constant population coalescent priors were assumed for all data sets. Depending on the data set, I ran Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sample chains for 10, 7, and 20 million generations for the data sets from the 5' and 3' end of ORF1a and from the 3' end
of ORF3, respectively. Those data sets were sampled every 200, 100, and 200 generations using the HKY nucleotide substitution model, allowing $\gamma$ rate heterogeneity. The convergence of MCMC chains was confirmed for each data set by using the program Tracer version 1.4 available at http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/. The times of divergence were estimated utilizing prior values obtained from earlier runs, with a discarded burn-in of 10% of total generated trees. The generated trees were drawn using FigTree v1.1.2 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). The alignments used for divergence dates were also analyzed by the MEGA program (Tamura et al. 2007) with a bootstrap value of 1000.

Table 7
Statistic data of nonsynonymous to synonymous substitutions for yellow head virus coding regions*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>amino acids</th>
<th>$d_N$</th>
<th>$d_S$</th>
<th>$d_S/d_N$</th>
<th>$pS/pN$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ORF1a</td>
<td>4076</td>
<td>0.0094</td>
<td>0.1258</td>
<td>12.5615</td>
<td>11.5395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORF1b</td>
<td>2628</td>
<td>0.0041</td>
<td>0.0468</td>
<td>12.2790</td>
<td>11.9462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORF2</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>0.0193</td>
<td>3.6691</td>
<td>3.6225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORF3</td>
<td>1666</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td>0.0145</td>
<td>2.6634</td>
<td>2.6434</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $d_N$ and $d_S$: average of Jukes-Cantor correction for non-synonymous and synonymous substitution rate, respectively; $d_S/d_N$: average ratio of synonymous to nonsynonymous substitution; $pS/pN$: average ratio of proportion of synonymous to non-synonymous substitution.

Results

Substitution of Coding Regions

Analyzing the cumulative substitution of ORF1a, ORF1b, ORF2, and ORF3 genes, I detected that the 2 non-structural genes, ORF1a and ORF1b, had a larger value of $d_S/d_N$ than the 2 structural genes, ORF2 and ORF3 (Table 7). Compared with non-
structural genes ORF1a and ORF1b, the structural genes ORF3 and ORF2 showed a higher cumulative non-synonymous substitution rate (Figure 18).

*Figure 18.* Cumulative substitution rates of 4 coding genes. Cumulative synonymous, nonsynonymous, and indel substitution rates are showed in red, green, and black, respectively.
Table 8

Statistic data detected by BEAST and Tracer program for Okavirus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary statistic</th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>standard error of mean</th>
<th>95% HPD lower</th>
<th>95% HPD upper</th>
<th>effective sample size (ESS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>posterior</td>
<td>-3276.0</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>-3287.6</td>
<td>-3265.3</td>
<td>463.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-5650.1</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-5661.0</td>
<td>-5640.5</td>
<td>262.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-8731.8</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-8764.4</td>
<td>-8741.2</td>
<td>734.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>likelihood</td>
<td>-3257.6</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-3263.6</td>
<td>-3252.1</td>
<td>924.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-5632.2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-5637.5</td>
<td>-5627.0</td>
<td>1768.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-8725.7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-8731.0</td>
<td>-8721.1</td>
<td>8389.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean substitution rate</td>
<td>5.51E-03</td>
<td>1.79E-04</td>
<td>5.91E-06</td>
<td>1.23E-02</td>
<td>419.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.66E-03</td>
<td>2.88E-04</td>
<td>2.03E-05</td>
<td>1.28E-02</td>
<td>157.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.64E-03</td>
<td>8.83E-05</td>
<td>7.42E-06</td>
<td>6.05E-03</td>
<td>420.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMRCA for Okavirus (years)</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>180.3</td>
<td>1087.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>132.6</td>
<td>624.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>221.1</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>626.7</td>
<td>2384.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMRCA for YHV (years)</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>1148.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>770.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>2560.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relative substitution rate on codon 1</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>1.70E-03</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>2779.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>1.20E-03</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>2103.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>3.00E-04</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>13000.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relative substitution rate on codon 2</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>1.40E-03</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>2512.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>6.67E-04</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>3323.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>1.72E-04</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>21850.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relative substitution rate on codon 3</td>
<td>1.832</td>
<td>2.91E-03</td>
<td>1.598</td>
<td>2.058</td>
<td>1630.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.165</td>
<td>1.61E-03</td>
<td>2.032</td>
<td>2.307</td>
<td>1862.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>3.05E-04</td>
<td>2.146</td>
<td>2.315</td>
<td>19890.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TMRCA, time of the most recent common ancestor; HPD, highest posterior density. First row, the 5' end of 1473 nt of ORF1a gene; second row, 2620 nt close to 3' end of ORF1a gene; and third row, 3939 nt of 3' end of ORF3.
Figure 19. Recombinant regions detected within yellow head virus (YHV) sequences based on the RDP3 program. The genome in the heading corresponds with the schematic representation of sequences given below it. Region coordinates are nucleotide positions of detected recombination breakpoints in the multiple sequence alignment used to detect recombination. Wherever possible, parental sequences are identified. 'Major' and 'minor' parents are sequences that were used, along with the indicated recombinant sequence, to identify recombination. For each identified event, the minor parent contributes the sequence within the indicated region, and the major parent contributes the rest of the sequence. Note that the identified 'parental sequences' are not the actual parents but are simply those sequences most similar to the actual parents in the analyzed data set. Recombinant regions and parental viruses were identified using the RDP (R), GENECONV (G), BOOTSCAN (B), Maxchi (M), Chimaera (C), SiSscan (S), and 3Seq (3S) methods. The reported $P$-value is for the method shown in bold and italic type and represents the best $P$-value calculated for the region in question. Upper-case letters imply a method detected recombination with a multiple comparison corrected $P$-value $<0.01$, and lower-case letters imply the method detected recombination with a multiple comparison corrected $P$-value $< 0.05$ but larger than or equal to 0.01.
Recombination Events during Evolution of the Okavirus

I investigated the positive selection pressure along the full-length genome sequence of 1 GAV and 4 YHV isolates in an attempt to determine the evolutionary stability. By using multiple recombination detection methods implemented in RDP3, I detected 2 recombination events in YHV92, with 1 from nucleotide 3444 to 3574 and the other from 12074 to 13489; 2 recombination events were determined in YHV95, with 1 from nucleotide 3793 to 4230 and the other from 16573 to 18422; 2 events were detected in YHV98, with 1 from nucleotide 6694 to 9324 and the other from 21507 to 25644; and 2 events were determined in YHV99, with the first being the same as that in YHV98 and the other from 11932 to 14863. No recombination event was detected in gill-associated virus (Figure 19, Supplement 1 & 2).

Using GARD server, I detected 12 breakpoints in ORF1a, 6 breakpoints in ORF1b, and 5 breakpoints in ORF3 in the alignments with statistical support (Figure 20). However, I did not detect any breakpoints in ORF2 of Okavirus.

Estimation of Divergence Dates

To determine the divergence dates of Okavirus, I searched for recombination-free sequences because recombination can cause chaos or errors in reconstruction of phylogenetic relationships. I detected 3 recombination-free data sets, of which 1 data set of 1473-nt was located in the 5' end of ORF1a, a second data set of 2620-nt located close to the 3' end of ORF1a, and a third data set of 3939-nt was near the 3' end of ORF3. The TMRCA for YHV and Okavirus were analyzed using the above 3 recombination-free data sets under the uncorrelated relaxed clock model with statistic support (Table 8).
Figure 20. Detection of recombination on ORF1a (A), ORF1b (B), and ORF3 (C) of Okavirus. Mean values calculated using Genetic Algorithms for Recombination Detection (GARD) website. The Akaike information criterion (c-AIC) for the best-fitting model was 29160.1, 33752.1, and 14776.9 for ORF1a, ORF1b, and ORF3, respectively. The specific breakpoints are labeled.
However, the large highest posterior density values (HPDs) associated with most of these dates necessitate caution in interpreting these results. The TMRCA was estimated to 1976 (95% HPD, 1951-1992) for YHV and 1919 (95% HPD, 1819-1991) for Okavirus, respectively, using the 5' end of partial ORF1a (Figure 21A). Using partial 3' end ORF1a sequence, the TMRCA was dated back to 1983 (95% HPD, 1961-1992) for YHV and 1943 for Okavirus with a range of 125 years (95% HPD, 1776-1992) (Figure 21B). The TMRCA was dated back to 1971 (95% HPD, 1921-1992) for YHV and 1778 for Okavirus with 95% HPD range of 600 years using partial ORF3 sequence (Figure 21C). Even though using different gene sequences, the TMRCA for YHV may date back to the 1970s, a date corresponding with the development of shrimp farming in Asia (Table 8).

Analysis also showed that the average mutation rate was $5.51 \times 10^{-3}$ per site per year for the 5' end, $5.66 \times 10^{-3}$ for the 3' end of ORF1a, and $2.64 \times 10^{-3}$ for the partial ORF3. The relative substitution rate for these 3 data sets is different among the 3 codon positions in which the third codon is 3-7 folds higher than the first and the second codons (Table 8).

Discussion

Recombination is a hallmark of RNA virus genetics. I detected 7 natural recombination events distributed in all protein coding regions except in ORF2. The length of recombination segments ranges from as short as 0.1 kb to as long as 4.0 kb. Among these recombination events, both YHV98 and YHV99 have a 2630-nt segment derived from YHV92 with a $P$-value of $1.44 \times 10^{-46}$. However, I did not detect any recombination events between YHV and GAV which may be either because the sequenced GAV isolated from Australia was too distant from Thailand where all the
sequenced YHV isolates originated or because only 1 GAV genome sequence was available in GenBank for comparison.

**Figure 21.** Phylogenetic analyses and divergence dates of *Okavirus* lineages based on alignments of 3 data sets. Divergence dates with number at branch nodes indicate the times of divergence calculated using the uncorrelated relaxed-clock model in BEAST v1.4.7 based on 5' end of ORF1a (A), 3' end of ORF1a (B), and 3' end of ORF3 (C); Neighbor-joining trees based on partial 5' end (D) and 3' end (E) of ORF1a gene and partial 3' end of ORF3 (F), with numbers at tree nodes indicating percentages of bootstrap support.

Among the YHV data sets, I also detected multiple phylogenetic conflicts.

Phylogenetic analysis of YHV based on 2 data sets from ORF1a and 1 data set from ORF3 confirms that YHV98 and YHV99 clusters together, demonstrating a close
relationship between the 2 YHV isolates, which both originated from Thailand in *P. monodon*. However, YHV95 was a distinct isolate from the other 3 YHV isolates, which had more mutation or recombination. Interestingly, I sequenced these isolates from different hosts even though the original isolates were from *P. monodon*, YHV92 was transferred 1 generation to *L. vannamei*, YHV95 was transferred to *L. stylirostris* and then from *L. stylirostris* to *L. setiferus*, and YHV99 was transferred 1 generation to *L. setiferus*. Even though available data showed that host genes can affect the recombination of a plant RNA tombusvirus (Serviene et al. 2005), I do not known whether different shrimp hosts or genes could affect YHV recombination. Whether the high variability of YHV95 was due to more host passage or whether the high variability of YHV95 and recombination can affect the viral virulence still needs to be unraveled. Unfortunately, the *P. monodon* infected by YHV95 and YHV99 were also infected concurrently with the pathogenic dsDNA virus, WSSV, making it difficult to evaluate the virulence of these YHV isolates.

Currently, the validity of applying a molecular clock to RNA virus evolution is still unclear (Holmes 2003). Saturation of synonymous mutations leading to potential underestimation of substitution rates, recombination, RNA secondary structure, and selection pressures can undoubtedly all contribute to misleading estimates of evolutionary rate (Hughes et al. 2005). Nidoviruses were analyzed to have a high mutation rate and some groups of them have been emerging recently. Based on ORF3 gene sequences, the common ancestor of European-type porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) was 10 years before the emergence of disease in the 1990s, and it had a substitution rate of $5.8 \times 10^{-3}$ (Forsberg et al. 2001). Based on the phylogeny determined
from helicase and nucleocapsid protein genes, Vijaykrishna et al. (2007) hypothesized that the mean substitution rates of different coronavirus groups varied from $5.35 \times 10^{-3}$ to $8.4 \times 10^{-2}$, and they also deduced that the SARS-CoV, which may switch from civet to human, had a TMRCA in 1986; however, based on the spike gene sequences, Pyre et al. (2006) determined that HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-229E had a TMRCA in 1053, a controversial difference with those having a TMRCA in 1927 (Pyre et al. 2006, Vijaykrishna et al. 2007). This uncertain result may reflect the natural recombination phenomenon or the different genes used to determine the controversial TMRCA. Hence, to generate a more reasonable divergence time for YHV, I selected 3 regions that had no recombination within genes using RDP3 program before I determined the divergence time. Using the 5' end of ORF1a, I dated back the TMRCA of YHV to 1976; using the 3' end of ORF1a, I dated the TMRCA back to 1983; and using partial ORF3 gene, I dated the TMRCA back to 1971. A TMRCA of YHV from 1971 to 1983 is basically consistent with the modern shrimp farming practices that began in the 1950s and the semi-intensive shrimp culture that started in the 1970s. Shrimp farming developed very quickly since then, but began as a “capture and culture” procedure in which viruses from the natural environment may have had considerable opportunity to adapt or jump to new hosts, especially when fed on diets of wild organisms and cultured under the eutrophic and intensive pond environments associated with canals containing wild animals. The YHD outbreaks in Asia in the 1990s indicated that YHV should have been enzootic in local reservoir hosts for at least 7-19 years before suddenly emerging as a virulent virus in P. monodon in the 1990s. Our results also show that the TMRCA of YHV and GAV are incongruent according to the 3 data sets from ORF1a and ORF3 genes. The TMRCA
implied from the 2 data sets of ORF1a is similar, but the phylogenetic trees are incongruent for MrBayes and bootstrap approaches; when the partial gene of ORF3 was considered, the TMRCA dated back to 1778 with an associated large range of 95% HPD. The highly variable TMRCA for Okavirus may be explained by the low number of sequences used in this study and the different substitution rate of the genes. To evaluate the okavirus evolution, I recommend sequencing additional isolates of the okaviruses, including YHV, GAV, and lymphoid organ virus (LOV) circulating in the farmed shrimps and reservoir hosts from different geographic regions.

The characterization of YHV recombination presented in this study provides insights into the process of adaptation of YHV among penaeid shrimps, or process important for understanding the evolutionary events that led to the origin of YHV outbreaks.

Okaviruses have a high natural prevalence in disparate populations of *P. monodon*, and commonly exist as life long chronic infections that may be transmitted vertically to offspring (Cowley et al. 2000a, 2002, Cowley & Walker 2008, Spann et al. 1997). Because both abundantly cultured *P. monodon* and *L. vannamei* are susceptible to YHV infection and mortality in the 2 species often reaches near 100% in a few days, it is possible that YHV may have been transmitted from some chronically infected reservoir hosts. Based on these hypotheses, I suggest that investigations aimed at unraveling the origins of YHV should have 2 foci: 1) to identify candidate species which may have harbored YHV or the YHV-complex before the viruses acquired penaeid shrimps as hosts as well as the factors that may have facilitated a potential host-species shift and 2) to investigate potential reservoirs in which the virus may have existed during the period
between a potential species shift and the onset of the current epidemic as well as environmental factors that may have facilitated transmission from these reservoirs.
Supplement 1. RDP3 genome analysis of *Okavirus* (RDP3 project file)
Supplement 2A. Tracer statistics of data set from 5' end of ORF1a for *Okavirus*
Supplement 2B. Tracer statistics of data set from 3' end of ORF1a for *Okavirus*.
Supplement 2C: Tracer statistics of data set from 3' end of ORF3 for *Okavirus*
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