Review Process

JETDE is fully peer-reviewed by independent academic editors and reviewers. We only publish articles that have been approved by highly qualified researchers with expertise in a field appropriate for the article.

The Steps of JETDE Peer Review Process

After receiving a manuscript, one of the editors will be appointed as Handling Editor for your manuscript. This selection is based on the Editors’ availability and suitability as well as potential conflicts of interest.

Upon receiving a manuscript, the Editor has the option to reject it outright. This may happen if it is not a good fit for the journal or of insufficient quality. Providing the Editor is satisfied, he/she will initiate the peer review process.

The Editor then appoints two external reviewers. Aquila system helps him/her to identify suitable reviewers, but editors are also free to nominate reviewers from contacts within their field. JETDE follows a double-blind review process. Reviewers do not see the author information and the identities of the reviewers are not disclosed to the author(s).

Providing they accept the request to review, the reviewers will read and assess the manuscript. They will then make one of the following recommendations:

- Publish Unaltered
- Consider after Minor Changes
- Consider after Major Changes
- Reject

Using the submitted reviews, the Editor is then able to make a final recommendation on the manuscript. A manuscript must be rejected if the majority of the reviewers recommend doing so.

Based on the recommendation made by the reviewers, further steps may be necessary:

a. Publish Unaltered: The manuscript will receive a further check from the Editorial Team for consistency. Once complete, the author will be notified of the acceptance of their manuscript.

b. Consider after Minor Changes: The author will receive feedback and be asked to submit an amended version addressing the reviewers’ concerns. The author will also submit a note with responses to all comments reviewed. The Editor will check the amended manuscript and ensure the concerns have been addressed. If satisfied, the Editor can accept the manuscript.

c. Consider after Major Changes: The author will receive feedback and be asked to submit an amended version addressing the reviewers’ concerns with the responses to the concerns.
The amended manuscript will be sent to the original reviewers to be reassessed. The Editor will then make a recommendation and may ask for further amendments.

d. Reject: The manuscript is immediately rejected.